



Australian Government

Australian Centre for
International Agricultural Research

Final report

Project full title

Effectiveness of Water adaptation responses in reducing climate related risks: A meta review

project ID

WAC/2020/157

date published

5 May 2021

prepared by

Aditi Mukherji, IWMI

*co-authors/
contributors/
collaborators*

Holly M. Berman; Angelica Katharina Casparina Brackel; Martina Angela Caretta, Calynn Dowler; Valeria Fanghella; Sharlene Liane Gomes; Benjamin Jerome Gray; Greeshma Hegde; Jonathan Lautze; Ashwina Mahanti; Mamta Mehar; Megan Mills-Novoa; Sanchari Mukhopadhyay; Lakshmikantha NR; Prajjwal Kumar Panday; Jagadish Parajuli; Ritu Priya; E.B. Uday Bhaskar Reddy; Pamela Rittelmeyer; Cydney Kate Seigerman; Rinan Shah; Gitta Shrestha; Balsher Singh Sidhu; Aprajita Singh; Jaishri Srinivasan; Brock Ternes; William Rigoberto Delgado Thompson; Shuchi Kirit Vora; Yashoda Yashoda

approved by

Veronica Doerr

final report number

FR2021-011

ISBN

978-1-922635-06-8

published by

ACIAR
GPO Box 1571
Canberra ACT 2601
Australia

This publication is published by ACIAR ABN 34 864 955 427. Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However ACIAR cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests.

© Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) 2021 - This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from ACIAR, GPO Box 1571, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia, aciarc@aciarc.gov.au.

Contents

1	Acknowledgments	4
2	Executive summary	5
3	Background	7
4	Objectives	9
5	Methodology	10
6	Achievements against activities and outputs/milestones	19
7	Key results and discussion	20
	Impacts	40
7.1	Scientific impacts – now and in 5 years	40
7.2	Capacity impacts – now and in 5 years	40
7.3	Community impacts – now and in 5 years	40
7.4	Communication and dissemination activities	40
8	Conclusions and recommendations	41
8.1	Conclusions.....	41
8.2	Recommendations	42
9	References	43
9.1	References cited in report.....	43
9.2	List of publications produced by project.....	47
10	Appendixes	48
10.1	Appendix 1:	48
10.2	Appendix 2:	79

1 Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the entire Global Adaptation Mapping Initiative (GAMI) team, led by Dr. Lea Berrang-Ford for providing us the data base (articles) for the meta-analysis, which we then coded for assessing effectiveness of water-related adaptation responses. We are thankful to Valeria Fanghella for preparing Figure 2 of this report. We are also thankful to 28 coders who helped code all the papers and to the SysREV coding platform designers for their help and assistance with numerous queries that we had. Names of all coders are included as co-authors/contributors. We would like to thank the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) for providing funding for conducting this meta review. A part of this analysis has been used for Chapter 4 (Water) of the IPCC AR6 WGII report and the associated Summary for Policymakers. All usual disclaimer applies.

2 Executive summary

Anthropogenic climate change impacts every aspect of water security through changes in water availability and quality, increases in water induced disasters, and changes in ecosystems and their services. In response to climate and non-climate induced water insecurity, people and governments around the world are undertaking various adaptation responses. While there are thousands of case studies of current implemented adaptation responses to water insecurity, there is a lack of synthesized understanding about effectiveness of these responses in reducing risks.

In this report, we describe our meta-review methodology for assessing effectiveness of current water related responses. For assessing effectiveness of current adaptation responses, we use a database of 1815 documented case studies of adaptation across all sectors published between 2013 and 2019. Of these, only 385 (21%) case studies assess effectiveness of current water related adaptation responses. Effectiveness is measured across 6 dimensions and an adaptation response is deemed to be “effective” in reducing climate or related risks if the study documents a positive outcome on any of these six “effectiveness” indicators. These are: the response led to positive economic/financial outcomes; positive water related outcomes; positive environmental/ecological outcomes; positive outcomes for vulnerable populations; and positive outcome on any other parameter not captured by the above five indicators. By this criterion, 325 out of 385 (84.4%) studies that documented water-related adaptation were deemed to be “effective” in reducing risks, but only 70 (21%) of those studies were of “high” enough quality to causally link adaptation response with the outcome, rest did not do so, making the evidence base even smaller. Overall, only 70 out of 1815 studies (3.9%) of all studies provide an assessment of effectiveness of adaptation response with a high degree of rigour.

A majority (~80%) of the adaptation responses were about adaptation in the agriculture sector, followed by adaptations in water related disasters sector, and urban and peri-urban water use. Many of the adaptation responses which were found to be “effective”, were also deemed to be maladaptive, especially if it involved intensification that needed fertilizers and pesticides, or water intensive crop varieties. Majority of the water adaptation responses are about incremental adaptation, that is adaptation which aims to improve existing ways of doing things (e.g., better crop varieties replacing older varieties), without tackling root causes of vulnerability. Cases on transformative adaptation are few and far between, and migration, capacity building and trainings seem to be the only two adaptation responses which have transformative possibilities.

Similarly, a large number of case studies documented limits or barriers to adaptation, and the main barriers were deemed to be governance related, followed by lack of information and awareness, and capacity.

Given the huge data base, and that it took almost 5 out of 6 months of the project to get all the articles coded, and then quality checked, meant that analysis in this report is preliminary and is limited to summary statistics.

3 Background

Water has long been recognized as being one of the first impacts in the area of climate change (Bijl et al., 2018; Gosling & Arnell, 2016; Kummu et al. 2016), as well as a central component in adaptation (GWP, 2018; GCA 2019), yet large gaps in our understanding of how people, especially small and marginal farmers adapt remains (Ricciardi et al., 2020). Climate change, through variations in temperature, rainfall and evaporation, impacts all components of the hydrological cycle, bringing about changes to river flows, aquifers, cryospheric components, and soil moisture dynamics (Jiménez Cisneros et al 2014). Climate change is also intensifying extreme events and consequent flood and drought events. These climate induced impacts on the hydrological cycle in turn, affect every sector of the economy, including food, health, ecosystems, cities, energy systems, and challenges achievement of policy goals like poverty alleviation and sustainable development goals (Gain et al. 2016). Overall, the impacts of ‘too little water’; ‘too much water’; ‘too dirty water’; and at the wrong place and at the wrong time are already being felt by a majority of the world’s population and water insecurity is likely to be among the earliest manifestation of climate change that people experience in their day to day lives (Greve et al. 2018). This is in addition to water insecurity that is being already experienced by more than 4 billion people across the world, as a result of water governance and scarcity (climate and non-climate induced) issues (Gain et. al. 2016; Mekonnen & Hoekstra 2014).

Adaptation is increasingly seen as an imperative to counter climate impacts. At the latest with the publication of the Special Report on Global Warming at 1.5°C (IPCC, 2018), it is clear that climate impacts are occurring across regions, sectors and systems and that even at warming levels in line with temperature limits set out by the Paris Agreement, further impacts will be substantial. Water is thought to be central to adaptation. For example, water features prominently in most of the nationally determined contribution (NDCs) pledges, with water being identified as a top sector (118 among 137 countries) for adaptation in NDC pledges (GWP, 2018). The Global Commission on Adaptation (GCA, 2019) defines four clear action tracks on water and adaptation; namely, harness the power of nature and expand water infrastructure; cope with water scarcity by using water more productively; prepare for a changing climate by planning for floods and droughts; and improve water governance and scale up water financing.

Overall, in response to climate and non-climate induced water insecurity, people and governments around the world are undertaking various adaptation responses involving combinations of technologies, incentives and policies. While there are thousands of stand-alone case studies on current implemented adaptation responses to water insecurity, there

isn't enough synthesized evidence to indicate if these adaptation responses reduce climate and associated risks. In view of a lack of synthesized knowledge base on effectiveness of current adaptation responses, we undertake a meta review for measuring effectiveness of water-related adaptation responses in reducing current climate and associated risks.

We define water related adaptation as a response that is undertaken if either the risk is water related; or the actual adaptation intervention is water related. For example, if the risk (defined as a combination of hazard, exposure and vulnerability) is water related, that is, the risk emanates from hazard and exposure caused by floods, droughts, groundwater depletion, melting of cryosphere, soil moisture depletion etc., and manifests itself through increasing vulnerability of individuals and communities through constraining water access; or if the adaptation intervention to reduce risk (water related or otherwise) is water related, e.g. irrigation, soil moisture conservation, rainwater harvesting, wastewater reuse etc., then we categorize that adaptation response as a water-related adaptation response.

Defining effectiveness of current and future adaptation responses

For current adaptation responses, in line with the Global Adaptation Mapping Initiative (GAMI) (Berrang-Ford et al. 2020), we only include case studies on actual, implemented adaptation, as opposed to adaptation that has been planned, but yet to be implemented. Effectiveness is defined as the ability of the adaptation response to “reduce” or mitigate risk – where risk is defined as per IPCC definition – a combination of hazard (climate aspect) and vulnerability and exposure (human aspects). We only include studies which measure “effectiveness” of adaptation response by using one or multiple indicators. We define any current adaptation response to be effective if it leads to positive outcomes in either one of the following indicator categories:

1. Economic and/or financial indicators, such as improvements in crop yields and resulting incomes; increase in profits, greater savings, or lesser losses from hazards etc.;
2. Impacts on vulnerable people, e.g. on women, children; Indigenous people;
3. Water related impacts, e.g. improved water use efficiency, water saving, reduction in water withdrawals and application etc.;
4. Ecological and environmental impacts such as lesser energy use, better soil structures, and better thermal comfort etc.;
5. Institutional and socio-cultural impacts such as better group dynamics and action, better bargaining power among vulnerable people; and strengthening of local institutions, or national policies; and
6. Any other positive impacts not captured by the above 5 indicators.

4 Objectives

1. Using a systematic review methodology, review ~ 1800¹ documents on existing case studies of water-related adaptation responses and ascertain the extent to which these adaptation responses have been able to reduce climate-related risks;
2. Examine the enabling conditions that helped to make these adaptation responses “effective”;
3. Understand if some of the adaptation responses were “maladaptive” or if there were limits to adaptation.

¹ The proposal mentioned that ~2000 papers will be reviewed, however, many were found to be duplicates, so the final number of papers reviewed was 1815..

5 Methodology

Meta review protocol for coding current and future adaptation responses

We developed a methodology for assessing effectiveness of current adaptation responses. Following sections describe this methodology in greater detail.

Steps for meta-review of current water-related responses:

Meta review for current adaptation responses draws on the GAMI initiative for two things. First, our overall approach of meta-review is inspired and influenced by the approach of GAMI meta review protocol (Berrang-Ford et al. 2020). Second, we used the same set of papers as available in the GAMI database, and such we used the same screening protocol as GAMI (Fisher et al. 2020). However, in addition to the 1683 unique papers from GAMI database, we also included 202 additional papers which were cited in adaptation sub-sections of the First Order Draft of Water chapter of IPCC, Working Group II. Further details about GAMI approach and screening protocol can be found in Berrang-Ford et al. 2020 and Fisher et al. 2020. Some (~30%) of our coding questions were common with the GAMI coding protocol (Lesnikowski et al. 2020), However, we developed our own inclusion/exclusion criteria and a separate coding protocol for two reasons explained below.

Unlike the broader GAM initiative, where adaptation responses from all sectors are being considered, in our analysis, we are only interested in adaptation responses as they related to the broad water sector – including all water use sub sectors such as water for agriculture, energy, industry, health etc. (see definition of water-related responses above). Second, we were interested in studies that measure effectiveness of water adaptation in reducing climate and associated risks (see definition of effectiveness above). We only include studies which measure “effectiveness” of adaptation response by using one or multiple indicators. Therefore, we developed our own coding protocol because of our interest in understanding effectiveness of water-related adaptation.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria:

Given our focus on measuring effectiveness of water related adaptation responses, our inclusion criteria were:

- Paper was about water-related adaptation;
- Paper documents actual implemented case study of water related adaptation responses/interventions as opposed to planned responses/interventions;

- Paper evaluates the impact/effectiveness of that adaptation response/intervention in reducing climate and associated impacts, in credible and (semi) causal ways, including through a well enunciated theory of change;
- The paper includes at least one tangible (either quantitative or qualitative) indicator of effectiveness. Those indicators could be economic/financial indicators; indicators on impacts on vulnerable people; water related indicators, environmental and ecological indicators; socio-political indicators; or any other indicators not captured by above categories;
- Paper was published in, or after 2014;
- Paper has enough (at least half a page) information about the water related adaptation response/intervention that is being coded.

To be included for full coding, a paper had to meet all six inclusion criteria. Papers which did not meet any one of these 6 criteria were coded partially for only 4 variables: description of the adaptation response; water use sub-sector relevant to that adaptation response (e.g. agriculture, energy, water for sanitation and health (WaSH), water for ecosystems, water for urban areas etc.); location of the study (country and continent); and concluding remarks. Rest of the papers were coded for 90 questions. We describe our coding protocol and coding questions below.

Coding protocol:

Our coding protocol had seven sections. The first was the inclusion/exclusion criteria, as mentioned above. In the second section, we summarized the nature of the adaptation response. This included: category of water adaptation response (16 categories were developed); categorized them across various water use sub-sectors (agriculture, energy, WaSH, urban, ecosystems, cultural uses, water related conflicts etc.); scale (local, national, regional and global) of the response; geographic location (country and continent); details about initiator of adaptation and whether adaptation response included Indigenous and Local knowledge. The third section of the protocol coded studies based on water related hazard (e.g., floods, droughts, extreme rainfall, groundwater depletion, melting of cryosphere etc.); vulnerability (how did the communities experience vulnerability) and risk. There was a total of 11 risk categories defined. Section 4 coded evidence on effectiveness of adaptation response in reducing climate and associated risks, and coded evidence on mal-adaptation and co-benefits. We also coded the studies on different indicators of effectiveness as described earlier. We coded the papers based on the evidence provided in the paper, and kept coder interpretation of the results to a minimum, to avoid potential misinterpretation. Each paper was coded by two or more Section 5 documented enabling conditions for successful adaptation and these included information on adaptation

costs and finance, and on governance and political support. Section 6 classified the studies in terms of their quality. In addition to the GAMI protocol where studies were coded using the GRADE-CERQual approach with evidence across 4 components: methodological limitations, adequacy of data; coherence, and relevance; we also classified the studies based on rigor of attribution of adaptation outcomes to adaptation response because of our central interest in understanding effectiveness of adaptation. In other words, we classified the studies into 3 categories. The first category were studies that establish causal linkages between the adaptation response and outcome and called them high quality studies. Medium quality studies were those which shows correlation between the adaptation response and outcome, but does not necessarily establish causality, while the third category (low quality studies) are those which neither establish causality, nor show any correlation between adaptation response and outcomes in tangible ways, but often provides a relatively good narrative of the pathways along which adaptation response may have been effective in reducing risks. Section 7 included only one question where the coder had to summarize the main conclusions from the study briefly. In addition to answering the question, coders were required to copy and paste relevant text from the papers to support their answer.

We summarize the main coding questions below (Section 1 is the inclusion/exclusion criteria described above):

Section 2: Summary and categorization of water related adaptation response/intervention, including general and geographic information:

- Brief description of the adaptation response/intervention in human systems or human-assisted responses in natural systems as it pertains to any of the water use-subsectors;
- Categorization of water response into 16 main intervention categories: improved cultivars and agronomic practices; changes in cropping pattern and crop systems; on farm irrigation and water management; water and soil moisture conservation; collective action, policies, institutions; migration & off-farm diversification; economic/financial incentives; training and capacity building; agro-forestry and forestry interventions; flood risk reduction measures; livestock and fishery related; IK and LK based adaptations; urban water management; energy related adaptations; WaSH related adaptations; any other (includes coping);
- Category/type of adaptation response: behavioral/cultural; ecosystem-based; institutional; technological/infrastructure; and any other;

- Water use sub-category where the adaptation is happening. These are: water for agriculture; water for urban and peri-urban use; water-induced disasters (floods, droughts, extreme rainfall events etc.); water for health and sanitation; water for freshwater ecosystems; cultural uses of water; and water related conflicts;
- Region(s) or geographic focus of adaptive responses documented: Country and continent;
- Scale of the adaptation response/intervention: local, national, regional and global;
- Inclusion of Indigenous Knowledge & Local Knowledge (IK & LK) in adaptation response;
- Who is engaging in adaptation responses? E.g. individuals/households; civil society, government and private sector.

Section 3: What are the hazards, vulnerabilities and resultant risk that the adaptation response/intervention is responding to?

- What is the water related hazard that the adaptation response responds to? Categories are cryosphere change; change in groundwater availability; changes in precipitation, including extreme precipitation; droughts, riverine floods, soil erosion and sedimentation; extreme heat events and unspecified, but general climate impacts;
- What aspects of vulnerability are targeted by adaptation responses? Categories are vulnerabilities related to poverty alleviation; consumption and production; access to agricultural water; energy access, gender equality; improving health and well-being; providing access to clean water and sanitation; and reducing social vulnerabilities;
- What is the stated (or implied/assumed) risk? Coders sum up the overall risk and then categorize the risk across 11 categories, which are: risk to lives; risk to livelihoods; risks to health and well-being; economic risks; social risks; cultural risks; risks to assets, infrastructure, investments; risks to services (including ecosystems services); risks to ecosystems and species and multiple risks.

Section 4: Evidence and indicators of effectiveness of adaptation response/intervention including mal-adaptation and co-benefits:

- Is there any evidence that adaptation response successfully reduced risk or vulnerability?
- Are indicators or measures of 'effectiveness' identified?

- Did the response lead to better financial/economic outcomes?
- Did the response target the vulnerable group, and if yes, is there evidence that risk for that group declined?
- Did the response lead to better hydrological outcomes?
- Did the response lead to better ecological/environmental outcomes?
- Did the response lead to improved institutional/socio-cultural outcomes?
- Did the response lead to any other improved outcomes (not captured above)?
- Which aspect of risk (hazard, vulnerability or exposure) is reduced due to the adaptation response?
- Is there any consideration of new risks or maladaptation?
- Is there any reference to co-benefits?

Section 5: Adaptation finance and costs, enabling conditions and limits to adaptation

- Who financed the response?
- Are the costs of the response documented?
- Is there evidence of participative/bottom up governance?
- Is there evidence of polycentric/nested/multi-level governance?
- Is there any evidence of strong political support for the adaptation response?
- Are limits to adaptation described?
- Are these soft or hard limits?

Section 6. Assessing confidence in evidence:

- Does the study establish a causal relationship between adaptation response and outcomes?
- Does the study document correlation between the adaptation response and outcomes?
- Are there any major methodological limitations?
- Nature and type of data: qualitative, quantitative, remote sensing and GIS based data etc.;
- Did the document provide sufficient information to answer all these coding questions? (coherence);
- Comment on the quantity and quality of data upon which the findings are based (adequacy);
- What is the external validity of the evidence? (relevance)

Section 7. Concluding remarks:

- Any additional remarks that may have relevance for the review

Figure 1 provides a pictorial depiction of the coding protocol and figure 2 shows country-wise distribution of 385 case studies that measure effectiveness of current adaptation responses (Annex 1 provides complete bibliography of these 385 studies).

Coding platform, selection of coders, coding and data cleaning

Like the GAMI, we also used the online SysREV platform for coding the papers. This online tool enables multiple coders to code simultaneously, and all results are saved on SysREV server as .csv files. This is the link to the SysREV project that was created for the purpose of coding. <https://sysrev.com/u/1502/p/28357>

Coders were solicited through tweets and emails sent to professional networks. We received over 100 applications from prospective coders, and we chose a total of 28 coders, most of whom were early career researchers, that is, either in final stages of their PhD research, or are post-doctoral researchers. All coders were provided with a detailed manual, and we conducted two online training sessions. The coders were first asked to code at least 20 articles on a mock online platform, and were given feedback on the quality of coding, and suggestions for improvement. Thereafter, actual coding commenced from 3rd week of March 2020, and ended in early July 2020. Every two weeks, the first author of this paper took stock of the coding status and provided regular feedback for reconciliation. CSV output files were downloaded from SysREV site, and a smaller group of coders, along with the first author of this paper ensured that data was clean and complete in every respect. Cleaned data was analyzed using excel, R and Stata software, and qualitative information was analyzed using NVIVO software.

Section 1	Section 2:	Section 3	Section 4	Section 5	Section 6
Inclusion/Exclusion	Adaptation response	Hazard, vulnerability,risk	Effectiveness	Enabling conditions and limits	Data & Methods
Boolean & String	Boolean/categorical/string	Boolean/categorical/string	Mostly Boolean & Strings	Boolean/categorical/string	Mostly Boolean & Strings
Requires user consensus	User consensus not needed	User consensus not needed	Requires user consensus	User consensus not needed	User consensus not needed
Water-related adaptation	Describe water-adaptation	Hazard	Evaluate effectiveness	Bottom-up participative goernance	Confidence in methods
Case study of implemented response	Categorize water adaptation into 16 sub-categories	Vulnerability/exposure	Indicators of effectiveness	Polycentric governance	Type of data
Evaluates "effectiveness"	Category of response	Risk	Economic/Financial	Political support	Adequacy in data
Has at least 1 out of 6 effectiveness indicators	"Water use" subsectors	Categorize risk into 10 sub-categories	Impact on vulnerable groups	Limits to adaptation	Coherence of evidence
Published in or after 2014	Location		Water related outcomes		Relevance of evidence
Sufficient for coding	Scale of response		Ecological/environmental outcomes		Attribution of causality -- Is adaptation response to risk reduction causal?
	Indigenous & Local Knowledge		Social/cultural/institutional outcomes		
	Who responds/who initiated?		Any other outcomes		
			Which aspect of risk (hazard/vulberability/exposure) is reduced?		
	Water chapter codes		Maladaptation & Co-benefits		
	GAMI derived codes		Adaptation cost & Finance		

Figure 1. Main coding questions. Cells highlighted in green are same as GAMI codes, while those in blue are unique to this meta-review exercise. The second row from top describes the different sections of the coding protocol, third row from top mentions the type of data in that section (Boolean = Yes/No; Categorical= coders have to choose among several pre-defined categories, and string= quotes from papers); and fourth row from top shows if the answer requires user consensus or not. This means that for the answers to be counted, all coders must agree on the correct Yes/No answer. If answers differ, coders would consult each other, revisit their respective codes, and would arrive at a consensus. In

questions that did not require consensus, we considered the answer of the coder who answered “yes” to that question, and provided supporting quotation from the paper for the same. Our assumption was that it is more probable to miss coding an indicator, than to code it incorrectly, especially when for every indicator coded, there has to be a supporting statement.




Figure 2. Number of documented case studies of current adaptation responses in each country

6 Achievements against activities and outputs/milestones

Objective 1:

no.	activity	outputs/ milestones	completion date	comments
1.1	Create a database of relevant articles that deal with adaptation responses (this is a global database covering all regions of the world);	Two databases (1 for excluded case studies and another for included case studies) created in excel	30/07/2020	This database was mostly derived from GAMI database, even though we added some additional literature based on separate searches.
1.2	Use inclusion/exclusion criteria (explained in further details in the methodology section) to identify studies for further review;	Same as above	30/11/2020	
1.3	Code the studies using a coding schema to extract needed information on effectiveness of the adaptation response;	Same as above	30/11/2020	

PC = partner country, A = Australia

Objective 2:

no.	activity	outputs/ milestones	completion date	comments
2.1	Analyze results, and write a report documenting all water-related adaptation interventions that have been found to be effective in reducing climate-related risks.	A methodology paper submitted to Climate and Development Journal (also a part of this report) This report	15/12/2020 18/01/2021	Submitted to Climate and Development Journal, under review
2.2				
2.3				

PC = partner country, A = Australia

7 Key results and discussion

Summary results for current adaptation effectiveness

A total of 385 out of 1825 papers (~21%), published on or after 2014, satisfied all inclusion criteria because those papers measured effectiveness of adaptation response (see figure 3). We identified 16 major categories of adaptation responses and Table 1 provides further details.

Table 1. Number of datapoints* per adaptation options identified from the 385 studies that measures effectiveness of current water-related adaptation options

Sr. No.	Category of the water adaptation response	Description of the water adaptation response	No. of case studies (data points) which measure effectiveness of these responses
1	Changes in cropping pattern and crop systems	Changes in cropping pattern; Changes in timing of sowing and harvesting; On farm diversification.	128
2	Improved crop cultivars and agronomic practices	Improved crop cultivars; Improved agronomic practices	141
3	Irrigation and water management practices	Irrigation; On-farm water management; Water saving technologies.	109
4	Water and soil conservation	Water and soil conservation measures; Water harvesting; Water shed conservation programs; Revival of water bodies.	100
5	Migration and off-farm diversification	Spontaneous migration; Employment and remittances; Planned relocation; Off farm diversification	69
6	Collective action, policies and institutions	Collective action and cooperation; Community based adaptation; Local institutions; Water dispute resolution; Institutional and policy reforms.	79
7	Economic and financial incentives	Insurance; Micro-finance and credit programs; Social safety nets; Subsidies and incentives; Water markets and tariffs; Payment for ecosystems services.	51
8	Training and capacity building	Information, training and capacity building; Behavioral changes.	52
9	Flood risk reduction measures include	Non-structural measures; Structural measures; Early warning systems; Flood resilient housing; Wetland restoration.	51

Sr. No.	Category of the water adaptation response	Description of the water adaptation response	No. of case studies (data points) which measure effectiveness of these responses
10	Urban water management	Urban water management; Green infrastructure; Desalinization.	40
11	WaSH related adaptations	Hand washing and hygiene; Safe drinking water and sanitation	45
12	Agro-forestry and forestry related responses	Agro-forestry related measures; Forestry related measures;	27
13	Livestock and fishery related	Livestock related; Fishery related.	20
14	IK and LK based adaptations	Use of Indigenous, local and traditional knowledge	8
15	Energy related adaptations	Hydropower related; Other renewable energy related.	5
16	Any other including coping strategies	Reduction in consumption, selling of assets etc.	22

* Number of data points is larger than total number of studies, as one paper can document more than one adaptation response.




Figure 3. All numbers are in percentages a) total studies in the adaptation meta review database 100%, (n=1825); and all subsequent percentages are expressed as percentage to total number of studies in the database; b) percentage of studies on water-related adaptation responses implemented or otherwise; c) percentage of studies on implemented water related adaptation responses; d) percentage of studies that fulfils all inclusion criteria and measures effectiveness of adaptation response in reducing impacts; e) percentage of case studies which are found to be effective in reducing climate and associated impacts.

More than 80% of all adaptation related case studies published since 2014 are about water related adaptation, that is, the adaptation was in response to a water related hazard e.g. droughts, floods, rainfall variability, groundwater depletion, melting of cryosphere, soil

moisture changes etc.; or the adaptation response itself was water focused e.g. irrigation, soil moisture conservation; rainwater harvesting; wetlands conservation etc. However, only 21% of these studies measured effectiveness of adaptation in reducing climate and associated impacts, and 84% of studies that measured effectiveness (325 out of 385 studies), also found the adaptation response to be effective in reducing climate and associated impacts. (Figures 3 and 4). Of the 325 studies which found any of the above-mentioned water adaptation responses to be effective, 84% of responses/interventions, were found to be effective because those had positive economic/financial outcomes; 51% had positive water related outcomes; 40% had positive institutional/political outcomes; while only 38% and 37% respectively had positive environmental/ecological outcomes and positive outcomes on vulnerable groups.




Figure 4. Distribution of different categories of water adaptation responses and proportion of studies which measures effectiveness of adaptation response. This pie chart includes all 1202 cases of implemented water-related adaptation case studies i.e. category c of Figure 4, irrespective of whether or not they evaluated effectiveness of that response. Coloured wedges show a category of adaptation. For example, the blue wedge shows all water related adaptation responses. Light shaded wedges outside the bold coloured wedge shows proportion of studies in that particular category that does not measure effectiveness of the adaptation response; while the dark shaded wedges show the proportion of studies which measures effectiveness of adaptation response in reducing climate and associated impacts. Adaptation categories are mentioned in the previous section.

Most of the water adaptation responses are documented from Asia, followed by Africa (Figure 5), while majority of the adaptation action happens in agriculture, followed by water related disasters, urban-peri urban space and in WaSH sectors (Figure 6).




Figure 5. Location of case studies. Majority of the case studies are from Asia and Africa, and only a small proportion of all studies include effectiveness analysis




Figure 6. Sectoral distribution of case studies.

In the following subsections, we will discuss summary results from 4 main sectors, namely, agriculture, water induced disasters, urban and peri-urban and water for human migration and mobility.

Table 2 shows a snap shot of studies that documents effectiveness of adaptation responses to various hazards. Effectiveness is measured along various indicators, such as economic/financial; hydrological; ecological/environmental; institutional/socio-cultural or any other kinds of impacts not captured by above five indicators.

Table 2. Some examples of adaptation responses and their outcomes that reduces negative climate and associated impacts.

Hazard	Adaptation responses	Outcome type	Adaptation outcome	Reference
Droughts, floods, and general climate impacts in Nepal	Improved crop cultivars, agronomic practices, irrigation, soil water conservation measures	Economic and financial outcomes	Farming households that adapted, produced about 33% more rice than households that did not adapt, after controlling for all heterogeneity.	Khanal et al., 2018
Increased rainfall variability in India	Farmer's training on agronomic measures, e.g. alternate drying and wetting AWD, modified system of rice intensification MSRI and direct seeded rice DSR		The capacity building and water saving increased crop yields by 960kg/ha; 930 kg/ha and 770 kg/kg through adoption of AWD, MSRI and DSR respectively. The three practices have increased farmers' income and decreased the cost of cultivation by up to US\$169/ha.	Kakumanu et al., 2019
Droughts in North China Plains	Irrigation		Adding one extra irrigation could increase wheat yield by up to 12.8% in a severe drought year.	Wang et al., 2019
Soil degradation; extreme rainfall events high run-off causing erosion in Mali	Soil and water conservation using contour ridges and improved millet and sorghum cultivars		Millet grain yield in 2012-14 was statistically higher in contour ridge terrace plots compared to the control with yield difference ranging from 301kg/ha in 2012 to 622 kg/ha in 2013. Improved varieties produced on average 55% more yield than the local ones.	Traore et al., 2017
Drought, floods, hailstorm and erratic rainfall, Ethiopia	On farm agricultural water management		The net revenue from adopting a combination of agricultural water management and modern seeds or inorganic fertilizer is significantly higher by 7600 and 1500 Birr/ha respectively than adopting modern seeds or inorganic fertilizer alone.	Teklewold et al., 2017

Hazard	Adaptation responses	Outcome type	Adaptation outcome	Reference
Droughts and general climate impacts, South Africa	Crop insurance and irrigation		Farmers who insured their farm business, and had access to irrigation, had relatively higher net revenue than those who did not after controlling for other factors.	Elum et al., 2018
Droughts and floods in Kenya	Migration		Remittance income enables uptake of costlier adaptation measures such as change in livestock species, which also have higher returns for households.	Ng'ang'a et al., 2016
Droughts in Nigeria	Drought tolerant varieties		Per capita food expenditure of those who adopted drought tolerant maize was significantly lower than those who did not.	Wossen et al., 2017
Water quality deterioration due to floods in Bangladesh	Water, sanitation and health WaSH program	Impacts on vulnerable groups	Children: Prevalence of childhood diarrhea reduced by 35% in midline prevalence 8.9% and by 73% in end line prevalence 3.6% compared to baseline prevalence 13.7%.	Dey et al., 2019
Droughts in Zimbabwe	Adoption of drought tolerant maize varieties by small holder farmers		Small holder farmers: Smallholder farmers practicing conservation agriculture CA were found to be as likely to adopt drought tolerant maize varieties as other farmers and benefits from increased yields and incomes.	Makate et al., 2017
Historically widespread and severe droughts in Ethiopia in 1999, 2002, 2003, 2005 and 2008.	Government safety net program called Productive Safety Net Program PSNP		Poor households: PSNP transfers reduces chronic poverty level from 15.7% to 10.6% and increases the share of never poor from 11.5% to 15.8%.	Gao & Mills, 2018
Soil degradation and rainfall variability in Tanzania	Conservation agriculture	Water related impacts	Relative to conventional farming, rainwater use efficiency was higher by 36 to 47 % in plots that followed conservation agricultural practices.	Kimaro et al., 2016

Hazard	Adaptation responses	Outcome type	Adaptation outcome	Reference
Droughts in Kenya	Water harvesting structures, e.g. sand dams		Sand dams increase groundwater storage in riverbanks by up to 40% which is maintained throughout the year	Ryan & Elsner, 2016
Millennium drought in Australia	Water trading		Irrigation application rates in fell in the dairy industry from 4.2 million liters/ha in 2000–2001 to 3.5 million liters/ha in 2005–2006	Kirby et al., 2014
General climate impacts in Italy	Precision agriculture and low-cost soil moisture monitoring		Water productivity yield per unit of water was more than double in plots with water saving techniques, as compared to control plots	Masseroni et al., 2015
Rainfall variability and extreme rainfall events in Mexico	Improved agronomic practices, including zero tillage	Ecological and environmental outcomes	Zero tillage leads to significant decline in energy use for farming, hence has high mitigation co-benefits	Torres et al.2019
General climate impacts, including rainfall variability in Brazil	Agro-forestry systems as land use in rural municipalities		Plants planted as a part of agro-forestry program provides thermal comfort to both animals and humans	Schembergue et al., 2017
Drought in 2015 in Ethiopia	Contour ridge terraces as soil water conservation measure		Contour ridge terraces primarily controlled water runoff and soil erosion and acted as a buffer during the 2015 Ethiopian drought	Kosmowski, 2018
Drought and rainfall variability in Pakistan	Climate smart agricultural practices	Institutional and sociocultural outcomes	Farmers who adopted climate smart practices also tended to form better relationship with local extension agents and reached out to them more frequently.	Imran et al., 2019
Droughts, Mexico	Strengthening of local water users' associations through external assistance programs		Local water user's associations were able to reduce water abstractions during years of severe droughts.	Villamayor-Tomas & García-López, 2017

Hazard	Adaptation responses	Outcome type	Adaptation outcome	Reference
Rainfall variability in Niger	Community based adaptation and through adaptation learning programs		Stronger social networks where women were able to take decisions	Vardakoulias & Nicholles, 2015

Source: An expanded version of this tables appears in Chapter 4, IPCC AR6, WGII Report (Second Order Draft) and is currently open for expert review

Summary results for agriculture related adaptation responses

Over 80% (312 papers out of 385 papers) document agriculture related responses, and hence, most of the responses in Table 1 were found to be relevant for the agriculture sector. Figure 7 shows the incidence of various adaptation responses in agriculture, and their relative importance. This follows the overall results presented in the previous section.




Figure 7. Adaptation responses in agriculture sector

Droughts, changes in precipitation and general climate impacts were the top three hazards faced by farmers and majority of the adaptation responses mentioned above were in response to these waters related hazards (Table 3).

Table 3. Hazards to which farmers are responding

S.No.	Hazards	Number of responses
1	Drought (too little water)	234
2	Precipitation change; extreme precipitation (too much water)	182
3	General climate impacts	151

S.No.	Hazards	Number of responses
4	Extreme heat increased frequency and intensity	102
5	Inland and riverine floods (too much water)	44
6	Soil erosion and sediment load change	35
7	Groundwater availability change (too little water)	29
8	Other (state)	26
9	Cryosphere change (too much or too little water)	13
10	Poor water quality (too dirty water)	7

Geographically, most of the documented adaptation responses are from Africa and Asia, as Figure 8 shows. The top five countries from which these responses are documented are: India (32), China (23), Ethiopia (23), Kenya (23) and Bangladesh (21).




Figure 8. Geographical distribution of agricultural related adaptation responses

Most adaptation responses are forged and implemented at local level. Of the 312 documented case studies that related to agricultural adaptation responses, 300 case studies mentioned that those were local responses, implemented by farmers, households and local governments, while only 12 of these case studies documented responses that were national

in scale. Local knowledge and indigenous knowledge (IK and LK) play an important role in shaping these adaptation responses. Of the 312 case studies, a little over half (156) mentioned that adaptation response was based on local knowledge and another 24 case studies documented the use of Indigenous knowledge in crafting adaptation response. Majority of the adaptation responses are being undertaken by households and individuals, and this is followed by government action at all levels, while role of private sector is still quite negligible, in spite of vast amounts of literature that discusses the need for private sector investments in forging adaptation action (Table 4).

Table 4: Who is adapting to, or initiating adaptation responses in agriculture sector?

S.No.	Who is adapting/initiating adaptation?	Number of Responses
1	Individual and HHs	253
2	Government (national)	84
3	Civil society (sub-national/local)	70
4	Civil society (international/multinational/national)	43
5	Government (local)	42
6	International or multinational governance institutions	33
7	Government (sub-national)	23
8	Others	23
9	Private sector (SME)	13
10	Private sector (corporations)	10
11	Not assessed/Not available/Not known	1

Not all adaptation responses in the agriculture sector have an explicit focus on vulnerable people, but when they do, it majority of those responses actually target small and marginal farmers, followed by low income/poor households, and women (Table 5).

Table 5. Which vulnerable group was targeted by the adaptation response?

Sr. No.	Category of people targeted by adaptation response	Number of responses
1	Small and marginal farmers	108
2	Low-income/Poor	46
3	Women	43
4	Indigenous people	18
5	Farmers without land rights	15
6	Others (specify)	12
7	Ethnic/religious minorities	8
8	Landless labourers	8
9	Youth and children	8
10	Elderly	5
11	Migrants	4
12	People with disability	2

Majority of the adaptation responses are undertaken to reduce risks to livelihoods and economic risks, but rarely is the focus on unidimensional risk, instead, most adaptation initiatives are geared towards reducing multiple risks as Table 6 shows.

Table 6: What kinds of risks did the adaptation response address?

S.No.	What are the risks?	Number of responses
1	Risk to livelihoods	234
2	Economic risks	227
3	Multiple risks	215
4	Risks to health and well-being	75
5	Risks to services (including ecosystems services)	22
6	Social risks	21
7	Risks to ecosystems and species	17
8	Cultural risks	14
9	Risk not clearly stated	14
10	Risks to assets, infrastructure, investments	7

S.No.	What are the risks?	Number of responses
11	Risk to life	4

Finally, we were interested in understanding if these adaptation responses were effective in reducing climate and associated risks (also see Table 6). We see that majority of risk reduction were related to improvements in crop yields, and incomes; followed by positive water impacts, such as efficient water use. However, downstream impacts of local (plot) level water interventions have rarely been documented (Table 7).

Table 7. Aspect of effectiveness measured and it's frequency of incidence

S.No	Indicators of effectiveness	Number of cases which measure aspect of effectiveness	Number of cases where risk has been reduced
1	Economic- Financial outcomes	261	227
2	Water related outcomes	155	138
3	Impacts on vulnerable groups	149	125
4	Ecological-environmental outcomes	134	116
5	Institutional/sociocultural outcomes	123	104
6	Any other outcomes	67	56
7	Downstream/watershed level impact	30	24

Summary results for adaptation responses to water related disasters

After agriculture, the next most frequent water sub-sector where adaptation responses are documented are related to water disasters. Inland riverine floods, and droughts are the two most important disasters identified in the documents against which adaptation responses are forged. Majority of drought related adaptations are in the agriculture sector, while adaptations for floods are also in non-agricultural context, such as urban flooding. Flood risk reduction measures, including both structural and non-structural measures are two of the most important adaptation responses, followed by collective actions, policies and institutions, such as laws and policies for flood protection. Water and soil conservation measures are also documented in the context of droughts, while training and capacity building (mostly flood preparedness measures) are relatively well documented too. Table 8 lists the top 8 adaptation responses, and their effectiveness in reducing water disaster

related risks, while Table 9 also lists the major adaptation categories, with some examples of actual adaptation responses.

Table 8. Top eight adaptation responses used to address water-related disasters

Sr. No.	Name of the adaptation category	Number of responses	Reduces risk (or is effective in reducing risk)	% to total
1	Flood risk reduction measures	40	31	78%
2	Collective action, policies, institutions	26	21	81%
3	Water and soil moisture conservation	17	16	94%
4	Training and capacity building	17	15	88%
5	Changes in cropping pattern and crop systems	15	14	93%
6	Migration & off-farm diversification	12	8	67%
7	Economic/financial incentives	12	11	92%
8	Agro-forestry and forestry interventions	11	11	100%

Table 9: Categories of adaptation responses that addresses water related disasters

Sr. No.	Adaptation category	Examples	# Responses
1.	Adaptation category: Behavioral/cultural	Remittances, financial support, constructing traditional structures, alternate livelihoods	41
2.	Adaptation category: Ecosystem-based	Water and soil moisture conservation, crop rotation, agroforestry and forestry interventions, traditional combined fishing and paddy farming methods, SUDS	26

Sr. No.	Adaptation category	Examples	# Responses
		(Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems), mangrove restoration, Room for the River	
3.	Adaptation category: Institutional	National Disaster Risk Reduction Policies and Plans, institutional guidelines, public awareness programs, sharing knowledge and resources, self-organization of communities	39
4.	Adaptation category: Technological/infrastructure	Flood barriers, and flood proof housing, Urban infrastructure and planning, waterproofing, barriers and other infrastructural solutions, farming technology for productivity improvement, land use planning, fisheries technology	48

Majority of the documented cases are also from Asia, closely followed by Africa (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Number of case studies from different continents

Again, just like in agriculture sector, majority of the responses have been initiated by households and individuals, but very closely followed by government actions and initiatives, while private sector action does not seem to be very prominent (Table 10).

Table 10. Who is adapting to water related disasters?

Sr. No.	Who is adapting/initiating adaptation?	# of responses
1.	Individual and households	44
2.	Initiated by Government (national)	34
3.	Initiated by Civil society (sub-national/local)	32
4.	Initiated by: Civil society (international/multinational/national)	17
5.	Initiated by Government (local)	23
6.	Initiated by International or multinational governance institutions	11
7.	Initiated by: Others	7
8.	Initiated by Government (sub-national)	17
9.	Private sector (SME)	4
10.	Initiated by Private sector (corporations)	2
11.	Not assessed/Not available/Not known	0

Majority of these adaptations have reduced vulnerability and exposure, rather than the possibility of hazard per se, as hazard reduction is contingent upon successful mitigation.

Enabling conditions for effective adaptation

We coded three important enabling conditions, namely, participative governance, polycentric multilevel nested governance and strong political will. Of the 325 case studies which were deemed to be “effective” in reducing climate and related risks, 111 of those

reported instances of community participation and some mechanisms of participative governance and another 64 reported polycentric and multi-level governance structures.

Table 11. Cross tabulation of cases which were deemed to be effective and ineffective in reducing risks, with enabling conditions

Number of case studies which report (number of cases/% to total) :	Participative governance (number of cases/% to total)	Polycentric governance (number of cases/% to total)	Strong political will (number of cases/% to total)
Effective in reducing risk (325/84%)	111 (34% of cases which were deemed to be effective)	64 (19.6% of cases which were deemed to be effective)	97 (30% of cases which were deemed to be effective)
Not effective in reducing risk (60/15.6%)	9 (15% of case which were not deemed to be effective)	13 (21.6% of case which were not deemed to be effective)	18 (30% of case which were not deemed to be effective)

For example, in Buena Milpa agricultural development project in conflict affected Guatemala, a commission of natural resource management was established in each of the 68 communities and these were integrated into an overarching organization called Coordinadora de Comisiones de Recursos Naturales (COCOREMA). Members of the COCOREMA were responsible for developing an annual plan for the management of the natural reserve and presented it to the municipal authorities as well as the rest of the 68 commissions for their approval. COCOREMA played a crucial role in the mediation and communication between local traditional authorities and municipal authorities and provided an excellent example of both participative and multi-layered government (Hellin et al. 2018). Strong political will for formulation of adaptation response and its implementation is also noted in 97 out of the 325 case studies which were deemed to be “effective”. Further in-depth analysis is needed for unpacking these enabling conditions.

Maladaptation and limits to adaptation

An adaptation response can be effective in reducing climate and associated risks in the immediate short term but may be maladaptive in the long term. Of the 325 case studies where adaptation response was found to be effective, 111 of those also mentioned the possibility that those responses can be maladaptive. Examples include increased use of fertilizer (Khanal et al. 2018) and herbicides (Kakumanu et al. 2019) to increase crop production in Nepal and India; and use of improved millets to increase production in Sahel, while knowing that shift to more water intensive variety of millet under a changing condition may be detrimental to their livelihoods in years of rainfall failure (Lalou et al. 2019).

Of the 385 case studies that documented effectiveness of adaptation response, as many as 316 studies also pointed out the limits to adaptation. Majority (238 out of 316) of these studies mentioned “soft” limits to adaptation, which includes limits or barriers such as poor governance, lack of capacity, knowledge and information, and economic and financial constraints to adapt, while only 23 studies mentioned “hard” limits to adaptation and included issues like borewells turning dry due to groundwater over-exploitation and melting or surging of glaciers that destabilizes irrigation systems in the mountains. Further, majority of the studies (180 out of 316) found poor governance to be the main barrier to adaptation, followed by lack of information and awareness.

Observations on quality of studies

As mentioned earlier, we classified the studies into 3 categories, high, medium and low (see section on methodology for definitions). So categorized, 72 studies out of 385 fully coded studies were deemed to be “high” quality; 155 were of medium quality and 158 were of ‘low’ quality. This shows that high quality studies are relatively less in number and more work needs to be done to causally link the adoption of an adaptation response, to its effectiveness.

Impacts

7.1 Scientific impacts – now and in 5 years

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive compilation of all water related adaptation cases studies globally. We hope to publish a series of 5-10 papers in the coming one year and take full advantage of the database. The papers so published, and the open access data base (after 1 year) will remain an important source of knowledge on adaptation responses and it's effectiveness. Results from this study have been used in Chapter 4 of the IPCC, and IPCC chapters often set the scientific agenda for climate related studies.

7.2 Capacity impacts – now and in 5 years

Not applicable. This project was not about capacity building, though results, particularly those showing that trainings and capacity building are effective adaptation measures may lead to more investments in capacity building in the developing countries. A total of 28 young coders contributed to coding exercise, and roughly 50% of them are from global South.

7.3 Community impacts – now and in 5 years

Not applicable. This is a desk review project and does not intend to have community level impacts. Most of the impacts are expected to be in the scientific domain.

7.3.1 Economic impacts

NA

7.3.2 Social impacts

NA

7.3.3 Environmental impacts

NA

7.4 Communication and dissemination activities

Given the short duration of the project (only 6 months), separate communication and dissemination activities were not planned.

8 Conclusions and recommendations

This study managed to create a huge database where 1800 plus studies were coded. Of these, 385 studies were coded fully across 100 plus variables, because these studies had measured effectiveness of adaptation responses in reducing risks. The studies which were excluded because they did not measure effectiveness, were also coded across 10 variables. Given the short duration of the study (6 months) and that it took almost the entire time to code the papers, and do quality controls, the analysis in this report is mostly limited to summary statistics.

8.1 Conclusions

These are some of our high-level conclusions:

First, majority (~80%) of climate adaptation responses are about water, that is, either the hazard is water related, or the response is water related or both. Water, therefore, is central to adaptation.

Second, while there are thousands of documented cases of adaptation, only around a quarter of them actually measure effectiveness of adaptation in reducing risk, and only a very small percentage of those studies (~20%) which measure effectiveness, uses appropriate methodologies that can link adaptation response with effectiveness in a rigorous and defensible way. This is a cause of concern, because we do not know if the investments in adaptation are bearing fruit.

Third, majority of the water adaptation responses are about incremental adaptation, that is adaptation which aims to improve existing ways of doing things (e.g. better crop varieties replacing older varieties), without tackling root causes of vulnerability. Transformative adaptation are few and far between, and migration, capacity building and trainings seem to be the only two adaptation responses which have transformative possibilities.

Fourth, majority of the adaptation responses are in the agricultural sector, followed by adaptation in water induced disasters sector. This makes sense because agriculture is the main consumptive water user, and water related disasters are one of the most important causes of loss of lives, livelihoods and property world-wide.

An “effective” adaptation can also be maladaptive in the long run and there are several barriers or limits to adaptation. Most of these barriers or limits are “soft” limits and possibly can be overcome with investments in capacity building and better governance.

Hard limits to adaptation has not yet being reached in most locations, except perhaps in some of the small island states and in the remote mountains where melting of cryosphere has meant that very source of water has been compromised, leading to hard limits to adaptation.

8.2 Recommendations

The main recommendation from our short 6-month study is that while larger patterns of adaptation and centrality of water in adaptation is quite clear, more time and effort is needed to get an in-depth understanding of several unanswered questions. For example, the authors have not yet been able to delve fully into the coded papers and answer some of the more interesting questions, such as: which among the adaptation responses seem to be most effective in reducing risks? Are adaptation responses more likely to successful when several adaptation responses are adapted simultaneously or in isolation? What are the enabling conditions that differentiate a successful adaptation response from a not so successful adaptation response? These questions need further analysis of the data base.

Findings from this study can also inform future adaptation projects. Given how few of these adaptation projects are actually assessed in terms of their effectiveness, and how often the outcomes are also maladaptive, requires re-thinking in the way adaptation investments are done, and monitored.

9 References

9.1 References cited in report

- Berrang-Ford, L. ; A Lesnikowski; AP Fischer; AR Siders; KJ Mach; A Thomas; M Callaghan; N Haddaway; R Bezner Kerr; R Biesbroek; KJ Bowen; D Deryng; S Elliott; JD Ford; M Garschagen; E Gilmore; SL Harper; M Hassnoot; T Lissner; S Lwasa; AK Magnan; J Minx; M Morecroft; M New; E Coughlan de Perez; D Reckien; NP Simpson; C Singh; L Stringer; E Totin; C Trisos; M Van Aalst. 2020. The Global Adaptation Mapping Initiative (GAMI): Part 1 – Introduction and overview of methods. Submitted to Nature Protocol Exchange.
- Bijl, D. L., Biemans, H., Bogaart, P. W., Dekker, S. C., Doelman, J. C., Stehfest, E., & van Vuuren, D. P. (2018). A Global Analysis of Future Water Deficit Based On Different Allocation Mechanisms. *Water Resources Research*, 54(8), 5803–5824.
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2017wr021688>
- Boonwichaia, Siriwat, Sangam Shrestha*, Mukand S. Babela, Sutat Weesakula, and Avishek Dattab. 2018. “Climate Change Impacts on Irrigation Water Requirements, Crop Water Productivity and Rice Yield in the Songkhram River Basin, Thailand.” *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Brouziyne, Youssef, Aziz Abouabdillah, Abdelaziz Hirich, Rachid Bouabid, Rashyd Zaaboul, and Lahcen Benaabidate. 2018. “Modeling Sustainable Adaptation Strategies toward a Climate-Smart Agriculture in a Mediterranean Watershed under Projected Climate Change Scenarios.” *Agricultural Systems* 162 (August 2017): 154–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2018.01.024>.
- Chami, D El, and A Daccache. 2015. “Assessing Sustainability of Winter Wheat Production under Climate Change Scenarios in a Humid Climate — An Integrated Modelling Framework.” *AGSY* 140: 19–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2015.08.008>.
- Dudula, John, and Timothy O Randhir. 2016. “Modeling the Influence of Climate Change on Watershed Systems : Adaptation through Targeted Practices.” *Journal of Hydrology* 541: 703–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.07.020>.
- Fischer; AP; M Callaghan; L Berrang-Ford; M Nielsen; G Sotnik; Ivan Villaverde Canosa; NR Haddaway; R Biesbroek; SL Harper; J Minx; E Coughlan de Perez; D Reckien; M Van Aalst. 2020. The Global Adaptation Mapping Initiative (GAMI): Part 2 – Screening protocol. Submitted to Nature Protocol Exchange
- Gain, A. K., Giupponi, C., & Wada, Y. (2016). Measuring global water security towards sustainable development goals. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(12).

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/124015>

- GCA. (2019). *Adapt Now : A global call for leadership on climate resilience*. Rotterdam and Washington DC. Retrieved from https://cdn.gca.org/assets/2019-09/GlobalCommission_Report_FINAL.pdf
- Giri, Subhasis, Richard G. Lathrop, and Christopher C. Obropta. 2020. "Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Strategies through Best Management Practices." *Journal of Hydrology* 580 (July 2019): 124311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124311>.
- Gosling, S. N., & Arnell, N. W. (2016). A global assessment of the impact of climate change on water scarcity. *Climatic Change*, 134(3), 371–385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0853-x>
- Greve, P., T. Kahil, J. Mochizuki, T. Schinko, Y. Satoh, P. Burek, G. Fischer, S. Tramberend, R. Burtscher, S. Langan, and Y. Wada. 2018. "Global Assessment of Water Challenges under Uncertainty in Water Scarcity Projections." *Nature Sustainability* 1(9):486–94.
- Guyennon, Nicolas, Franco Salerno, Ivan Portoghese, and Emanuele Romano. 2017. "Climate Change Adaptation in a Mediterranean Semi-Arid Catchment: Testing Managed Aquifer Recharge and Increased Surface Reservoir Capacity." *Water (Switzerland)* 9 (9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9090689>.
- GWP. (2018). *Preparing to adapt : the untold story of water in climate change adaptation processes*. Retrieved from <https://www.gwp.org/globalassets/global/events/cop24/gwp-ndc-report.pdf>.
- Hellin, J., Ratner, B. D., Meinzen-Dick, R., & Lopez-Ridaura, S. (n.d.). Increasing social-ecological resilience within small-scale agriculture in conflict-affected Guatemala. *Ecology and Society*.
- Holman, Ian P., Calum Brown, Timothy R. Carter, Paula A. Harrison, and Mark Rounsevell. 2018. "Improving the Representation of Adaptation in Climate Change Impact Models." *Regional Environmental Change*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1328-4>.
- IPCC. (2018). Summary for Policy Makers. In V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, J. S. D. Roberts, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, ... T. Waterfield (Eds.), *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change*, (p. 24). Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/SR15_SPM_version_report_LR.pdf
- Jalota, S.K., B.B. Vashisht, Harsimran Kaur, Samanpreet Kaur, and Prabhjyot Kaur. 2014.

- “Location Specific Climate Change Scenario and Its Impact on Rice and Wheat in Central Indian Punjab.” *Agricultural Systems* 131 (November): 77–86.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2014.07.009>.
- Jiménez Cisneros, B.E., T. Oki, N.W. Arnell, G. Benito, J.G. Cogley, P. Döll, T. Jiang, and S.S. Mwakalila, 2014: Freshwater resources. In: *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 229-269.
- Kakumanu, K. R., Kotapati, G. R., Nagothu, U. S., Kuppanan, P., & Kallam, S. R. (2019). Adaptation to climate change and variability: a case of direct seeded rice in Andhra Pradesh, India. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 10(2), 419–430.
- Khanal, U., Wilson, C., Hoang, V. N., & Lee, B. (2018). Farmers’ Adaptation to Climate Change, Its Determinants and Impacts on Rice Yield in Nepal. *ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS*, 144, 139–147.
- Kothari, Kritika, Srinivasulu Ale, Ahmed Attia, Nithya Rajan, Qingwu Xue, and Clyde L. Munster. 2019. “Potential Climate Change Adaptation Strategies for Winter Wheat Production in the Texas High Plains.” *Agricultural Water Management* 225 (August): 105764.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105764>.
- Kummu, M., Guillaume, J. H. A., de Moel, H., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Porkka, M., ... Ward, P. J. (2016). The world’s road to water scarcity: shortage and stress in the 20th century and pathways towards sustainability. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 38495.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38495><https://www.nature.com/articles/srep38495#supplementary-information>
- Lalou, R., Sultan, B., Muller, B., & Ndonky, A. (2019). Does climate opportunity facilitate smallholder farmers’ adaptive capacity in the Sahel? *Palgrave Communications*, 5(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0288-8>
- Lesnikowski, A., L Berrang-Ford; AR Siders; NR Haddaway; R Biesbroek; SL Harper; J Minx; E Coughlan de Perez; D Reckien; M New; C Singh; A Thomas; E Totin; C Trisos; B VanBavel. 2020. The Global Adaptation Mapping Initiative (GAMI): Part 3 – Coding protocol. Submitted to Nature Protocol Exchange
- Levis, Samuel, Andrew Badger, Beth Drewniak, Cynthia Nevison, and Xiaolin Ren. 2018. “CLMcrop Yields and Water Requirements: Avoided Impacts by Choosing RCP 4.5 over

- 8.5.” Climatic Change 146 (3–4): 501–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1654-9>.
- Lychuk, Taras E., Robert L. Hill, Roberto C. Izaurre, Bahram Momen, and Allison M. Thomson. 2017. “Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Effectiveness of Adaptation Options on Crop Yield in the Southeastern United States.” *Field Crops Research* 214 (September): 228–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.09.020>.
- Martins, Minella Alves, Javier Tomasella, and Cássia Gabriele Dias. 2019. “Maize Yield under a Changing Climate in the Brazilian Northeast: Impacts and Adaptation.” *Agricultural Water Management* 216 (February): 339–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.02.011>.
- Mekonnen, Mesfin M., and Arjen Y. Hoekstra. 2016. “Four Billion People Facing Severe Water Scarcity.” *Science Advances* 2(2):e1500323.
- Paymard, Parisa, Mohammad Bannayan, and Reza Sadrabadi Haghghi. 2018. “Analysis of the Climate Change Effect on Wheat Production Systems and Investigate the Potential of Management Strategies.” *Natural Hazards* 91 (3): 1237–55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-018-3180-8>.
- Zaman, R. Mostafa, Saeed Morid, and Majid Delavar. 2016. “Evaluating Climate Adaptation Strategies on Agricultural Production in the Siminehrud Catchment and Inflow into Lake Urmia, Iran Using SWAT within an OECD Framework.” *Agricultural Systems* 147: 98–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2016.06.001>.
- Ricciardi, V., Wane, A., Sidhu, B. S., Goode, C., Solomon, D., McCullough, E., ... Mehrabi, Z. (2020). A scoping review of research funding for small-scale farmers in water scarce regions. *Nature Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00623-0>
- Rising, James, and Naresh Devineni. 2020. “Crop Switching Reduces Agricultural Losses from Climate Change in the United States by Half under RCP 8.5.” *Nature Communications*, no. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18725-w>.
- Schewe, Jacob, Simon N. Gosling, Christopher Reyer, Fang Zhao, Philippe Ciais, Joshua Elliott, Louis Francois, et al. 2019. “State-of-the-Art Global Models Underestimate Impacts from Climate Extremes.” *Nature Communications* 10 (1): 1005. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08745-6>.
- Schleussner, C.-F., Lissner, T. K., Fischer, E. M., Wohland, J., Perrette, M., Golly, A., Rogelj, J., Childers, K., Schewe, J., Frieler, K., Mengel, M., Hare, W., & Schaeffer, M. (2016). Differential climate impacts for policy-relevant limits to global warming: the case of 1.5 °C and 2 °C. *Earth System Dynamics*, 7(2), 327–351. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-7-327-2016>
- Shrestha, Sangam, and Aung Ye Htut. 2016. “Modelling the Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Hydrology of the Bago River Basin, Myanmar.” *International Journal of River Basin*

Management. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2016.1164177>.

Singh, Piara, K. J. Boote, M. D.M. Kadiyala, S. Nedumaran, S. K. Gupta, K. Srinivas, and M. C.S. Bantilan. 2017. “An Assessment of Yield Gains under Climate Change Due to Genetic Modification of Pearl Millet.” *Science of the Total Environment* 601–602: 1226–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.002>.

UNEP 2016. The Adaptation Finance Gap Report 2016. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi

Yang, Jia, Wei Ren, Ying Ouyang, Gary Feng, Bo Tao, Joshua J. Granger, and Krishna P. Poudel. 2019. “Projection of 21st Century Irrigation Water Requirement across the Lower Mississippi Alluvial Valley.” *Agricultural Water Management* 217 (February): 60–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.02.033>.

9.2 List of publications produced by project

Mukherji, A., Lissner T., Möller T., Caretta M.A, Fischer A.P, Berrang Ford L. Submitted. 2020. A methodology for measuring effectiveness of current and future water-related adaptation responses. *Climate and Development*.

10 Appendixes

10.1 Appendix 1:

Annex 1: List of 385 case studies that measure effectiveness of current water related adaptation responses

- Abass, R., Mensah, A., & Fosu-Mensah, B. (n.d.). The role of formal and informal institutions in smallholder agricultural adaptation: The case of lawra and nandom districts, Ghana. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*.
- Abbas, A., Amjath-Babu, T. S., Kächele, H., Usman, M., Amjed Iqbal, M., Arshad, M., ... Müller, K. (n.d.). Sustainable survival under climatic extremes: linking flood risk mitigation and coping with flood damages in rural Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*.
- Abdulai, I., Jassogne, L., Graefe, S., Asare, R., Van Asten, P., Läderach, P., & Vaast, P. (n.d.). Characterization of cocoa production, income diversification and shade tree management along a climate gradient in Ghana. *PLoS ONE*.
- Abi, M., Kessler, A., Oosterveer, P., & Tolossa, D. (n.d.). Adapting the current mass mobilization approach in Ethiopia to enhance its impact on sustainable land management: Lessons from the Sago-kara watershed. *Journal of Environmental Management*.
- Abid, M., Schneider, U. A., & Scheffran, J. (n.d.). Adaptation to climate change and its impacts on food productivity and crop income: Perspectives of farmers in rural Pakistan. *Journal of Rural Studies*.
- Abu, R., & Reed, M. G. (n.d.). Adaptation through bricolage: Indigenous responses to long-term social-ecological change in the Saskatchewan River Delta, Canada. *Canadian Geographer*.
- Acevedo-Osorio, Á., Leiton, A. A., Durán, M. V. L., & Quiroga, K. L. F. (2017). Sustentabilidad y variabilidad climática: Acciones agroecológicas participativas de adaptación y resiliencia socioecológica en la región alto-andina colombiana. *Revista Luna Azul*, 44(44), 6–26. <https://doi.org/10.17151/luaz.2017.44.2>
- Adhikari, S., Baral, H., & Nitschke, C. (n.d.). Adaptation to Climate Change in Panchase Mountain Ecological Regions of Nepal. *Environments*.
- Adhikari, S. P., Timsina, K. P., & Lamichhane, J. (n.d.). Adoption and impact of rain water harvesting technology on rural livelihoods: The case of Makwanpur district, Nepal. *Rural Extension and Innovation Systems Journal*.
- Ahmad, M., Mustafa, G., & Iqbal, M. (n.d.). Impact of farm households' adaptations to climate change on food security: Evidence from different agro-ecologies of Pakistan. *Pakistan Development Review*.
- Ahmed, M. N. Q., & Haq, S. M. A. (2019). Indigenous people's perceptions about climate change, forest resource management, and coping strategies: a comparative study in

- Bangladesh. *ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY*, 21(2), 679–708.
- Ajibade, I., & McBean, G. (n.d.). Climate extremes and housing rights: A political ecology of impacts, early warning and adaptation constraints in Lagos slum communities. *Geoforum*.
- Albert, S., Bronen, R., Tooler, N., Leon, J., Yee, D., Ash, J., ... Grinham, A. (n.d.). Heading for the hills: climate-driven community relocations in the Solomon Islands and Alaska provide insight for a 1.5 °C future. *Regional Environmental Change*.
- Alemayehu, A., & Bewket, W. (n.d.). Smallholder farmers' coping and adaptation strategies to climate change and variability in the central highlands of Ethiopia. *Local Environment*.
- Ali, A., & Erenstein, O. (2017). Assessing farmer use of climate change adaptation practices and impacts on food security and poverty in Pakistan. *Climate Risk Management*, 16, 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2016.12.001>
- Álvarez-Berriós, N. L., Soto-Bayó, S., Holupchinski, E., Fain, S. J., & Gould, W. A. (2018). Correlating drought conservation practices and drought vulnerability in a tropical agricultural system. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 33(3), 279–291. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S174217051800011X>
- Amadu, F. O., Miller, D. C., & McNamara, P. E. (2020). Agroforestry as a pathway to agricultural yield impacts in climate-smart agriculture investments: Evidence from southern Malawi, None-None.
- Andrew, J. T., & Sauquet, E. (2017). Climate Change Impacts and Water Management Adaptation in Two Mediterranean-Climate Watersheds: Learning from the Durance and Sacramento Rivers. *WATER*, 9(2), None-None.
- Aniah, P., Kaunza-Nu-Dem, M. K., & Ayembilla, J. A. (n.d.). Smallholder farmers' livelihood adaptation to climate variability and ecological changes in the savanna agro ecological zone of Ghana. *Heliyon*.
- Antwi-Agyei, P., Dougill, A. J., Stringer, L. C., & Codjoe, S. N. A. (n.d.). Adaptation opportunities and maladaptive outcomes in climate vulnerability hotspots of northern Ghana. *Climate Risk Management*.
- Apraku, A., Akpan, W., & Moyo, P. (2018). Indigenous Knowledge, Global Ignorance? Insights from an Eastern Cape Climate Change Study. *SOUTH AFRICAN REVIEW OF SOCIOLOGY*, 49, 1–21.
- Araos, M., Ford, J., Berrang-Ford, L., Biesbroek, R., & Moser, S. (n.d.). Climate change adaptation planning for Global South megacities: the case of Dhaka. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*.
- Arfanuzzaman, M., Mammun, N., Islam, M. S., Dilshad, T., & Syed, M. A. (n.d.). Evaluation of adaptation practices in the agriculture sector of Bangladesh: An ecosystem based assessment. *Climate*.

- Arslan, A., Cavatassi, R., Alfani, F., Mccarthy, N., Lipper, L., & Kokwe, M. (n.d.). Diversification Under Climate Variability as Part of a CSA Strategy in Rural Zambia. *Journal of Development Studies*.
- Arslan, A., Mccarthy, N., Lipper, L., Asfaw, S., Cattaneo, A., & Kokwe, M. (n.d.). Climate Smart Agriculture? Assessing the Adaptation Implications in Zambia. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*.
- Aryal, J. P., Jat, M. L., Sapkota, T. B., Rahut, D. B., Rai, M., Jat, H. S., ... Stirling, C. (n.d.). Learning adaptation to climate change from past climate extremes:: Evidence from recent climate extremes in Haryana, India. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*.
- Asayehegn, K., Temple, L., Sanchez, B., & Iglesias, A. (n.d.). Perception of climate change and farm level adaptation choices in central Kenya. *Cahiers Agricultures*.
- Asfaw, S., Pallante, G., & Palma, A. (2018). Diversification Strategies and Adaptation Deficit: Evidence from Rural Communities in Niger. *World Development*, 101, 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.09.004>
- Asif, F. (n.d.). Urban Climate Resilience in Southeast Asia. *The Urban Book Series*.
- Asmare, F., Teklewold, H., & Mekonnen, A. (n.d.). The effect of climate change adaptation strategy on farm households welfare in the Nile basin of Ethiopia: Is there synergy or trade-offs? *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*.
- Assan, E., Suvedi, M., Olabisi, L. S., & Allen, A. (2018). Coping with and Adapting to Climate Change: A Gender Perspective from Smallholder Farming in Ghana. *ENVIRONMENTS*, 5(8), None-None.
- Ayeb-Karlsson, S., van der Geest, K., Ahmed, I., Huq, S., & Warner, K. (2016). A people-centred perspective on climate change, environmental stress, and livelihood resilience in Bangladesh. *Sustainability Science*, 11(4), 679–694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-016-0379-z>
- Azumah, S. B., Donkoh, S. A., & Ansah, I. G. K. (n.d.). Contract farming and the adoption of climate change coping and adaptation strategies in the northern region of Ghana. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.
- Bacud, S. T. (2018). Integration of Indigenous and Scientific Knowledge in Disaster Risk Reduction: Resilience Building of a Marginalized Sampaguita Growing Community in the Philippines. *Procedia Eng*.
- Bahadur, D., & Ali, A. (2018). Impact of climate-change risk- coping strategies on livestock productivity and household welfare : empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Heliyon*, (March), e00797–e00797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00797>
- Bahinipati, C. S., & Patnaik, U. (n.d.). The damages from climatic extremes in India: do disaster-specific and generic adaptation measures matter? *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*.

- Bai, J., Xu, Z., Qiu, H., & Liu, H. (n.d.). Optimising seed portfolios to cope ex ante with risks from bad weather: Evidence from a recent maize farmer survey in China. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*.
- Balama, C., Augustino, S., Eriksen, S., & Makonda, F. B. S. (n.d.). The role of priority non-timber forest products in enhancing local adaptive capacity to climate change stresses in Kilombero district, Tanzania. *Climate and Development*.
- Balasubramanya, S., Giordano, M., Wichelns, D., & Sherpa, T. (2014). Sharing hydropower revenues in Nepal, over time and across districts and regions. *Water Resources and Rural Development*, 4(C), 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wrr.2014.10.007>
- Balehegn, M., Eik, L. O., & Tesfay, Y. (n.d.). Silvopastoral system based on *Ficus thonningii*: an adaptation to climate change in northern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science*.
- Banerjee, R. R. (n.d.). Farmers' perception of climate change, impact and adaptation strategies: a case study of four villages in the semi-arid regions of India. *Natural Hazards*.
- Barrucand, M. G., Vieira, C. G., & Canziani, P. O. (2017). Climate change and its impacts: perception and adaptation in rural areas of Manizales, Colombia. *CLIMATE AND DEVELOPMENT*, 9, 415–427.
- Barua, P., Rahman, S. H., & Molla, M. H. (n.d.). Sustainable adaptation for resolving climate displacement issues of south eastern islands in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*.
- Basupi, L. V., Quinn, C. H., & Dougill, A. J. (2019). Adaptation strategies to environmental and policy change in semi-arid pastoral landscapes: Evidence from Ngamiland, Botswana. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 166(January), 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2019.01.011>
- Bate, B. G., Kimengsi, J. N., & Amawa, S. G. (2019). Determinants and policy implications of farmers' climate adaptation choices in rural Cameroon. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(7), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11071921>
- Bedeke, S., Vanhove, W., Gezahegn, M., Natarajan, K., & Van Damme, P. (n.d.). Adoption of climate change adaptation strategies by maize-dependent smallholders in Ethiopia. *NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*.
- Bedelian, C., & Ogutu, J. O. (n.d.). Trade-offs for climate-resilient pastoral livelihoods in wildlife conservancies in the Mara ecosystem, Kenya. *Pastoralism*.
- Berhanu, W., & Beyene, F. (2015). Climate Variability and Household Adaptation Strategies in Southern Ethiopia. *SUSTAINABILITY*, 7(6), 6353–6375.
- Berhe, M., Hoag, D., Tesfay, G., Tadesse, T., Oniki, S., Kagatsume, M., & Keske, C. M. H. (2017). The effects of adaptation to climate change on income of households in rural Ethiopia. *Pastoralism*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-017-0084-2>

- Bhatta, L. D., Van Oort, B. E. H., Stork, N. E., & Baral, H. (n.d.). Ecosystem services and livelihoods in a changing climate: Understanding local adaptations in the Upper Koshi, Nepal. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services and Management*.
- Birk, T., & Rasmussen, K. (n.d.). Migration from atolls as climate change adaptation: Current practices, barriers and options in Solomon Islands. *Natural Resources Forum*.
- Birtchnell, T., Gill, N., & Sultana, R. (n.d.). Sleeper cells for urban green infrastructure: Harnessing latent competence in greening Dhaka's slums. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*.
- Bott, L. M., & Braun, B. (n.d.). How do households respond to coastal hazards? A framework for accommodating strategies using the example of Semarang Bay, Indonesia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*.
- Bremer, S., Haque, M. M., Aziz, S. Bin, & Kvamme, S. (n.d.). 'My new routine': Assessing the impact of citizen science on climate adaptation in Bangladesh. *Environmental Science and Policy*.
- Brottem, L., & Brooks, B. (2018). Crops and livestock under the sun: Obstacles to rural livelihood adaptations to hotter 21st century temperatures in eastern Senegal. *LAND DEGRADATION & DEVELOPMENT*, 29, 118–126.
- Brown, H. C. P., & Sonwa, D. J. (n.d.). Rural local institutions and climate change adaptation in forest communities in Cameroon. *Ecology and Society*.
- Brüssow, K., Faße, A., & Grote, U. (2017). Implications of climate-smart strategy adoption by farm households for food security in Tanzania. *Food Security*, 9(6), 1203–1218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-017-0694-y>
- Burchfield, E. K., & Gilligan, J. (n.d.). Agricultural adaptation to drought in the Sri Lankan dry zone. *Applied Geography*.
- Burchfield, E. K., & Gilligan, J. M. (2016). Proceedings of the 2016 Winter Simulation Conference T. M. K. Roeder, P. I. Frazier, R. Szechtman, E. Zhou, T. Huschka, and S. E. Chick, eds., 1678–1689.
- Burney, J., Cesano, D., Russell, J., La Rovere, E. L., Corral, T., Coelho, N. S., & Santos, L. (n.d.). Climate change adaptation strategies for smallholder farmers in the Brazilian Sertão. *Climatic Change*.
- Burnham, M., & Ma, Z. (2018). Multi-Scalar Pathways to Smallholder Adaptation. *World Development*, 108(May 2018), 249–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.08.005>
- Burnham, M., & Ma, Z. (2017). Climate change adaptation: factors influencing Chinese smallholder farmers' perceived self-efficacy and adaptation intent. *Regional Environmental Change*, 17(1), 171–186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-016-0975-6>

- Cavan, G., & Hare, P. O. (2016). (Climate Change Management) Walter Leal Filho Climate Change Adaptation, Resilience and Hazards.pdf, 225–240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39880-8>
- Chan, N. W., Tan, M. L., Ghani, A. A., & Zakaria, N. A. (2019). Sustainable urban drainage as a viable measure of coping with heat and floods due to climate change. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 257(1), 0–12. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/257/1/012013>
- Chanza, N., Chigona, A., Nyahuye, A., Mataera-Chanza, L., Mundoga, T., & Nondo, N. (2019). Diagnosing barriers to climate change adaptation at community level: reflections from Silobela, Zimbabwe. *GeoJournal*, 84(3), 771–783. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-018-9890-3>
- Chengappa, P. G., Devika, C. M., & Rudragouda, C. S. (n.d.). Climate variability and mitigation: perceptions and strategies adopted by traditional coffee growers in India. *Climate and Development*.
- Chesterman, N. S., Entwistle, J., Chambers, M. C., Liu, H. C., Agrawal, A., & Brown, D. G. (n.d.). The effects of trainings in soil and water conservation on farming practices, livelihoods, and land-use intensity in the Ethiopian highlands. *Land Use Policy*.
- Chitongo, L. (n.d.). Rural livelihood resilience strategies in the face of harsh climatic conditions. The case of ward 11 Gwanda, South, Zimbabwe. *Cogent Social Sciences*.
- Choko, O. P., Olabisi, L. S., Onyeneke, R. U., Chiemela, S. N., Liverpool-Tasie, L. S. O., & Rivers, L. (2019). A Resilience Approach to Community-Scale Climate Adaptation. *SUSTAINABILITY*, 11(3100), None-None.
- Chu, E. K. (n.d.). Urban climate adaptation and the reshaping of state–society relations: The politics of community knowledge and mobilisation in Indore, India. *Urban Studies*.
- Climáticas, M. (n.d.). Sistemas Agroflorestais como Estratégia de Adaptação aos Desafios das.
- Cooper, S. J., & Wheeler, T. (n.d.). Adaptive governance: Livelihood innovation for climate resilience in Uganda. *Geoforum*.
- Córdova, R., Hogarth, N. J., & Kanninen, M. (2019). Mountain farming systems' exposure and sensitivity to climate change and variability: Agroforestry and conventional agriculture systems compared in Ecuador's Indigenous Territory of Kayambi people. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(9), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11092623>
- Cornish, P. S., Choudhury, A., Kumar, A., Das, S., Kumbakhar, K., Norrish, S., & Kumar, S. (2015). Improving crop production for food security and improved livelihoods on the East India Plateau II. Crop options, alternative cropping systems and capacity building. *Agricultural Systems*, 137, 180–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2015.02.011>

- Da Cunha, D. A., Coelho, A. B., & Féres, J. G. (2015). Irrigation as an adaptive strategy to climate change: An economic perspective on Brazilian agriculture. *Environment and Development Economics*, 20(1), 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X14000102>
- Daly-Hassen, H., Annabi, M., & King-Okumu, C. (n.d.). Social and private profitability of tree-based adaptation options to climate change in a dryland area of Tunisia. *New Medit.*
- Dapilah, F., Nielsen, J. Ø., & Friis, C. (n.d.). The role of social networks in building adaptive capacity and resilience to climate change: a case study from northern Ghana. *Climate and Development.*
- Debray, V., Wezel, A., Lambert-Derkimba, A., Roesch, K., Lieblein, G., & Francis, C. A. (n.d.). Agroecological practices for climate change adaptation in semiarid and subhumid Africa. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems.*
- Delaporte, I., & Maurel, M. (2018). Adaptation to climate change in Bangladesh. *Climate Policy*, 18(1), 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2016.1222261>
- Deligios, P. A., Chergia, A. P., Sanna, G., Solinas, S., Todde, G., Narvarte, L., & Ledda, L. (n.d.). Climate change adaptation and water saving by innovative irrigation management applied on open field globe artichoke. *Science of the Total Environment.*
- Devkota, R. P., Cockfield, G., & Maraseni, T. N. (n.d.). Perceived community-based flood adaptation strategies under climate change in Nepal. *International Journal of Global Warming.*
- Dewi, N., Kusnandar, & Rahayu, E. S. (2018). Risk mitigation of climate change impacts on rice farming through crop insurance: an analysis of farmer's willingness to participate (a case study in Karawang Regency, Indonesia). *International Conference on Climate Change (ICCC 2018)*. IOP Publishing Limited
- Dey, N. C., Parvez, M., Islam, M. R., Mistry, S. K., & Levine, D. I. (2019). Effectiveness of a community-based water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) intervention in reduction of diarrhoea among under-five children: Evidence from a repeated cross-sectional study (2007–2015) in rural Bangladesh. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 222(8), 1098–1108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.08.006>
- Dhakai, S., Sedhain, G. K., & Dhakai, S. C. (n.d.). Climate change impact and adaptation practices in agriculture: A case study of Rautahat District, Nepal. *Climate.*
- Di Falco, S., & Veronesi, M. (n.d.). Managing Environmental Risk in Presence of Climate Change: The Role of Adaptation in the Nile Basin of Ethiopia. *Environmental and Resource Economics.*
- Dobson, S., Nyamweru, H., & Dodman, D. (2015). Local and participatory approaches to building resilience in informal settlements in Uganda. *Environment and Urbanization*, 27(2), 605–620. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247815598520>

- Douxchamps, S., Van Wijk, M. T., Silvestri, S., Moussa, A. S., Quiros, C., Ndour, N. Y. B., ... Rufino, M. C. (2016). Linking agricultural adaptation strategies, food security and vulnerability: evidence from West Africa. *Regional Environmental Change*, *16*(5), 1305–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0838-6>
- Duží, B., Vikhrov, D., Kelman, I., Stojanov, R., & Juříčka, D. (n.d.). Household measures for river flood risk reduction in the Czech Republic. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*.
- Egbinola, C. N., Olaniran, H. D., & Amanambu, A. C. (2017). Flood management in cities of developing countries: the example of Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, *10*, 546–554.
- Elum, Z. A., Nhamo, G., & Antwi, M. A. (n.d.). Effects of climate variability and insurance adoption on crop production in select provinces of South Africa. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*.
- England, M. I., Dougill, A. J., Stringer, L. C., Vincent, K. E., Pardoe, J., Kalaba, F. K., ... Afionis, S. (2018). Climate change adaptation and cross-sectoral policy coherence in southern Africa. *REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE*, *18*(7), 2059–2071.
- Escarcha, J. F., Lassa, J. A., Palacpac, E. P., & Zander, K. K. (2018). Understanding climate change impacts on water buffalo production through farmers' perceptions. *Climate Risk Management*, *20*, 50–63.
- Etongo, D., Djenontin, I. N. S., Kanninen, M., & Fobissie, K. (2015). Smallholders' Tree Planting Activity in the Ziro Province, Southern Burkina Faso: Impacts on Livelihood and Policy Implications. *Forests*, *6*(8), 2655–2677.
- Fadul, E., Masih, I., & De Fraiture, C. (2019). Adaptation strategies to cope with low, high and untimely floods: Lessons from the Gash spate irrigation system, Sudan. *Agricultural Water Management*, *217*, 212–225.
- Fagariba, C. J., Song, S., & Soule, S. K. G. (n.d.). Factors influencing farmers' climate change adaptation in Northern Ghana: Evidence from subsistence farmers in sissala west, Ghana. *Journal of Environmental Science and Management*.
- Fallon, D. S. M., & Sullivan, C. A. (2014). Are We There Yet? NSW local governments' progress on climate change. *Australian Geographer*, *45*(2), 221–238.
- Fentie, A., & Beyene, A. D. (n.d.). Climate-smart agricultural practices and welfare of rural smallholders in Ethiopia: Does planting method matter? *Land Use Policy*.
- Fenton, A., Paavola, J., & Tallontire, A. (n.d.). The Role of Microfinance in Household Livelihood Adaptation in Satkhira District, Southwest Bangladesh. *World Development*.
- Fenton, A., Paavola, J., & Tallontire, A. (2017). Autonomous adaptation to riverine flooding in Satkhira District, Bangladesh: implications for adaptation planning. *Regional Environmental Change*, *17*(8), 2387–2396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1159-8>

- Ferchichi, I., Marlet, S., & Zairi, A. (2017). How Farmers Deal with Water Scarcity in Community-Managed Irrigation SYSTEMS: A Case Study in Northern Tunisia. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 66(4), 556–566. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2135>
- Ferdous, M. R., Wesselink, A., Brandimarte, L., Slager, K., Zwarteveen, M., & Di Baldassarre, G. (2019). The costs of living with floods in the Jamuna floodplain in Bangladesh. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(6), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11061238>
- Fernández-Giménez, M. E., Batkhishig, B., Batbuyan, B., & Ulambayar, T. (n.d.). Lessons from the Dzud: Community-Based Rangeland Management Increases the Adaptive Capacity of Mongolian Herders to Winter Disasters. *World Development*.
- Filho, W. L., Adamson, K., Dunk, R. M., Azeiteiro, U. M., Illingworth, S., & Alves, F. (2016). Implementing Climate Change Adaptation in Cities and Communities. *Climate Change Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28591-7>
- Finkbeiner, E. M. (n.d.). The role of diversification in dynamic small-scale fisheries: Lessons from Baja California Sur, Mexico. *Global Environmental Change*.
- Fischer, A. P. (n.d.). Adapting and coping with climate change in temperate forests. *Global Environmental Change*.
- Fisher, M., & Snapp, S. (2014). Small holder farmers' perceptions of drought risk and adoption of modern maize in Southern Malawi. *Experimental Agriculture*, 50(4), 533–548.
- Fisher, M., & Carr, E. R. (2015). The influence of gendered roles and responsibilities on the adoption of technologies that mitigate drought risk: The case of drought-tolerant maize seed in eastern Uganda. *Global Environmental Change*, 35, 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.08.009>
- Fitzgerald, J., & Lenhart, J. (n.d.). Eco-districts: can they accelerate urban climate planning? *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*.
- Flyen, C., Hauge, Å. L., Almås, A. J., & Godbolt, Å. L. (n.d.). Municipal collaborative planning boosting climate resilience in the built environment. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*.
- Freduah, G., Fidelman, P., & Smith, T. F. (n.d.). Adaptive capacity of small-scale coastal fishers to climate and non-climate stressors in the Western region of Ghana. *Geographical Journal*.
- Fuchs, L. E., Orero, L., Namoi, N., & Neufeldt, H. (2019). How to effectively enhance sustainable livelihoods in smallholder systems: A comparative study from Western Kenya. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11061564>
- Gao, J., & Mills, B. F. (n.d.). Weather Shocks, Coping Strategies, and Consumption Dynamics in Rural Ethiopia. *World Development*.
- García Sánchez, F., Solecki, W. D., & Ribalaygua Batalla, C. (2018). Climate change adaptation in Europe and the United States: A comparative approach to urban green

- spaces in Bilbao and New York City. *Land Use Policy*, 79(November 2017), 164–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.08.010>
- Gebru, A. A., Araya, A., Habtu, S., Wolde-Georgis, T., Teka, D., & Martorano, L. G. (n.d.). Evaluating water productivity of tomato, pepper and Swiss chard under clay pot and furrow irrigation technologies in semi-arid areas of northern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Water*.
- Gioli, G., Khan, T., Bisht, S., & Scheffran, J. (n.d.). Migration as an Adaptation Strategy and its Gendered Implications: A Case Study From the Upper Indus Basin. *Mountain Research and Development*.
- Githunguri, C. M. , Lung'ahi, E. G. , Kabugu, J. and Musili, R. (2015). Adapting African Agriculture to Climate Change. *Adapting African Agriculture to Climate Change*, 225–233. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13000-2>
- Gorst, A., Dehlavi, A., & Groom, B. (n.d.). Crop productivity and adaptation to climate change in Pakistan. *Environment and Development Economics*.
- Guodaar, L., Beni, A., & Benebere, P. (n.d.). Using a mixed-method approach to explore the spatiality of adaptation practices of tomato farmers to climate variability in the Offinso North District, Ghana. *Cogent Social Sciences*.
- Ha'apio, M. O., Gonzalez, R., & Wairiu, M. (2019). Is there any chance for the poor to cope with extreme environmental events? Two case studies in the Solomon Islands. *World Development*, 122, 514–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.06.023>
- Handschuh, C., & Wollni, M. (n.d.). Improved production systems for traditional food crops: the case of finger millet in western Kenya. *Food Security*.
- Hapsari, H., Hapsari, D., Karyani, T., & Fatimah, S. (2019). Adaptation of indigenous community agricultural systems on climate change (case study of Kasepuhan Ciptagelar, Sukabumi Regency, West Java).
- Hasan, M. K., Desiere, S., D'Haese, M., & Kumar, L. (n.d.). Impact of climate-smart agriculture adoption on the food security of coastal farmers in Bangladesh. *Food Security*.
- Havet, A., Coquil, X., Fiorelli, J. L., Gibon, A., Martel, G., Roche, B., ... Dedieu, B. (n.d.). Review of livestock farmer adaptations to increase forages in crop rotations in western France. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*.
- Hedelin, B. (2017). The EU Floods Directive trickling down: tracing the ideas of integrated and participatory flood risk management in Sweden. *WATER POLICY*, 19(2), 286–303.
- Hellin, J., Ratner, B. D., Meinzen-Dick, R., & Lopez-Ridaura, S. (n.d.). Increasing social-ecological resilience within small-scale agriculture in conflict-affected Guatemala. *Ecology and Society*.

- Hendel, M., & Royon, L. (n.d.). The effect of pavement-watering on subsurface pavement temperatures. *Urban Climate*.
- Ho, T. T., & Shimada, K. (2019). The effects of climate smart agriculture and climate change adaptation on the technical efficiency of rice farming—An empirical study in the mekong delta of Vietnam. *Agriculture (Switzerland)*, 9(5).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9050099>
- Hoang, M. H., Namirembe, S., van Noordwijk, M., Catacutan, D., Öborn, I., Perez-Teran, A. S., ... Dumas-Johansen, M. K. (n.d.). Farmer portfolios, strategic diversity management and climate-change adaptation - implications for policy in Vietnam and Kenya. *Climate and Development*.
- Hochman, Z., Horan, H., Reddy, D. R., Sreenivas, G., Tallapragada, C., Adusumilli, R., ... Roth, C. H. (n.d.). Smallholder farmers managing climate risk in India: 1. Adapting to a variable climate. *Agricultural Systems*.
- Holden, S. T., & Fisher, M. (n.d.). Subsidies promote use of drought tolerant maize varieties despite variable yield performance under smallholder environments in Malawi. *Food Security*.
- Hong, N. B., & Yabe, M. (2017). Improvement in irrigation water use efficiency: a strategy for climate change adaptation and sustainable development of Vietnamese tea production. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 19(4), 1247–1263.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-016-9793-8>
- Hossain, M. N., Chowdhury, S., & Paul, S. K. (n.d.). Farmer-level adaptation to climate change and agricultural drought: empirical evidences from the Barind region of Bangladesh. *Natural Hazards*.
- Hossain, M. N., & Paul, P. (n.d.). Impacts of climatic variability on agriculture and options for adaptation in the Surma River basin, Bangladesh. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*.
- Huang, J. K., Wang, Y. J., & Wang, J. X. (2015). Farmers' Adaptation to Extreme Weather Events through Farm Management and Its Impacts on the Mean and Risk of Rice Yield in China. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS*, 97(2), 602–617.
- Hurlbert, M. (n.d.). Adaptive institutional design in agri-environmental programs. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*.
- Hurlbert, M., & Pittman, J. (n.d.). Exploring adaptive management in environmental farm programs in Saskatchewan, Canada. *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research*.
- Imran, M. A., Ali, A., Ashfaq, M., Hassan, S., Culas, R., & Ma, C. (n.d.). Impact of Climate Smart Agriculture (CSA) practices on cotton production and livelihood of farmers in Punjab, Pakistan. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*.
- Imran, M. A., Ali, A., Ashfaq, M., Hassan, S., Culas, R., & Ma, C. (n.d.). Impact of climate smart agriculture (CSA) through sustainable irrigation management on

- Resource use efficiency: A sustainable production alternative for cotton. *Land Use Policy*.
- Iqbal, M. W., Donjadee, S., Kwanyuen, B., & Liu, S. yin. (n.d.). Farmers' perceptions of and adaptations to drought in Herat Province, Afghanistan. *Journal of Mountain Science*.
- Islam, M. M., Sallu, S., Hubacek, K., & Paavola, J. (2014). Migrating to tackle climate variability and change? Insights from coastal fishing communities in Bangladesh. *CLIMATIC CHANGE*, 124(4), 733–746.
- Islam, M. S., Hossain, M. Z., & Sikder, M. B. (n.d.). Drought adaptation measures and their effectiveness at Barind Tract in northwest Bangladesh: a perception study. *Natural Hazards*.
- Islam, M. Z., Kolade, O., & Kibreab, G. (n.d.). Post-disaster Housing Reconstruction: The Impact of Resourcing in Post-cyclones Sidr and Aila in Bangladesh. *Journal of International Development*.
- Jacobi, J., Schneider, M., Mariscal, M. P., Huber, S., Weidmann, S., Bottazzi, P., & Rist, S. (2015). Farm Resilience in Organic and Nonorganic Cocoa Farming Systems in Alto Beni, Bolivia. *AGROECOLOGY AND SUSTAINABLE FOOD SYSTEMS*, 39(7), 798–823.
- Jain, M., Naeem, S., Orlove, B., Modi, V., & DeFries, R. S. (2015). Understanding the causes and consequences of differential decision-making in adaptation research: Adapting to a delayed monsoon onset in Gujarat, India. *Global Environmental Change*, 31, 98–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.12.008>
- Jamaliah, M. M., & Powell, R. B. (2019). Integrated vulnerability assessment of ecotourism to climate change in Dana Biosphere Reserve, Jordan. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 22(14), 1705–1722. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1401982>
- Jamero, M. L., Onuki, M., Esteban, M., Billones-Sensano, X. K., Tan, N., Nellas, A., ... Valenzuela, V. P. (2017). Small-island communities in the Philippines prefer local measures to relocation in response to sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(8), 581–586. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE3344>
- Jaya, I. K. D., Sudirman, & Rosmilawati. (n.d.). Strip intercropping productivity of maize and pulse crops on a dryland. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference Postgraduate School of Universitas Airlangga: Implementation of Climate Change Agreement to Meet Sustainable Development Goals*.
- Jha, C. K., Gupta, V., Chattopadhyay, U., & Amarayil Sreeraman, B. (n.d.). Migration as adaptation strategy to cope with climate change: A study of farmers' migration in rural India. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*.
- Joshua, M. K., Ngongondo, C., Chipungu, F., Monjerezi, M., Liwenga, E., Majule, A., ... Lamboll, R. (n.d.). Climate change in semi-arid Malawi: Perceptions, adaptation strategies and water governance. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*.

- Kabir, M. J., Cramb, R., Alauddin, M., & Roth, C. (2016). Farming adaptation to environmental change in coastal Bangladesh: shrimp culture versus crop diversification. *ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY*, 18(4), 1195–1216.
- Kabir, M. J., Cramb, R., Alauddin, M., & Gaydon, D. S. (n.d.). Farmers' perceptions and management of risk in rice-based farming systems of south-west coastal Bangladesh. *Land Use Policy*.
- Kabir, M. J., Cramb, R., Alauddin, M., Roth, C., & Crimp, S. (2017). Farmers' perceptions of and responses to environmental change in southwest coastal Bangladesh. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 58(3), 362–378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apv.12165>
- Kaján, E. (2014). Arctic Tourism and Sustainable Adaptation: Community Perspectives to Vulnerability and Climate Change. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, 14(1), 60–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15022250.2014.886097>
- Kakumanu, K. R., Kotapati, G. R., Nagothu, U. S., Kuppanan, P., & Kallam, S. R. (2019). Adaptation to climate change and variability: a case of direct seeded rice in Andhra Pradesh, India. *JOURNAL OF WATER AND CLIMATE CHANGE*, 10(2), 419–430.
- Kakumanu, K. R., Kaluvai, Y. R., Balasubramanian, M., Nagothu, U. S., Kotapati, G. R., & Karanam, S. (n.d.). Adaptation To Climate Change: Impact of Capacity Building, India. *Irrigation and Drainage*.
- Kakumanu, K. R., Kaluvai, Y. R., Nagothu, U. S., Lati, N. R., Kotapati, G. R., & Karanam, S. (n.d.). Building Farm-Level Capacities in Irrigation Water Management to Adapt to Climate Change. *Irrigation and Drainage*.
- Kalungu, J. W., Filho, W. L., Mbugu, D. O., Cheruiyot, H. K., Improvement, C., & Programme, M. (n.d.). Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation. *Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation*.
- Kamara, J. K., Agho, K., & Renzaho, A. M. N. (n.d.). Understanding disaster resilience in communities affected by recurrent drought in Lesotho and Swaziland—A qualitative study. *PLoS ONE*.
- Kanda, A., Murongazvombo, M., & Ncube, F. (n.d.). Adapting household water use in rural Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*.
- Kankwamba, H., Kadzamira, M., & Pauw, K. (n.d.). How diversified is cropping in Malawi? Patterns, determinants and policy implications. *Food Security*.
- Karim, M. R., & Thiel, A. (n.d.). Role of community based local institution for climate change adaptation in the Teesta riverine area of Bangladesh. *Climate Risk Management*.
- Karlsson, M., & Hovelsrud, G. K. (n.d.). Local collective action: Adaptation to coastal erosion in the Monkey River Village, Belize. *Global Environmental Change*.

- Kattumuri, R., Ravindranath, D., & Esteves, T. (n.d.). Local adaptation strategies in semi-arid regions: study of two villages in Karnataka, India. *Climate and Development*.
- Kawaye, F. P., & Hutchinson, M. F. (n.d.). Are increases in maize production in malawi due to favourable climate or the farm input subsidy program (FISP)? *Climate Change Management*.
- Kayaga, S., & Smout, I. (2014). Tariff structures and incentives for water demand management. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Water Management*, 167(8), 448–456. <https://doi.org/10.1680/wama.12.00120>
- Kernecker, M., Vogl, C. R., & Aguilar Meléndez, A. (2017). Women’s local knowledge of water resources and adaptation to landscape change in the mountains of Veracruz, Mexico. *Ecology and Society*, 22(4). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09787-220437>
- Keshavarz, M., Karami, E., & Zibaei, M. (2014). Adaptation of Iranian farmers to climate variability and change. *REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE*, 14(3), 1163–1174.
- Khanal, U., Wilson, C., Hoang, V. N., & Lee, B. (2018). Farmers’ Adaptation to Climate Change, Its Determinants and Impacts on Rice Yield in Nepal. *ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS*, 144, 139–147.
- Khanal, U., Wilson, C., Hoang, V. N., & Lee, B. L. (n.d.). Autonomous adaptations to climate change and rice productivity: a case study of the Tanahun district, Nepal. *Climate and Development*.
- Khanal, U., Wilson, C., Lee, B. L., & Hoang, V. N. (2018). Climate change adaptation strategies and food productivity in Nepal: a counterfactual analysis. *Climatic Change*, 148(4), 575–590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2214-2>
- Kharumnuid, P., Rao, I. S., Sudharani, V., & Kumar, S. (n.d.). Farm level adaptation p[ractices of potato growing farmers in East Khasi Hills district of Meghalaya, India. *Journal of Environmental Biology*.
- Kimaro, A. A., Mpanda, M., Rioux, J., Aynekulu, E., Shaba, S., Thiong’o, M., ... Rosenstock, T. S. (2016). Is conservation agriculture ‘climate-smart’ for maize farmers in the highlands of Tanzania? *NUTRIENT CYCLING IN AGROECOSYSTEMS*, 105, 217–228.
- Kirby, M., Bark, R., Connor, J., Qureshi, M. E., & Keyworth, S. (n.d.). Sustainable irrigation: How did irrigated agriculture in Australia’s Murray-Darling Basin adapt in the Millennium Drought? *Agricultural Water Management*.
- Kloos, J., & Renaud, F. G. (2014). Organic cotton production as an adaptation option in north-west Benin. *OUTLOOK ON AGRICULTURE*, 43, 91–100.
- Kodirekkala, K. R. (n.d.). Cultural adaptation to climate change among indigenous people of South India. *Climatic Change*.

- Kontar, Y. Y., Bhatt, U. S., Lindsey, S. D., Plumb, E. W., & Thoman, R. L. (n.d.). Interdisciplinary approach to hydrological hazard mitigation and disaster response and effects of climate change on the occurrence of flood severity in central Alaska. *Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences*.
- Kosmowski, F. (2018). Soil water management practices (terraces) helped to mitigate the 2015 drought in Ethiopia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 204(February 2017), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.02.025>
- Krishnamurthy, L., Krishnamurthy, P. K., Rajagopal, I., & Peralta Solares, A. (2019). Can agroforestry systems thrive in the drylands? Characteristics of successful agroforestry systems in the arid and semi-arid regions of Latin America. *Agroforestry Systems*, 93(2), 503–513. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-017-0143-0>
- Kuzdas, C., Warner, B., Wiek, A., Yglesias, M., Vignola, R., & Ramírez-Cover, A. (n.d.). Identifying the potential of governance regimes to aggravate or mitigate local water conflicts in regions threatened by climate change. *Local Environment*.
- Lalou, R., Sultan, B., Muller, B., & Ndonky, A. (2019). Does climate opportunity facilitate smallholder farmers' adaptive capacity in the Sahel? *Palgrave Communications*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0288-8>
- Lamborn, C. C., & Smith, J. W. (2019). Human perceptions of, and adaptations to, shifting runoff cycles: A case-study of the Yellowstone River (Montana, USA). *FISHERIES RESEARCH*, 216, 96–108.
- Lei, Y. D., Liu, C. C., Zhang, L. B., & Luo, S. H. (2016). How smallholder farmers adapt to agricultural drought in a changing climate: A case study in southern China. *LAND USE POLICY*, 55, 300–308.
- Lei, Y. D., Zhang, H. L., Chen, F., & Zhang, L. B. (2016). How rural land use management facilitates drought risk adaptation in a changing climate - A case study in arid northern China. *SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT*, 550, 192–199.
- Lei, Y., Max Finlayson, C., Thwaites, R., Shi, G., & Cui, L. (n.d.). Using government resettlement projects as a sustainable adaptation strategy for climate change. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*.
- Lei, Y., Wang, J., Yue, Y., Yin, Y., & Sheng, Z. (2014). How adjustments in land use patterns contribute to drought risk adaptation in a changing climate-A case study in China. *Land Use Policy*, 36, 577–584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2013.10.004>
- Lemessa, S. D., Watebaji, M. D., & Yismaw, M. A. (2019). Climate change adaptation strategies in response to food insecurity: The paradox of improved potato varieties adoption in eastern Ethiopia. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2019.1640835>
- Li, X., Yang, Y., Liu, Y., & Liu, H. (n.d.). Impacts and effects of government regulation on farmers' responses to drought: A case study of North China Plain. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*.

- Lillo-Ortega, G., Aldunce, P., Adler, C., Vidal, M., & Rojas, M. (2019). On the evaluation of adaptation practices: a transdisciplinary exploration of drought measures in Chile. *Sustainability Science*, *14*(4), 1057–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0619-5>
- Lindoso, D. P., Eiro, F., Bursztyn, M., Rodrigues, S., & Nasuti, S. (2018). Harvesting Water for Living with Drought: Insights from the Brazilian Human Coexistence with Semi-Aridity Approach towards Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *SUSTAINABILITY*, *10*(3), None-None.
- Lindsay, A. (n.d.). Social learning as an adaptive measure to prepare for climate change impacts on water provision in Peru. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*.
- Ling, F. H., Tamura, M., Yasuhara, K., Ajima, K., & Trinh, C. Van. (2015). Reducing flood risks in rural households: survey of perception and adaptation in the Mekong delta. *Climatic Change*, *132*(2), 209–222. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1416-0>
- Loiola, C., Mary, W., & Pimentel da Silva, L. (n.d.). Hydrological performance of modular-tray green roof systems for increasing the resilience of mega-cities to climate change. *Journal of Hydrology*.
- Lu, P. (n.d.). Spatial planning to promote urban resilience in coping with climate change and flooding: Exploring two cases in Kaohsiung, Taiwan. *Climate Adaptation Governance in Cities and Regions: Theoretical Fundamentals and Practical Evidence*.
- Lukasiewicz, A., Pittock, J., & Finlayson, C. M. (n.d.). Are we adapting to climate change? A catchment-based adaptation assessment tool for freshwater ecosystems. *Climatic Change*.
- Macchi, M., Gurung, A. M., & Hoermann, B. (2015). Community perceptions and responses to climate variability and change in the Himalayas. *CLIMATE AND DEVELOPMENT*, *7*(5), 414–425.
- Madrigal-Ballesteros, R., & Naranjo, M. A. (2015). Adaptive capacity, drought and the performance of community-based drinking water organizations in Costa Rica. *JOURNAL OF WATER AND CLIMATE CHANGE*, *6*(4), 831–847.
- Makate, C., & Makate, M. (n.d.). Interceding role of institutional extension services on the livelihood impacts of drought tolerant maize technology adoption in Zimbabwe. *Technology in Society*.
- Makate, C., Makate, M., Mango, N., & Siziba, S. (n.d.). Increasing resilience of smallholder farmers to climate change through multiple adoption of proven climate-smart agriculture innovations. Lessons from Southern Africa. *Journal of Environmental Management*.
- Makate, C., Wang, R., Makate, M., & Mango, N. (2017). Impact of drought tolerant maize adoption on maize productivity, sales and consumption in rural Zimbabwe. *Agrekon*, *56*(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03031853.2017.1283241>

- Makate, C., Wang, R., Makate, M., & Mango, N. (2016). Crop diversification and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe: Adaptive management for environmental change. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2802-4>
- Mapfumo, P., Onyango, M., Honkponou, S. K., El Mzouri, E. H., Githeko, A., Rabeharisoa, L., ... Agrawal, A. (2017). Pathways to transformational change in the face of climate impacts: an analytical framework. *Climate and Development*, 9(5), 439–451. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2015.1040365>
- Mardero, S., Schmook, B., Radel, C., Christman, Z., Lawrence, D., Millones, M., ... Schneider, L. (n.d.). Smallholders adaptations to droughts and climatic variability in southeastern Mexico. *Environmental Hazards*.
- Martínez-Alvarez, V., González-Ortega, M. J., Martín-Gorriz, B., Soto-García, M., & Maestre-Valero, J. F. (n.d.). Seawater desalination for crop irrigation-Current status and perspectives. *Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Desalination Handbook*.
- Mashizha, T. M. (n.d.). Adapting to climate change: Reflections of peasant farmers in Mashonaland West Province of Zimbabwe. *Jambá Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*.
- Masseroni, D., Facchi, A., Vannutelli Depoli, E., Maria Renga, F., & Gandolfi, C. (n.d.). Water saving at the field scale with Irrig-OH , an open-hardware environment device for soil water potential monitoring and irrigation management. *Wiley Online Library*.
- McKune, S., Poulsen, L., Russo, S., Devereux, T., Faas, S., McOmber, C., & Ryley, T. (2018). Reaching the end goal: Do interventions to improve climate information services lead to greater food security? *CLIMATE RISK MANAGEMENT*, 22, 22–41.
- McMartin, D. W., & Hernani Merino, B. H. (n.d.). Analysing the links between agriculture and climate change: Can ‘best management practices’ be responsive to climate extremes? *International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology*.
- Megersa, B., Markemann, A., Angassa, A., Ogutu, J. O., Piepho, H. P., & Zarate, A. V. (2014). Livestock Diversification: an Adaptive Strategy to Climate and Rangeland Ecosystem Changes in Southern Ethiopia. *HUMAN ECOLOGY*, 42(4), 509–520.
- Mersha, A. A., & van Laerhoven, F. (n.d.). The interplay between planned and autonomous adaptation in response to climate change: Insights from rural Ethiopia. *World Development*.
- Mesquita, P. S., & Bursztyn, M. (2017). Food acquisition programs in the Brazilian semi-arid region: benefits to farmers and impacts of climate change. *Food Security*, 9(5), 1041–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-017-0711-1>
- Morote, Á. F., Olcina, J., & Hernández, M. (n.d.). The use of non-conventional water resources as a means of adaptation to drought and climate change in semi-arid regions: South-eastern Spain. *Water (Switzerland)*.
- Moulton, A. A. (n.d.). Agrarian change and the development of the greenhouse farming sector in Jamaica. *Caribbean Geography*.

- Mugambiwa, S. S. (n.d.). Adaptation measures to sustain indigenous practices and the use of indigenous knowledge systems to adapt to climate change in Mutoko rural district of Zimbabwe. *Jamba: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*.
- Mupakati, T., Tanyanyiwa, V. I., & Tanyanyiwa, V. (n.d.). United Nations Development Programme, Strengthening National Capacity for Climate Change Programme, Ministry of Environment, Water and Climate, Zimbabwe. *Jambá -Journal of Disaster Risk Studies ISSN Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*.
- Musah-Surugu, I. J., Ahenkan, A., Bawole, J. N., & Darkwah, S. A. (2018). Migrants' remittances: A complementary source of financing adaptation to climate change at the local level in Ghana. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 10(1), 178–196. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-03-2017-0054>
- Musinguzi, L., Efitre, J., Odongkara, K., Ogutu-Ohwayo, R., Muyodi, F., Natugonza, V., ... Naigaga, S. (n.d.). Fishers' perceptions of climate change, impacts on their livelihoods and adaptation strategies in environmental change hotspots: a case of Lake Wamala, Uganda. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.
- Mycoo, M. A. (2014). Autonomous household responses and urban governance capacity building for climate change adaptation: Georgetown, Guyana. *URBAN CLIMATE*, 9, 134–154.
- Nambi, A. ., Bahinipati, C. ., Raghunath, R., & Nagendran, R. (2015). Farm household level adaptation metrics for agriculture and water sectors.
- Naresh Kumar, S., Anuja, Rashid, M., Bandyopadhyay, S. K., Padaria, R., & Khanna, M. (n.d.). Adaptation of farming community to climatic risk: Does adaptation cost for sustaining agricultural profitability? *Current Science*.
- Nelson, G. L. M., Zamora, O. B., de Guzman, L. E. P., Tatlonghari, R. V, Espaldon, M. V. O., & Brillon, J. A. (n.d.). The indigenous practices and climate change responses of ati and suludnon farmers in Iloilo, Philippines. *Journal of Environmental Science and Management*.
- Neset, T. S., Wiréhn, L., Klein, N., Käyhkö, J., & Juhola, S. (2019). Maladaptation in Nordic agriculture. *Climate Risk Management*, 23(October 2018), 78–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2018.12.003>
- Newsham, A., Pulido, M. T., Ulrichs, M., Cruz, R. M., Ocon, X. C., Shankland, A., & Cannon, T. (2018). Ecosystems-based adaptation: Are we being conned? Evidence from Mexico. *GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE-HUMAN AND POLICY DIMENSIONS*, 49, 14–26.
- Ng'ang'a, S. K., Bulte, E. H., Giller, K. E., McIntire, J. M., & Rufino, M. C. (n.d.). Migration and Self-Protection Against Climate Change: A Case Study of Samburu County, Kenya. *World Development*.
- Ngigi, M. W., Müller, U., & Birner, R. (2018). Farmers' intrinsic values for adopting climate-smart practices in Kenya: empirical evidence from a means-end chain

- analysis. *Climate and Development*, 10(7), 614–624.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2018.1442786>
- Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., Matouš, P., & Isaac, M. E. (n.d.). Smallholder farmers' social networks and resource-conserving agriculture in Ghana: A multicase comparison using exponential random graph models. *Ecology and Society*.
- Nyikahadzoi, K., Adekunle, A., Fatunbi, & Zamasiya, B. (n.d.). Promoting production and marketing of root crops in Southern Africa in a changing climate using integrated agricultural research for development (IAR4D) pathway. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*.
- Ojha, H. R., Sulaiman V, R., Sultana, P., Dahal, K., Thapa, D., Mittal, N., ... Aggarwal, P. (n.d.). Is South Asian Agriculture Adapting to Climate Change? Evidence from the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*.
- Ojo, T. O., & Baiyegunhi, L. J. S. (n.d.). Determinants of climate change adaptation strategies and its impact on the net farm income of rice farmers in south-west Nigeria. *Land Use Policy*.
- Oka, N., Higashimaki, T., Witharana, D. D. P., & Wakeyo, M. B. (2015). Constraints and consensus on water use and land allocation in minor scheme tanks in the dry zone of Sri Lanka. *Scientific Papers-Series Management Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development*, 15. 185-190.
- Okunlola, J. O., Oke, D. O., Adekunle, V. A. J., & Owolabi, K. E. (n.d.). Effects of climate change and coping strategies among crop farmers in South West, Nigeria. *Agroforestry Systems*.
- Ombogoh, D. B., Tanui, J., McMullin, S., Muriuki, J., & Mowo, J. (2018). Enhancing adaptation to climate variability in the East African highlands: a case for fostering collective action among smallholder farmers in Kenya and Uganda. *CLIMATE AND DEVELOPMENT*, 10, 61–72.
- Opiyo, F., Wasonga, O., Nyangito, M., Schilling, J., & Munang, R. (2015). Drought Adaptation and Coping Strategies Among the Turkana Pastoralists of Northern Kenya. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DISASTER RISK SCIENCE*, 6(3), 295–309.
- Orlove, B., Milch, K., Zaval, L., Ungemach, C., Brugger, J., Dunbar, K., & Jurt, C. (n.d.). Framing climate change in frontline communities: anthropological insights on how mountain dwellers in the USA, Peru, and Italy adapt to glacier retreat. *Regional Environmental Change*.
- Osamu Saito, Gordana Kranjac-Berisavljevic, Kazuhiko Takeuchi, E. A. G. (2018). Strategies for Building Resilience against Climate and Ecosystem Changes in Sub-Saharan Africa. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4796-1>
- Oviedo, A. F. P., Mitraud, S., McGrath, D. G., & Bursztyn, M. (n.d.). Implementing climate variability at the community level in the Amazon floodplain. *Environmental Science and Policy*.

- Pak-Uthai, S., & Faysse, N. (2018). The risk of second-best adaptive measures: Farmers facing drought in Thailand. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 28, 711–719.
- Pandey, R., Aretano, R., Gupta, A. K., Meena, D., Kumar, B., & Alatalo, J. M. (2017). Agroecology as a Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Smallholders of Tehri-Garhwal in the Indian Himalayan Region. *Small-Scale Forestry*, 16(1), 53–63.
- Pandey, S. S., Cockfield, G., & Maraseni, T. N. (2016). Assessing the roles of community forestry in climate change mitigation and adaptation: A case study from Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 360, 400–407.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.09.040>
- Parvin, G. A., Shimi, A. C., Shaw, R., & Biswas, C. (2016). Flood in a changing climate: The impact on livelihood and how the rural poor cope in Bangladesh. *Climate*, 4(4).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/cli4040060>
- Patnaik, U., & Das, P. K. (2017). Do Development Interventions Confer Adaptive Capacity? Insights from Rural India. *World Development*, 97, 298–312.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.04.017>
- Patnaik, U., Das, P. K., & Bahinipati, C. S. (n.d.). Development interventions , adaptation decisions and farmers ’ well-being : evidence from drought- prone households in rural India. *Climate and Development*.
- Paulus, C. A., Pellokila, M. R., Sobang, Y. U. L., & Azmanajaya, E. (n.d.). The alternative livelihood development strategy in order to improve local fishermen revenue in the Border region of Indonesia and timor leste. *AACL Bioflux*.
- Pauw, P., & Pauw, P. (n.d.). The role of perception in subsistence farmer adaptation in Africa Enriching the climate finance debate.
- Penot, E., Fevre, V., Flodrops, P., & Razafimahatratra, H. M. (2018). Conservation Agriculture to buffer and alleviate the impact of climatic variations in Madagascar: Farmers’ perception. *Cahiers Agricultures*, 27(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1051/cagri/2018009>
- Petzold, J. (2018). Social adaptability in ecotones: Sea-level rise and climate change adaptation in flushing and the isles of scilly, UK. *Island Studies Journal*, 13(1), 101–118. <https://doi.org/10.24043/isj.17>
- Phiri, K., Dube, T., Moyo, P., Ncube, C., & Ndlovu, S. (n.d.). Small grains “resistance”? Making sense of Zimbabwean smallholder farmers’ cropping choices and patterns within a climate change context. *Cogent Social Sciences*.
- Phuong, L. T. H., Wals, A., Sen, L. T. H., Hoa, N. Q., Van Lu, P., & Biesbroek, R. (n.d.). Using a social learning configuration to increase Vietnamese smallholder farmers’ adaptive capacity to respond to climate change. *Local Environment*.
- Picketts, I. M. (n.d.). Practitioners, priorities, plans, and policies: assessing climate change adaptation actions in a Canadian community. *Sustainability Science*.

- Pinto, P. J., Kondolf, G. M., & Wong, P. L. R. (n.d.). Adapting to sea level rise: Emerging governance issues in the San Francisco Bay Region. *Environmental Science and Policy*.
- Pramudya, Y., Komariah, Dewi, W. S., Sumani, Mujiyo, Sukoco, T. A., & Rozaki, Z. (n.d.). Remote sensing for estimating agricultural land use change as the impact of climate change. *Land Surface and Cryosphere Remote Sensing III*.
- Pritchard, B., & Thielemans, R. (n.d.). 'Rising Waters Don't Lift All Boats': a sustainable livelihood analysis of recursive cycles of vulnerability and maladaptation to flood risk in rural Bihar, India. *Australian Geographer*.
- Quan, S. P., Li, Y. M., Song, J. X., Zhang, T., & Wang, M. Y. (2019). Adaptation to Climate Change and its Impacts on Wheat Yield: Perspective of Farmers in Henan of China. *SUSTAINABILITY*, 11(7), None-None.
- Quandt, A., Neufeldt, H., & McCabe, J. T. (n.d.). The role of agroforestry in building livelihood resilience to floods and drought in semiarid Kenya. *Ecology and Society*.
- Quandt, A., Neufeldt, H., McCabe, J. T., & Quandt, A. (n.d.). Building livelihood resilience : what role does agroforestry play ? Building livelihood resilience : what role does agroforestry play ? *Climate and Development*.
- Radel, C., Schmook, B., Carte, L., & Mardero, S. (n.d.). Toward a Political Ecology of Migration : Land , Labor Migration , and Climate Change in Northwestern Nicaragua. *World Development*.
- Raghavendra, K. J., & Suresh, A. (2018). Risk management in rainfed agriculture: An analysis of strategies and adaptations followed by farmers in Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 88(6), 895–901.
- Rahman, M. H., & Alam, K. (n.d.). Forest dependent indigenous communities' perception and adaptation to climate change through local knowledge in the protected area-A Bangladesh Case Study. *Climate*.
- Rahman, S., Islam, M. S., Khan, M. N. H., & Touhiduzzaman, M. (2019). Climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction (DRR) through coastal afforestation in South-Central Coast of Bangladesh. *MANAGEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY*, 30(3), 498–517.
- Rahmawati, H., & Lestari, A. M. T. (n.d.). Tackling everyday risks through climate adaptive organic farming. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*.
- Rahn, E., Liebig, T., Ghazoul, J., van Asten, P., Läderach, P., Vaast, P., ... Jassogne, L. (2018). Opportunities for sustainable intensification of coffee agro-ecosystems along an altitudinal gradient on Mt. Elgon, Uganda. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 263(April), 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.04.019>
- Rahut, D. B., & Ali, A. (2017). Coping with climate change and its impact on productivity, income, and poverty: Evidence from the Himalayan region of Pakistan. *International*

- Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 24, 515–525.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2017.05.006>
- Rai, R. K., Bhatta, L. D., Acharya, U., & Bhatta, A. P. (2018). Assessing climate-resilient agriculture for smallholders. *Environmental Development*, 27, 26–33.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2018.06.002>
- Rai, R. K., Neupane, K. R., Bajracharya, R. M., Dahal, N., Shrestha, S., & Devkota, K. (n.d.). Economics of climate adaptive water management practices in Nepal. *Heliyon*.
- Rey, D., Holman, I. P., & Knox, J. W. (n.d.). Developing drought resilience in irrigated agriculture in the face of increasing water scarcity. *Regional Environmental Change*.
- Roco, L., Bravo-Ureta, B., Engler, A., & Jara-Rojas, R. (n.d.). The impact of climatic change adaptation on agricultural productivity in Central Chile: A stochastic production frontier approach. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*.
- Rodríguez-Sinobas, L., Zubelzu, S., Perales-Momparler, S., & Canogar, S. (2018). Techniques and criteria for sustainable urban stormwater management. The case study of Valdebebas (Madrid, Spain). *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 402–416.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.070>
- Rodriguez-Solorzano, C. (2014). Unintended outcomes of farmers' adaptation to climate variability: Deforestation and conservation in Calakmul and Maya biosphere reserves. *Ecology and Society*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-06509-190253>
- Romankiewicz, C., Doevenspeck, M., Brandt, M., & Samimi, C. (2016). Adaptation as by-product: Migration and environmental change in Nguith, Senegal. *Erde*, 147(2), 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.12854/erde-147-7>
- Rouabhi, A., Mekhlouf, A., Mokhneche, S., & Elkolli, N. (2016). Farming transitions under Socio-economic and climatic constraints in the southern part of Setif, Algeria. *JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE AND ENVIRONMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT*, 110(1), 139–153.
- Roy, R. (2018). Evaluating the suitability of community-based adaptation: A case study of Bangladesh.
- Ruiz Meza, L. E. (n.d.). Adaptive capacity of small-scale coffee farmers to climate change impacts in the Soconusco region of Chiapas, Mexico. *Climate and Development*.
- Ryan, C., & Elsner, P. (2016). Ryan and Elsner_2016_Regional_Environment_Change.pdf. *Regional Environmental Change*, 16, 2087–2096. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-016-0938-y>
- Sain, G., Loboguerrero, A. M., Corner-Dolloff, C., Lizarazo, M., Nowak, A., Martínez-Barón, D., & Andrieu, N. (2017). Costs and benefits of climate-smart agriculture: The case of the Dry Corridor in Guatemala. *Agricultural Systems*, 151, 163–173.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2016.05.004>

- Salat, M., & Swallow, B. (2018). Resource Use Efficiency as a Climate Smart Approach: Case of Smallholder Maize Farmers in Nyando, Kenya. *Environments*, 5(8), 93. <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments5080093>
- Samuel, O. O., Sylvia, T. S., & Ricart, S. (2019). Establishing the nexus between climate change adaptation strategy and smallholder farmers' food security status in South Africa : A bi-casual effect using instrumental variable approach Establishing the nexus between climate change adaptation strategy an. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5(00). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2019.1656402>
- Sánchez, A., & Izzo, M. (n.d.). Micro hydropower: an alternative for climate change mitigation, adaptation, and development of marginalized local communities in Hispaniola Island. *Climatic Change*.
- Sansen, K., Wongboon, W., Jairin, J., & Kato, Y. (2019). Farmer-participatory evaluation of mechanized dry direct-seeding technology for rice in northeastern Thailand. *PLANT PRODUCTION SCIENCE*, 22(1), 46–53.
- Santos, A., Godinho, D. P., Vizinho, A., Alves, F., Pinho, P., Penha-Lopes, G., & Branquinho, C. (2018). Artificial lakes as a climate change adaptation strategy in drylands: evaluating the trade-off on non-target ecosystem services. *MITIGATION AND ADAPTATION STRATEGIES FOR GLOBAL CHANGE*, 23(6), 887–906.
- Sapkota, P., Keenan, R. J., Paschen, J. A., & Ojha, H. R. (n.d.). Social production of vulnerability to climate change in the rural middle hills of Nepal. *Journal of Rural Studies*.
- Sari, A. D., & Prayoga, N. (2018). Enhancing citizen engagement in the face of climate change risks: A case study of the flood early warning system and health information system in Semarang city, Indonesia. *Urban Book Ser.*
- Sarkar, S., Padaria, R. N., Vijayragavan, K., Pathak, H., Kumar, P., & Jha, G. K. (n.d.). Assessing the potential of indigenous technological knowledge (ITK) for adaptation to climate change in the Himalayan and arid ecosystems. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*.
- Sarkar, U. K., Roy, K., Karnatak, G., & Nandy, S. K. (2018). Adaptive climate change resilient indigenous fisheries strategies in the floodplain wetlands of West Bengal, India. *JOURNAL OF WATER AND CLIMATE CHANGE*, 9(3), 449–462.
- Sarmiento-Soler, A., Vaast, P., Hoffmann, M. P., Rotter, R. P., Jassogne, L., van Asten, P. J. A., & Graefe, S. (2019). Water use of Coffea arabica in open versus shaded systems under smallholder's farm conditions in Eastern Uganda. *AGRICULTURAL AND FOREST METEOROLOGY*, 266, 231–242.
- Saroar, M. M. (n.d.). Ecosystem-Based Adaptation (EbA) for Coastal Resilience Against Water Related Disasters in Bangladesh. *Climate Change Management*.
- Sayre, M., Stenner, T., & Argumedo, A. (n.d.). You Can't Grow Potatoes in the Sky: Building Resilience in the Face of Climate Change in the Potato Park of Cuzco, Peru. *Culture, Agriculture, Food and Environment*.

- Schattman, R. E., Méndez, V. E., Merrill, S. C., & Zia, A. (n.d.). Mixed methods approach to understanding farmer and agricultural advisor perceptions of climate change and adaptation in Vermont, United States. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*.
- Science, E. (n.d.). Climate village program (ProKlim) in Simurugul Sub-Village , Margawati Village , Garut Kota Sub-Regency , Garut Regency , West Java Province , Indonesia Climate village program (ProKlim) in Simurugul Sub-Village , Margawati Village , Garut Kota Sub-Re.
- Sesmero, J., Ricker-Gilbert, J., & Cook, A. (n.d.). How do african farm households respond to changes in current and past weather patterns? A structural panel data analysis from Malawi. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*.
- Shale, M. T. (n.d.). Can burial societies be used to overcome flooding? Insurance and resilience in poor, urban South Africa. *Climate and Development*.
- Shi, X., Sun, L., Chen, X., & Wang, L. (2019). Farmers' perceived efficacy of adaptive behaviors to climate change in the Loess Plateau, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 697, 134217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134217>
- Shinn, J. E., King, B., Young, K. R., & Crews, K. A. (n.d.). Variable adaptations: Micro-politics of environmental displacement in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. *Geoforum*.
- Shrestha, P., Lord, A., Mukherji, A., Shrestha, R., Yadav, L., & Rai, N. (2016). *Benefit Sharing and Sustainable Hydropower: Lessons from Nepal*. Kathmandu Nepal. [https://doi.org/ISBN 978 92 9115 400 5](https://doi.org/ISBN%20978%2092%209115%20400%205)
- Shrestha, S., Anal, A. K., Salam, P. A., & Van Der Valk, M. (2015). Managing water resources under climate uncertainty: Examples from Asia, Europe, Latin America, and Australia. *Managing Water Resources Under Climate Uncertainty: Examples from Asia, Europe, Latin America, and Australia*, 1–438. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10467-6>
- Simon, K., Diprose, G., & Thomas, A. C. (2020). Community-led initiatives for climate adaptation and mitigation. *Kotuitui*, 15(1), 93–105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1177083X.2019.1652659>
- Singh, A. K., Jha, S. K., Majumdar, B., Roy, M. L., Sarkar, S., & Ghorai, A. K. (2019). Impacts of climate smart jute farming on resource use efficiency, productivity and economic benefits in rural Eastern India. *OUTLOOK ON AGRICULTURE*, 48(1), 75–82.
- Singh, C., Rahman, A., Srinivas, A., & Bazaz, A. (n.d.). Risks and responses in rural India: Implications for local climate change adaptation action. *Climate Risk Management*.
- Singh, C., Solomon, D., Bendapudi, R., Kuchimanchi, B., Iyer, S., & Bazaz, A. (2019). What shapes vulnerability and risk management in semi-arid India? Moving towards an agenda of sustainable adaptation. *ENVIRONMENTAL DEVELOPMENT*, 30, 35–50.

- Singh, R. K., Kumar, S., Jat, H. S., Singh, A., Raju, R., & Sharma, D. K. (n.d.). Adaptation in rice-wheat based sodic agroecosystems: A case study on climate resilient farmers' practices. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*.
- Siraw, Z., Degefu, M. A., & Bewket, W. (2018). The role of community-based watershed development in reducing farmers' vulnerability to climate change and variability in the northwestern highlands of Ethiopia. *LOCAL ENVIRONMENT*, 23, 1190–1206.
- Somanje, A. N., Crespo, O., & Zinyengere, N. (2017). *Conservation Agriculture Among Farmers in Kalomo, Zambia: Potential for Productivity Under Climate Change. Beyond Agricultural Impacts: Multiple Perspectives on Climate Change and Agriculture in Africa*.
- Song, C., Liu, R., Oxley, L., & Ma, H. (n.d.). The adoption and impact of engineering-type measures to address climate change: evidence from the major grain-producing areas in China. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*.
- Song, J., Yang, R., Chang, Z., Li, W., & Wu, J. (2019). Adaptation as an indicator of measuring low-impact-development effectiveness in urban flooding risk mitigation.
- Spekker, H., & Heskamp, J. (2017). Hochwasserschutz für Hafenstadt Beira. *Bautechnik*, 94(12), 872–874. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bate.201710102>
- Srinivasa Rao, C., Gopinath, K. A., Prasad, J. V. N. S., Prasannakumar, & Singh, A. K. (2016). Climate Resilient Villages for Sustainable Food Security in Tropical India: Concept, Process, Technologies, Institutions, and Impacts. *Advances in Agronomy*, 140, 101–214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2016.06.003>
- Stavenhagen, M., Buurman, J., & Tortajada, C. (2018). Saving water in cities: Assessing policies for residential water demand management in four cities in Europe. *Cities*, 79, 187–195. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2018.03.008>
- Stefanovic, J. O., Yang, H., Zhou, Y., Kamali, B., & Ogalleh, S. A. (2019). Adaption to climate change: a case study of two agricultural systems from Kenya. *Climate and Development*, 11(4), 319–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2017.1411241>
- Stöber, S., Moraza, C., Zahl, L., & Kagai, E. (n.d.). Low-Tech irrigation strategies for smallholder vegetable farmers in Kenya. *Rainwater-Smart Agriculture in Arid and Semi-Arid Areas: Fostering the Use of Rainwater for Food Security, Poverty Alleviation, Landscape Restoration and Climate Resilience*.
- Su, A., Su, Y., Bisht, S., Wilkes, A., Pradhan, N. S., Zou, Y., ... Hyde, K. (n.d.). Gendered Responses to Drought in Yunnan Province , China Gendered Responses to Drought in Yunnan.
- Subedi, R., Bhatta, L. D., Udas, E., Agrawal, N. K., Joshi, K. D., & Panday, D. (2019). Climate-smart practices for improvement of crop yields in mid-hills of Nepal. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2019.1631026>

- Suckall, N., Tompkins, E., & Stringer, L. (n.d.). Identifying trade-offs between adaptation, mitigation and development in community responses to climate and socio-economic stresses: Evidence from Zanzibar, Tanzania. *Applied Geography*.
- Suhardiman, D., Wichelns, D., Lebel, L., & Sellamuttu, S. S. (n.d.). Benefit sharing in Mekong Region hydropower: Whose benefits count? *Water Resources and Rural Development*.
- Sujakhu, N. M., Ranjitkar, S., Niraula, R. R., Pokharel, B. K., Schmidt-Vogt, D., & Xu, J. (2016). Farmers' Perceptions of and Adaptations to Changing Climate in the Melamchi Valley of Nepal. *Mountain Research and Development*, 36(1), 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.1659/mrd-journal-d-15-00032.1>
- Sullivan-Wiley, K. A., & Short Gianotti, A. G. (n.d.). Pursuing productivity gains and risk reduction in a multi-hazard landscape: A case study from eastern Uganda. *Land Use Policy*.
- Sultana, P., Thompson, P. M., Paudel, N. S., Pariyar, M., & Rahman, M. (n.d.). Transforming local natural resource conflicts to cooperation in a changing climate: Bangladesh and Nepal lessons. *Climate Policy*.
- Tabbo, A. M., Amadou, Z., & Amadou, Z. (2015). Assessing newly introduced climate change adaptation strategy packages among rural households : Evidence from Kaou local government area , Tahoua State , Niger Republic, 1–7.
- Taboada, C., Garcia, M., Gilles, J., Pozo, O., Yucra, E., & Rojas, K. (2017). Can warmer be better? Changing production systems in three Andean ecosystems in the face of environmental change. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 147, 144–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2017.08.005>
- Tan, Y., & Liu, X. (n.d.). Water shortage and inequality in arid Minqin oasis of northwest China: adaptive policies and farmers' perceptions. *Local Environment*.
- Taraz, V. I. S., College, S., Hall, P., Street, W., Atkin, D., Badiani, R., ... Sayre, S. (n.d.). Adaptation to climate change : historical.
- Tauhid, F. A., & Zawani, H. (n.d.). Mitigating climate change related floods in urban poor areas: Green infrastructure approach. *Journal of Regional and City Planning*.
- Teklewold, H., Gebrehiwot, T., & Bezabih, M. (n.d.). Climate smart agricultural practices and gender differentiated nutrition outcome: An empirical evidence from Ethiopia. *World Development*.
- Teklewold, H., Mekonnen, A., Kohlin, G., & DI Falco, S. (n.d.). Does adoption of multiple climate-smart practices improve farmers' climate resilience? Empirical evidence from the Nile Basin of Ethiopia. *Climate Change Economics*.
- Tembata, K., & Takeuchi, K. (2018). Collective decision making under drought: An empirical study of water resource management in Japan. *Water Resources and Economics*, 22, 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wre.2017.11.001>

- Tesfamariam, Y., & Hurlbert, M. (n.d.). Gendered adaptation of Eritrean dryland farmers. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*.
- Thi Hoa Sen, L., & Bond, J. (n.d.). Agricultural adaptation to flood in lowland rice production areas of Central Vietnam: understanding the 'regenerated rice' ratoon system. *Climate and Development*.
- Thierfelder, C., Bunderson, W. T., Jere, Z. D., Mutenje, M., & Ngwira, A. (2016). Development of conservation agriculture (CA) systems in Malawi: Lessons learned from 2005 to 2014. *Experimental Agriculture*, 52(4), 579–604. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479715000265>
- Thierfelder, C., Matemba-Mutasa, R., & Rusinamhodzi, L. (n.d.). Yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to conservation agriculture cropping system in Southern Africa. *Soil and Tillage Research*.
- Torres, M. A. O., Kallas, Z., Herrera, S. I. O., & Guesmi, B. (n.d.). Is technical efficiency affected by farmers' preference for mitigation and adaptation actions against climate change? A case study in Northwest Mexico. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*.
- Tosun, J., & Leopold, L. (n.d.). Aligning climate governance with urban water management: Insights from transnational city networks. *Water (Switzerland)*.
- Tran, T. A., & Rodela, R. (2019). Integrating farmers' adaptive knowledge into flood management and adaptation policies in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta: A social learning perspective. *GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE-HUMAN AND POLICY DIMENSIONS*, 55, 84–96.
- Tran, T. A., Nguyen, T. H., & Vo, T. T. (n.d.). Adaptation to flood and salinity environments in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta: Empirical analysis of farmer-led innovations. *Agricultural Water Management*.
- Traore, K., Sidibe, D. K., Coulibaly, H., & Bayala, J. (n.d.). Optimizing yield of improved varieties of millet and sorghum under highly variable rainfall conditions using contour ridges in Cinzana, Mali. *Agriculture and Food Security*.
- Tuck, L., & Ghanem, H. (2019). *Africa: Adapting African Agriculture to Climate Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13000-2>
- Tun Oo, A., Van Huylenbroeck, G., & Speelman, S. (2017). Determining factors for the application of climate change adaptation strategies among farmers in Magwe District, dry zone region of Myanmar. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 9(1), 36–55. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-09-2015-0134>
- Uk, E. (2015). Climate change risks & adaptation responses for UK electricity generation.
- Ullah, R., & Shah, J. (2019). Economic costs of managing climatic risks in agriculture: Evidences from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province of Pakistan. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 35(1), 155–160. <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.sja/2019/35.1.155.160>

- Ullah, W., Nafees, M., Khurshid, M., & Nihei, T. (2019). Assessing farmers' perspectives on climate change for effective farm-level adaptation measures in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT*, *191*(9), None-None.
- van Duinen, R., Filatova, T., Geurts, P., & van der Veen, A. (n.d.). Coping with drought risk: empirical analysis of farmers' drought adaptation in the south-west Netherlands. *Regional Environmental Change*.
- Vardakoulias, O., & Nicholles, N. (n.d.). Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation. *Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation*.
- Venugopal, S., Gau, R., Appau, S., Sample, K. L., & Pereira, R. C. F. (2019). Adapting traditional livelihood practices in the face of environmental disruptions in subsistence communities. *JOURNAL OF BUSINESS RESEARCH*, *100*, 400–409.
- Viguera, B., Alpízar, F., Harvey, C. A., Ruth Martínez-Rodríguez, M., & Saborío-Rodríguez, M. (2019). Climate change perceptions and adaptive responses of small-scale coffee farmers in Costa Rica. *Agronomy Mesoamerican*, *30*(2), 333–351. <https://doi.org/10.15517/am.v30i2.32905>
- Villamayor-tomas, S., & García-lópez, G. (n.d.). The influence of community-based resource management institutions on adaptation capacity : A large-n study of farmer responses to climate and global market disturbances. *Global Environmental Change*.
- Volpato, G., & King, E. G. (2019). From cattle to camels: trajectories of livelihood adaptation and social-ecological resilience in a Kenyan pastoralist community. *REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE*, *19*(3), 849–865.
- Vom Brocke, K., Trouche, G., Weltzien, E., Kondombo-Barro, C. P., Sidibé, A., Zougmore, R., & Gozé, E. (n.d.). Helping farmers adapt to climate and cropping system change through increased access to sorghum genetic resources adapted to prevalent sorghum cropping systems in Burkina Faso. *Experimental Agriculture*.
- Waha, K., van Wijk, M. T., Fritz, S., See, L., Thornton, P. K., Wichern, J., & Herrero, M. (n.d.). Agricultural diversification as an important strategy for achieving food security in Africa. *Global Change Biology*.
- Wako, G., Tadesse, M., & Angassa, A. (n.d.). Camel management as an adaptive strategy to climate change by pastoralists in southern Ethiopia. *Ecological Processes*.
- Walch, C. (n.d.). Adaptive governance in the developing world: disaster risk reduction in the State of Odisha, India. *Climate and Development*.
- Waldman, K. B., Attari, S. Z., Gower, D. B., Giroux, S. A., Caylor, K. K., & Evans, T. P. (2019). The salience of climate change in farmer decision-making within smallholder semi-arid agroecosystems. *Climatic Change*, *156*(4), 527–543. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02498-3>
- Walsh, C. (n.d.). Integration of expertise or collaborative practice?: Coastal management and climate adaptation at the Wadden Sea. *Ocean and Coastal Management*.

- Wamsler, C., Niven, L., Beery, T. H., Bramryd, T., Ekelund, N., Jönsson, K. I., ... Stålhammar, S. (n.d.). Operationalizing ecosystem-based adaptation: Harnessing ecosystem services to buffer communities against climate change. *Ecology and Society*.
- Wang, J., Yang, Y., Huang, J., & Adhikari, B. (n.d.). Adaptive irrigation measures in response to extreme weather events: empirical evidence from the North China plain. *Regional Environmental Change*.
- Wang, Y. jie, Huang, J. kun, & Wang, J. xia. (2014). Household and community assets and farmers' adaptation to extreme weather event: The case of drought in china. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13(4), 687–697. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(13\)60697-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60697-8)
- Wang, Y., & Chen, X. (n.d.). Are farmers' adaptations enhancing food production? Evidence from China. *Regional Environmental Change*.
- Wang, Y., Huang, J., Wang, J., & Findlay, C. (n.d.). Mitigating rice production risks from drought through improving irrigation infrastructure and management in China. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*.
- Warner, B. P., Kuzdas, C., Yglesias, M. G., & Childers, D. L. (2015). Limits to adaptation to interacting global change risks among smallholder rice farmers in Northwest Costa Rica. *GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE-HUMAN AND POLICY DIMENSIONS*, 30, 101–112.
- Warner, K., & Afifi, T. (2014). Where the rain falls: Evidence from 8 countries on how vulnerable households use migration to manage the risk of rainfall variability and food insecurity. *Climate and Development*, 6(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2013.835707>
- Wehbe, M. B., Seiler, R. A., Vinocur, M. G., & Tarasconi, I. E. (2018). Is it possible to completely adapt agriculture production to the effects of climate variability and change in Central Argentina? New approaches in face of new challenges. *Climate Change Management*, 443–463. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72874-2_25
- Wekesa, B. M., Ayuya, O. I., & Lagat, J. K. (2018). Effect of climate-smart agricultural practices on household food security in smallholder production systems: Micro-level evidence from Kenya. *Agriculture and Food Security*, 7(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-018-0230-0>
- West, C. T., Roncoli, C., & Yaka, P. (n.d.). Climate variability in West Africa: A case study in vulnerability and adaptation on the Northern Central Plateau, Burkina Faso. *Research in Economic Anthropology*.
- Weston, P., Hong, R., Kaboré, C., & Kull, C. A. (2015). Farmer-Managed Natural Regeneration Enhances Rural Livelihoods in Dryland West Africa. *Environmental Management*, 55(6), 1402–1417. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0469-1>

- Wijaya, N. (2015). Climate Change Adaption Measures in the Coastal City of Semarang, Indonesia: Current Practices and Performance. *Jurnal Perencanaan Wilayah Dan Kota*, 26(1), 28–42. <https://doi.org/10.5614/jpwk.2015.26.1.4>
- Williams, J. E., Neville, H. M., Haak, A. L., Colyer, W. T., Wenger, S. J., & Bradshaw, S. (2015). Climate Change Adaptation and Restoration of Western Trout Streams: Opportunities and Strategies. *FISHERIES*, 40(7), 304–317.
- Williams, N. E., & Carrico, A. (2017). Examining adaptations to water stress among farming households in Sri Lanka's dry zone. *Ambio*, 46(5), 532–542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-017-0904-z>
- Wilson, N. J. (n.d.). The Politics of Adaptation: Subsistence Livelihoods and Vulnerability to Climate Change in the Koyukon Athabascan Village of Ruby, Alaska. *Human Ecology*.
- Woldearegay, K., Tamene, L., Mekonnen, K., Kizito, F., & Bossio, D. (2017). Fostering food security and climate resilience through integrated landscape restoration practices and rainwater harvesting/management in arid and semi-arid areas of Ethiopia. *Rainwater-Smart Agriculture in Arid and Semi-Arid Areas: Fostering the Use of Rainwater for Food Security, Poverty Alleviation, Landscape Restoration and Climate Resilience*, 37–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66239-8_3
- Wood, B. T., Quinn, C. H., Stringer, L. C., & Dougill, A. J. (n.d.). Investigating Climate Compatible Development Outcomes and their Implications for Distributive Justice: Evidence from Malawi. *Environmental Management*.
- Wossen, T., Abdoulaye, T., Alene, A., Feleke, S., Menkir, A., & Manyong, V. (n.d.). Measuring the impacts of adaptation strategies to drought stress: The case of drought tolerant maize varieties. *Journal of Environmental Management*.
- Wossen, T., Berger, T., Haile, M. G., & Troost, C. (2017). Impacts of climate variability and food price volatility on household income and food security of farm households in East and West Africa. *Agricultural Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.02.006>
- Yamba, S., Appiah, D. O., & Siaw, L. P. (2019). Smallholder farmers' perceptions and adaptive response to climate variability and climate change in southern rural Ghana. *COGENT SOCIAL SCIENCES*, 5(1), None-None.
- Yang, J., Owusu, V., Andriessse, E., & Dziwornu Ablo, A. (n.d.). In-Situ Adaptation and Coastal Vulnerabilities in Ghana and Tanzania. *Journal of Environment and Development*.
- Yang, J., Tan, C., Wang, S., Wang, S., Yang, Y., & Chen, H. (2015). Drought adaptation in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, China: Actions, planning, pathways and barriers. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(11), 15029–15056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su71115029>

- Yaro, J. A., & Hesselberg, J. (2016). Adaptation to climate change and variability in rural West Africa. *Adaptation to Climate Change and Variability in Rural West Africa*, 1–244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31499-0>
- Yegbemey, R. N., Yegbemey, E. O., & Yabi, J. A. (2017). Sustainability analysis of observed climate change adaptation strategies in maize farming in Benin, West Africa. *OUTLOOK ON AGRICULTURE*, 46(1), 20–27.
- Yila, J. O., & Resurreccion, B. P. (2014). Gender perspectives on agricultural adaptation to climate change in drought-prone Nguru Local Government Area in the semiarid zone of northeastern Nigeria. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CLIMATE CHANGE STRATEGIES AND MANAGEMENT*, 6(3), 250–271.
- Yin, X., Olesen, J. E., Wang, M., Kersebaum, K. C., Chen, H., Baby, S., ... Chen, F. (n.d.). Adapting maize production to drought in the Northeast Farming Region of China. *European Journal of Agronomy*.
- Younus, M. A. F., & Harvey, N. (n.d.). Economic consequences of failed autonomous adaptation to extreme floods: A case study from Bangladesh. *Local Economy*.
- Yu, Q. Y., Wu, W. Bin, Liu, Z. H., Verburg, P. H., Xia, T., Yang, P., ... Tang, H. J. (n.d.). Interpretation of climate change and agricultural adaptations by local household farmers: A case study at bin county, northeast China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*.
- Yung, L., Phear, N., Dupont, A., Montag, J., & Murphy, D. (n.d.). Drought adaptation and climate change beliefs among working ranchers in Montana. *Weather, Climate, and Society*.
- Zevenbergen, C., Rijke, J., Herk, S. Van, & Bloemen, P. J. T. M. (n.d.). Room for the River: a stepping stone in Adaptive Delta Management. *International Journal of Water Governance*.
- Zhang, L. J., Wang, J. X., Zhang, G. S., & Huang, Q. Q. (2016). Impact of the methods of groundwater access on irrigation and crop yield in the North China Plain Does climate matter? *CHINA AGRICULTURAL ECONOMIC REVIEW*, 8(4), 613–633.
- Zhang, L. Y., Hu, J. M., Li, Y. B., & Pradhan, N. S. (2018). Public-private partnership in enhancing farmers' adaptation to drought: Insights from the Lujiang Flatland in the Nu River (Upper Salween) valley, China. *LAND USE POLICY*, 71, 138–145.
- Zhou, D., Aoyagi, M., Okayasu, T., Mastuda, H., Hara, Y., Okuro, T., & Takeuchi, K. (n.d.). Resilience of traditional rice-dominated agricultural communities to precipitation variability in the North China Plain. *Climate Research*.
- Zin, W. Y. L., Teartisup, P., & Kerdseub, P. (n.d.). Evaluating traditional knowledge on climate change (TKCC): A case study in the central dry zone of Myanmar. *Environment and Natural Resources Journal*.

10.2 Appendix 2:

Manual for coders in excel document (attached separately)