# 10

Precautions when quantifying N<sub>2</sub> fixation associated with non-nodulating plants (associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation)

ince the discovery and characterisation of *Azospirillum* spp. by Dobereiner and colleagues (Dobereiner and Day 1975; Tarrand et al. 1978), and the more recent discoveries of other N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria that associate with non-legume plants as diverse as wheat, maize, sugarcane, sweet potato and coffee (Dong et al. 2003; Boddey et al. 2006), there have been many hundreds of publications describing various aspects of these associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation systems. Quantifying associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation is essentially the same as for nodulated legumes and actinorhizal plants. However, as rates are typically much lower than for symbiotic systems, the methods available may not always provide reliable estimates, or even unequivocal evidence, of N<sub>2</sub> fixation activity. Indeed, the question of whether there are significant inputs of biologically fixed N to such associations remains controversial (e.g. Giller and Merckx 2003), and it is thus often necessary to have much more rigorous experimental protocols than in the case of nodulated legumes. Because of the inherent uncertainties of current methodologies, defensible proof of N<sub>2</sub> fixation by associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems may need to be established along with quantification.



Cultivating a rice paddy in Myanmar. Rice is an N-demanding crop and about 20% of the global use of fertiliser N is for rice production. Nitrogen-fixing systems also occur in rice paddies and include cyanobacteria, either free-living or in symbiosis with the water fern *Azolla*, and other N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria associated with the rice roots.

Some of the methodologies detailed in this book are not suitable for associative  $N_2$ -fixing systems. The ureide (N solute) method (Chapter 6) cannot be used because it is applicable to only the subtropical and tropical legumes that produce ureides as a product of  $N_2$  fixation. The N difference technique (Chapter 5) relies on the assumption that the  $N_2$ -fixing plant utilises identical soil N as the non  $N_2$ -fixing control crop. While a  $N_2$ -fixing crop will often accumulate more total N than the reference crop under field conditions, this alone is not unequivocal evidence that the extra N was derived from  $N_2$  fixation. Thus, singular evidence from the N difference technique is not sufficient to either prove or quantify  $N_2$  fixation in non-nodulating systems in the field.

This chapter describes the most useful techniques for (i) determining if there are statistically significant inputs of fixed N to inoculated plants, and (ii) quantifying  $N_2$  fixation inputs to plants growing in non-sterile conditions (field or pots of soil) containing naturally associated  $N_2$ -fixing bacteria.

#### Nitrogen balance and nitrogen difference approaches

The N balance and N difference methods are as described in Chapters 4 and 5, and application of these methods to the measurement of associative  $N_2$  fixation raises similar issues.

#### 10.1.1 Pot experiments

The N balance and N difference methods can be used for plants in pots. Suitable controls can be uninoculated plants or plants inoculated with ineffective (nif-) mutant bacteria. Because N-free growth media cannot be used for plants that might be able to acquire only a small fraction of their N from the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing association, the amount of N in pot soils will be relatively large compared with that in the plants. Thus, small changes in the N balance due to the associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation will be very difficult to detect. For this reason, comparison of plant-bacteria associations with uninoculated controls (N difference) may be a more useful approach than trying to measure small absolute changes in the total N of plant-soil systems (N balance). Both N balance and N difference methods can provide quantitative data on associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation in pots, provided all other sources of N addition are carefully controlled, analyses of N in the soil and plant pools are conducted with accuracy and precision, and experimental conditions are conducive to plant growth. An example of such data from experiments on sugarcane in Brazil is given in Table 30.

10.1

**Table 30.** Nitrogen balance for 21-month-old sugarcane plants in a pot study. All values are mg N/pot (source: Lima et al. 1987). Total N balance = (final N in soil + N in plant material) – (initial N in soil + N in waste + 2.65 g N in urea fertiliser).

Sugarcane	Total		N in soil		Total N balance	
cultivar	plant N	Initial	Final	Difference	Dalance	
CB47-89	34.78	53.60	46.82	-6.79	+25.34	
CB47-355	16.54	49.67	44.54	-4.91	+8.98	

In experiments comparing the total N accumulation of plants with and without inoculation with  $N_2$ -fixing bacteria, results can be confounded by responses to inoculation that are not due to  $N_2$  fixation. For example, hormone production by bacteria has been shown to have growth-promoting effects on plants. These issues are discussed in Vessey (2003) and must be carefully considered when designing experiments and interpreting results in associative  $N_2$  fixation studies.

## 10.1.2 Field experiments

Given the uncertainties in measuring inflows and outflows of N in field experiments (see section 4.3), a positive N balance alone cannot provide unequivocal evidence of associative  $N_2$  fixation. Positive N balances for cerealcropping systems over long periods (e.g. Firth et al. 1973; Dart and Day 1975) can result from  $N_2$  fixation by cyanobacteria (Witty 1979; Giller and Day 1985), NH<sub>3</sub> deposition and significant contamination by animal and human wastes (Wani 1986).

In particular, wet and dry deposition of N from the atmosphere should not be ignored in N balance calculations as they are often likely to be of a similar order of magnitude to associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation. For example, positive N balances for experimental plots averaging 25–30 kg/N/ha per year could be explained by annual atmospheric N deposition that ranged between 10 kg/ha per year in the late 1800s up to 43 kgN/ha per year in the late 1990s in the United Kingdom (Goulding et al. 1998)

To be more conclusive, N-balance studies on associative  $N_2$  fixation must also include estimates of possible uptake of N from deeper soil horizons, and inputs from irrigation waters. Even when there are low concentrations of N in water, large application volumes will result in significant N inputs over time (see Giller and Merckx 2003). Proof of associative  $N_2$  fixation could be obtained only from long-term N balances in which detailed and accurate assessment of all inputs and losses are included. N balance usually does not provide definitive proof of associative  $N_2$  fixation, but the technique can be used to approximate it where it is known to occur.

## <sup>15</sup>N-isotopic techniques

The  ${}^{15}N_2$ ,  ${}^{15}N$  isotope dilution and  ${}^{15}N$  natural abundance methods (see Chapters 7–9) can all be applied to associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems.

## 10.2.1 <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> feeding

Intact plant–soil (or other growth medium) systems are totally enclosed in a sealed chamber, which is then filled with <sup>15</sup>N-enriched N<sub>2</sub> gas. Enrichment of the plant with <sup>15</sup>N provides unequivocal proof that the plant, or plant–soil system, is obtaining N directly from the labelled N<sub>2</sub> gas via biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation.

Major problems associated with applying the  ${\rm ^{15}N_2}$  gas technique to associative  $\rm N_2$  fixing systems are that:

- the <sup>15</sup>N-labelled N<sub>2</sub> gas must be free from any fixed N impurity
- the enclosure of the plant(s) in a sealed chamber requires at least CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to be monitored and maintained at ambient levels (~370 µL/L), and O<sub>2</sub>, light intensity, temperature and humidity may also need to be managed.

While it is possible to purchase sealed vials of  ${}^{15}N_2$  gas, they may be contaminated with  ${}^{15}N$ -enriched combined N, so the gas must be purified before use. As  ${}^{15}N_2$  is much more expensive to purchase than, for example,  ${}^{15}(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , many researchers generate  ${}^{15}N_2$  from an  $NH_4^+$  salt in their laboratory. Bergersen (1980a) suggested that  ${}^{15}NH_3$  generated from  $({}^{15}NH_4)_2SO_4$  with NaOH could be passed over hot Cu to reduce it to  ${}^{15}N_2$ . A simpler technique that generates  ${}^{15}N_2$  from  $({}^{15}NH_4)_2SO_4$  using sodium hypobromite was described by Ohyama and Kumazawa (1981). It is beyond the scope of this book to give detailed procedures for the generation of  ${}^{15}N_2$  and its purification but excellent advice on this can be found in Witty and Day (1979) and Warembourg (1993).

Detailed guidelines with diagrams for the construction and running of gastight chambers for exposing plants to  ${}^{15}N_2$  gas can be found in the above references, plus those of Eskew et al. (1981) and McNeill et al. (1993) who

10.2

developed systems with automated gas control. Somewhat simpler systems are described in Ruschel et al. (1975), De Polli et al. (1977), Yoshida and Yoneyama (1980) and Warembourg et al. (1982).

A good example of the application of  ${}^{15}N_2$  to study associative  $N_2$  fixation in grasses can be found in De Polli et al. (1977), who collected established plants from the field in intact soil cores. The intact cores were subject to  $C_2H_2$  reduction assay (see section 11.1) and the most active cores then incubated with  ${}^{15}N_2$  in 1–4 L vessels for up to 70 hours.

Very low rates of  $N_2$  fixation in associative systems are difficult to prove unequivocally. Thus, when estimating  $N_2$  fixation of non-legumes using  ${}^{15}N_2$ , the  ${}^{15}N$  enrichment of the plants after exposure must be compared statistically with the  ${}^{15}N$  natural abundance of replicate plants grown under identical conditions but not exposed to the labelled atmosphere. Only in this way can you be sure that the  ${}^{15}N$  enrichment of your putative  $N_2$ -fixing system is due to incorporation of  ${}^{15}N_2$  and not natural variation in  ${}^{15}N$  abundance (see section 7.1).

If the experiment involves inoculation with a single bacterial species under sterile (axenic) conditions, a control treatment with a non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing (nif–) mutant can be of great value. If your laboratory is equipped with a good workshop where glassware can be made or modified, and/or sophisticated automatic systems for control of  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ , light, water and temperature can be assembled, it may be possible to expose plants to  ${}^{15}N_2$  for several days or even weeks.

#### 10.2.1 <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution

Application of the isotope dilution technique to associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems involves the same issues that were discussed in Chapter 9 for nodulated plants. A particular problem is that <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution is most accurate at higher levels of %Ndfa but most associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems will be operating at low %Ndfa. Another problem is that the enrichment of soil N with <sup>15</sup>N invariably results in both spatial and temporal variations in <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the soil mineral (plant-available) N pool, and it is impossible to verify that a non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing reference plant is accumulating soil N with the same <sup>15</sup>N enrichment as the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plant. The ideal solution to this problem would be to grow the plants in a soil that is uniform in <sup>15</sup>N in space (depth and area) and time. As discussed in section 9.2, however, this is not easily done.



Threshing rice in Myanmar where, as in most of Asia, rice is the major food staple

#### **Pot experiments**

In pot experiments where all soil can be mixed uniformly, the ideal of spatial and temporal uniformity of  $^{15}N$  enrichment may be adequately achieved. For work on non-sterile systems, where the associative plants are naturally infected with N<sub>2</sub>-fixing micro-organisms, soil is probably the best growth medium. A free-draining, medium-textured soil will have sufficient nutrient-buffering capacity to allow healthy plant growth.

It is essential that some effort be put into producing a soil that is labelled as uniformly as possible with <sup>15</sup>N, and that the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the soil mineral N declines only slowly with time. If the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the soil mineral N only declines by one-fifth of its excess <sup>15</sup>N value during plant growth, errors due to the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing and reference plants having different N-uptake patterns should not mask a %Ndfa value of 10%.

At least two reference plant species are desirable if the objective of the experiment is to compare plant genotypes or other treatments. If reference plants that are thought to have contrasting N-uptake patterns (e.g. a monocot and a dicot) have similar <sup>15</sup>N enrichments, and both are significantly higher in <sup>15</sup>N enrichment than the putative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing genotype/treatment, then this will provide strong evidence of the incorporation of biologically fixed N.

Experiments to determine the effects of inoculation of cereal crops with  $N_2$ -fixing bacteria have often used sterile media labelled with <sup>15</sup>N (e.g. Giller et al. 1986; Iniguez et al. 2004). While mixtures of sand and vermiculite have been used with success with legumes (Vincent 1970), there may be particular problems with this approach in associative systems. When plants are grown under severe N stress, the N from interstitial positions in the lattice of vermiculite can be differentially accessed by plants, such that apparent <sup>15</sup>N dilution not due to  $N_2$  fixation may occur (Giller et al. 1986). Thus, this substrate is not recommended, but perlite (an expanded silica) is appropriate and can be washed free of all soluble residues. The only disadvantage is that it is almost impossible to separate fine roots from perlite, making it difficult to assess total root mass.

With a substrate such as sand or sand–perlite, pots can be irrigated with a solution of  ${}^{15}NH_4^+$  or  ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ . The concentration of N should be low (e.g. 5 µg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N/mL) so as not to inhibit N<sub>2</sub> fixation, and the irrigation can be frequent or via a flow-through drip system. Theoretically, if the  ${}^{15}N$  enrichment of the plants at harvest is significantly lower than that of the N in the nutrient solution, then the plants have obtained N from an unlabelled source. A non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing reference control (or controls) should be included which, in the case of inoculation experiments, may be the uninoculated plants. Ideally, the uninoculated plants should be treated with the same quantity of microbial suspension as the other treatments, but with the inoculant autoclaved before addition to ensure the same quantity of N is added to all treatments. When experimenting with plants that are grown in conditions close to N-starvation, the amount of N in the bacterial inoculum may be significant (Lethbridge and Davidson 1983).

Before use, the plant growth medium (e.g. sand or sand-perlite) should be washed in hydrochloric acid and then thoroughly rinsed with large volumes of distilled water until there is no sign of Cl<sup>-</sup> ions (check with silver nitrate solution). Rather than a single addition of a <sup>15</sup>N-labelled salt, it is recommended that a continuous, or regular, addition of a labelled N solution at a low concentration should be used (see above). The source for most plants should be nitrate and under no circumstances should <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> be used, unless both the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ions are equally labelled with <sup>15</sup>N. For wetland rice, an NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N source is essential. Systems continuously supplied with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> will become somewhat alkaline with time. Conversely, where plants are supplied with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, the rooting medium can become acidic. Hence the nutrient medium should be well buffered. One of the advantages of a continuous supply of a low concentration of a <sup>15</sup>N-labelled salt is that the outflow can carry away excess OH<sup>-</sup> or H<sup>+</sup>. Nitrogen-free solutions used for legumes tend to be too low in potassium (K) for use with graminaceous crops, and solutions recommended for hydroponic maize or rice will be more appropriate. It is always good practice to run a trial growth experiment without <sup>15</sup>N addition to ensure it is possible to grow robust and healthy plants.

Another potential problem is that N-starved plants exposed to atmospheric pollution (especially NH<sub>3</sub>) may incorporate this into plant tissue and dilute the <sup>15</sup>N label, indicating apparent N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Clearly, it is not wise to conduct such experiments close to animal production units such as feedlots or intensive chicken or pig farms. Cleaning products commonly contain high concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> and should not be used in glasshouses where studies of plant N nutrition are undertaken. Cigarette smoke can also be a significant source of gaseous N. Ammonia contamination is more likely to be a problem in developed countries (e.g. Netherlands, Belgium) where ambient NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations are high. Atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub> is likely to be much lower in <sup>15</sup>N than atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> (Turner et al. 1983), and consequently only a small amount of NH<sub>3</sub> uptake can translate into large apparent N<sub>2</sub> fixation. This should be less of a problem for N-sufficient than N-deficient plants.

If experiments to quantify associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation are undertaken in pots containing soil, precautions must be taken to label the soil N uniformly with <sup>15</sup>N. Incubating the soil with <sup>15</sup>N-labelled fertiliser or organic matter months before starting the experiment, and then mixing all the soil thoroughly, may result in reasonably uniform <sup>15</sup>N. However, even several years after labelling, soils are unlikely to be completely stable in <sup>15</sup>N with time (e.g. Boddey and Victoria 1986).

The role of non  $N_2$ -fixing reference plants is very important in such studies. It is recommended that more than one reference plant be included in the experimental design. As seed size, and hence total seed N input, can differ between plant species, it is necessary to correct for the input of unlabelled seed N in all treatments (see Hamilton et al. 1992; Okito et al. 2004).

#### Pot experiments with rice

Application of <sup>15</sup>N enrichment to quantify N<sub>2</sub> fixation in waterlogged soils is difficult, as suitable non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing reference plants capable of growing well in waterlogged soils are not readily available. To overcome this problem, Shrestha and Ladha (1996) suggested the following approach. The authors mixed <sup>15</sup>(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with waterlogged soil in a large concrete container  $(6.5 \times 2 \text{ m} \text{ and } 25 \text{ cm} \text{ deep})$ . Mixing of the whole submerged soil mass was repeated three times a week for 6 weeks. Subsequently, 350 plastic pots were filled with the wet <sup>15</sup>N-labelled soil (10 kg/pot), and 70 rice genotypes were planted. The <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the soil mineral N in a set of unplanted pots was measured weekly. The %Ndfa was calculated for the rice genotypes using either the highest recorded <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the rice genotypes (within a maturity group), assuming this to represent a plant obtaining all of its N from the soil, or by using the average <sup>15</sup>N of the soil mineral N from the weekly samplings. Estimates of %Ndfa from the two methods were very similar and highly correlated.

A similar approach was used by Oliveira (1992, cited by Boddey et al. 1995b) with 40 rice genotypes planted in large concrete tanks. Within the maturity groups, genotypes with significantly lower <sup>15</sup>N enrichments were regarded as obtaining significant inputs of N from  $N_2$  fixation.

#### **Field experiments**

If it can be ascertained that the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the plant-available N is uniformly labelled to the maximum rooting depth of all plants in the experiment, and if the <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution observed in the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plant is significantly greater than that of an array of non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing reference plants, then this is powerful evidence for N<sub>2</sub> fixation being responsible for the observed isotope dilution. However, uniform labelling of field soils remains problematic (see section 9.2)

Studies conducted in concrete tanks or cylinders in the field have met with some success. The main advantage of using pots or larger containers in the open field is that the plants can be grown to somewhat near their agronomic yield potential without the potential problems of light variability or overheating that may occur in greenhouses.

For work on large plants such as sugarcane and elephant grass, large containers are almost essential. Urquiaga et al. (1992) grew sugarcane plants in a concrete tank filled with soil mixed with <sup>15</sup>N-labelled compost for three consecutive years. The large and significant differences in <sup>15</sup>N enrichment

of some of the cane varieties compared to the reference plant (tanner grass), combined with differences in total N balances, were taken as strong evidence of significant N<sub>2</sub> fixation. The fact that two of the commercial cane hybrids accumulated over 25 g N/m<sup>2</sup> (equivalent to 250 kg N/ha) with no discernible decrease in soil N for three consecutive years also constituted strong supportive evidence for significant N<sub>2</sub> fixation inputs.

Boddey et al. (1986) conducted a study in concrete cylinders containing soil labelled some years earlier with <sup>15</sup>N to investigate the effect of inoculating wheat with three strains of *Azospirillum brasilense* (Table 31). All three strains promoted increases in total N accumulation in the grain (average of 40%), but the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the wheat was not significantly changed. The conclusion in this instance was that the increased grain N was not derived from biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation.



Women taking a break from work in a rice paddy, Myanmar. There is scope to substantially reduce fertiliser N inputs in rice systems through more effective in-crop use of cyanobacteria and *Azolla*, and legume green manures between rice crops.

**Table 31.** Accumulation of dry matter and N and the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of wheat grown in soil labelled with <sup>15</sup>N after inoculation with three alternative strains of *Azospirillum brasilense*. Values followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different by analysis of variance (P<0.05).

Azospirillum inoculum	Shoot dry weight (g/cylinder)	% N	Total N (g/cylinder)	Atom% <sup>15</sup> N excess
Sp 245	46.7	2.72 a	1.27 a	0.171
Sp 107	42.3	2.83 a	1.20 a	0.190
Sp 7	45.2	2.81 a	1.28 a	0.159
Autoclaved cells	39.3	2.21 b	0.89 b	0.156
Level significance	ns	0.01	0.001	ns

Shoot dry weight, % N, total N, atom % <sup>15</sup>N excess and <sup>15</sup>N recovery showed no significant differences between the three inoculants.

Source: Boddey et al. (1986)

## 10.2.3 <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance

As detailed in section 7.2, soil mineral N tends to have higher natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance than atmospheric  $N_2$ , and thus associative  $N_2$ -fixing plants should have lower <sup>15</sup>N abundance than non  $N_2$ -fixing plants growing in the same soils. The basic protocols of the techniques are identical to those applied to nodulated legumes (Chapter 8). The major exception is that while free-living microbes can be grown totally dependent on  $N_2$  fixation (e.g. *Azobacter*, Mariotti et al. 1980), the associative plants do not grow well in a glasshouse without at least some mineral N. Since no 'B' value can be determined, 'B' is assumed to be 0‰ for the calculation of  $N_2$  fixation (see equation (11)).

In the case of associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation, differences in  $\delta^{15}$ N between N<sub>2</sub>-fixing and non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plants are usually small, and can be caused by influences of soil N fractions, mycorrhizal status, and N physiology on plant natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance (Stewart 2001), rather than by N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Thus, a number of known non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing species growing in the same soil may have quite different  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Table 32), making it virtually impossible to calculate %Ndfa with any certainty (Ndfa values of sugarcane are in the range 15–57%). **Table 32.**  $\delta^{15}N$  (‰) of sugarcane and other species at Miyako, Japan, and %Ndfa for sugarcane estimated using each reference plant

Species	Leaf δ¹⁵N	Calculated sugarcane %Ndfa
Sugarcane	4.5	-
Poa chinensis	5.6	20
Amaranthus gracilis	10.4	57
Euphorbia hirta	5.3	15
Senecio vulgaris	7.0	36
Oxalis corniculata	5.3	15

Source: from Yoneyama et al. (1997)

Similarly, Watanabe et al. (1987) reported that the  $\delta^{15}$ N value of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> taken from incubated soil samples increased from 8.2‰ at 0–10 cm depth to 12.6‰ at 20–30 cm, and differences in  $\delta^{15}$ N of the different wetland rice genotypes in the study could have resulted from different effective rooting depths and not necessarily from N<sub>2</sub> fixation.

In a more rigorous application of the methodology, Boddey et al. (2001) sampled field-grown sugarcane to quantify N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Their approach provides a good example of a sound application of the methodology. An area of  $40 \times 50$  m in a uniform area of each of 11 fields was 'divided' into four equal strips of  $10 \times 50$  m. Each strip was regarded as a statistical block with two or more treatments, i.e. the sugarcane and the weeds. Thirty cane plants were sampled from each block by taking the third-emergent leaf, the usual practice for nutrient monitoring ('crop logging') for this crop. Non-leguminous species that were present in all four blocks were used as non N2-fixing reference plants and were analysed separately. This sampling strategy allowed statistical analysis of the data to assess whether (a) there were significant differences between the mean  $\delta^{15}N$  values of the weed species, and (b) the mean  $\delta^{15}N$ value of the sugarcane samples was significantly lower than that of some or all of the weed species. In 10 of the 11 cane plantations sampled across four states in Brazil, the  $\delta^{15}$ N values of the cane samples (mean 6.9‰) were significantly lower than those of the weeds (mean 9.0‰). At a further site, two of the three weeds sampled were significantly lower in  $\delta^{15}$ N than the sugarcane. Based on these data, N<sub>2</sub> fixation was estimated to have ranged from zero to approximately 71% of total sugarcane N across the crops and varieties (see Table 33).

**Table 33.**  $\delta^{15}N$  (‰) of sugarcane and other species and estimated %Ndfa from 11 sites in Brazil. The mean %Ndfa value does not include the two negative %Ndfa estimates that arose from the sampling and analysis.

Plant	No. of samples	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Sugarcane	12	3.3	13.2	6.9
Reference species	28	5.4	26.5	9.0
Sugarcane %Ndfa	28	-12.3	71.2	37.0

Source: from Boddey et al. (2001)

When sampling only parts of plants, such as described above, some investigation into the variation of  $\delta^{15}$ N within plants is required. In the above study, a sugarcane plant growing at one field site was dissected and analysed for N and  $\delta^{15}$ N (Figure 40). The third-emergent leaf had a <sup>15</sup>N abundance of 4.1‰, with the different tissues ranging from 5.0‰ to 3.5‰. The weighted mean of the whole shoot was 3.9‰. These data were used to justify the sampling and analysis of the third-emergent leaf to represent the whole shoot tissue of the cane plants.

The above data provided strong evidence for significant contributions of  $N_2$  fixation to some of the cane crops but, due to variations in reference plant  $\delta^{15}N$ , not unequivocal evidence (see also Table 32).

The same strategy was used in a study of N<sub>2</sub> fixation of elephant grass. Over a 12-month period, the different elephant grass genotypes accumulated 28–37 tonnes dry matter per ha and 162–241 kg N per ha. There was no significant response of dry matter or N accumulation to the addition of 50 kg N per ha as urea 70 days after planting. The mean  $\delta^{15}$ N of all three weed species was significantly higher than those of the third-emergent leaf samples of all of the elephant grass genotypes (Table 34).

To elucidate the question of variation of  $\delta^{15}N$  of plant-available N with depth, soil samples were taken at 10 or 20 cm intervals and planted separately with three weed species, a technique originally suggested by Ledgard et al. (1984). It can be seen that the  $\delta^{15}N$  of the weeds increased with the depth from which the soil was taken (Table 35). If the elephant grass genotypes had accessed N from greater depth in the soil than the weeds, the genotypes should have accumulated N with a higher  $\delta^{15}N$  than the weed species. Hence, in this particular case, calculations of %Ndfa for the elephant grass genotypes would



**Figure 40.**  $\delta^{15}$ N natural abundance in leaves, stem (nodes and internodes), roots and emerging shoots of a sugarcane plant (cv. SP 70-1143) growing in the field in a sandy soil. Data are from Boddey et al. (2001).

tend to underestimate, rather than overestimate, the true values. A further point from the data in Table 34 is that weeds sampled at 6-monthly intervals showed essentially the same  $\delta^{15}N$ , suggesting that  $\delta^{15}N$  of the plant-available N can be constant with time.

**Table 34.**  $\delta^{15}N(\infty)$  of five preselected elephant grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) genotypes and three weed species in a field in Brazil. Values are the means of four replicate blocks to which no fertiliser N had been added. Values followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different by analysis of variance (*P*<0.05).

	δ <sup>15</sup> N (‰)		
	Dec 2005	June 2006	
	Elephant grass genotypes		
Bag	4.94 b	3.88 b	
F 06-3	3.89 b	3.31 b	
F 79-2	4.62 b	3.22 b	
Gramafante	5.04 b	4.74 b	
Cameroon	4.87 b	4.08 b	
	Weed species		
Colonião	7.52 a	6.78 a	
Poaia Branca	7.32 a	7.15 a	
Vassourinha	7.27 a	7.45 a	

Source: Fiusa, Pacheco, Urquiaga, Boddey and Alves, unpublished data

The  $\delta^{15}N$  strategy of Boddey et al. (2001) is arguably the best way of studying N<sub>2</sub> fixation of large associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing plants such as sugarcane and elephant grass in an open field environment. If the improvements on this technique to assess possible changes in  $\delta^{15}N$  of plant-available N with soil depth and with time (see also section 8.4.) are integrated into the strategy, there is a strong possibility that N<sub>2</sub> fixation by these species, and others, can be quantified in the field. It does depend, however, on growing the plants in a soil with reasonably high and stable <sup>15</sup>N abundance. Parallel studies that provide additional evidence of N<sub>2</sub> fixation may also be required to reduce uncertainties that surround reference plant  $\delta^{15}N$ .

**Table 35.**  $\delta^{15}N$  (‰) of three weed species planted in soil samples taken from different depths from a field soil in Brazil planted to five genotypes of elephant grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*). Values followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different by analysis of variance (*P*<0.05).

Depth (cm)	Alpiste	Sorghum	Pé de Galinha
0-10	8.47 c	7.88 с	8.12 b
10–20	11.01 b	11.16 b	12.84 a
20-30	11.63 b	11.43 b	13.25 a
30–50	13.67 a	11.73 b	13.64 a
50–70	13.83 a	14.21 a	13.75 a

Source: Fiusa, Pacheco, Urquiaga, Boddey and Alves, unpublished data



Hand weeding the rice field, Myanmar

#### 10.3 Final word

None of the methods for measuring N<sub>2</sub> fixation is completely adequate for associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems, which often make only modest contributions to the total N accumulated by the plants. Because these methods have particular limitations at low rates of N<sub>2</sub> fixation, they cannot always provide surety that N<sub>2</sub> fixation occurs, even if low rates are indicated. Techniques such as acetylene reduction (section 11.1) and  ${}^{15}N_2$  feeding provide the most direct proof of N<sub>2</sub> fixation under these circumstances, and coupling these with  ${}^{15}N$ isotope dilution or  $\delta^{15}N$  techniques provides the most reliable approach for quantification. Where plants are known to be able to fix a substantial portion (>20%) of their N from associative N<sub>2</sub> fixation, then  ${}^{15}N$  natural abundance or  ${}^{15}N$  isotope dilution alone should provide robust quantification. It is very important to collect supporting information, use a variety of approaches (field and glasshouse) and take extra care with sampling and analysis, to be able to ensure that unambiguous results are obtained.

In some situations, experiments on  $N_2$  fixation of associative plants produce slow-growing N-starved material. Under these circumstances contributions of N from contaminant sources (irrigation water, rainfall, atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub>) may be equally important sources of N for plant growth, and will need to be measured or at least be very carefully considered when interpreting results.



# Assays of nitrogenase activity

# **P** iological $N_2$ fixation, the conversion of atmospheric $N_2$ to $NH_3$ , is catalysed by the enzyme nitrogenase (equation (17)):

 $N_2 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow 2NH_3 \tag{17}$ 

Our understanding of the physiology of  $N_2$  fixation has been facilitated by studies of activity of the nitrogenase enzyme, assessed in three principal ways:  ${}^{15}N_2$  feeding in short-term laboratory studies (e.g. Schulze et al. 2006); the substitution of  $N_2$  with acetylene, which is reduced by nitrogenase to ethylene (Dilworth 1966); and evolution of  $H_2$  by  $N_2$ -fixing systems (e.g. van Kessel et al. 1983). Of these three techniques, only  ${}^{15}N_2$  is quantitative (see section 7.3). However, owing to their sensitivity and ease of use, acetylene reduction and  $H_2$  evolution are valuable additional tools in the study of plant-associated  $N_2$  fixation.

## 11.1 The acetylene reduction method

#### 11.1.1 How it works

When acetylene  $(C_2H_2)$  gas is exposed to nitrogenase, it is converted to ethylene  $(C_2H_4)$  gas (equation (18)). Ethylene can be easily detected by gas chromatography with high sensitivity, using a flame ionisation detector.

 $\begin{array}{ll} HC \equiv CH &+ 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow & H_2C = CH_2 & (acetylene \ reduction) & (18) \\ acetylene & ethylene \end{array}$ 

Measurement of the rate of ethylene production after exposing  $N_2$ -fixing systems to acetylene is a simple method for indicating relative rates of nitrogenase activity.

However, in the process of  $N_2$  fixation, not all the electrons flowing to nitrogenase are used for  $N_2$  reduction; at least 25% go to  $H_2$  production (equation (19) and see Hunt and Layzell 1993).

 $N \equiv N + 8H^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow 2NH_3 + H_2 \qquad (N_2 \text{ fixation}) \tag{19}$ 

Theoretically, six electrons are required for  $N_2$  reduction and two for the reduction of protons. However, if  $N_2$  is replaced by  $C_2H_2$ , all eight electrons are needed to reduce  $C_2H_2$  to  $C_2H_4$ , and thus four moles of acetylene should theoretically be reduced for each mole of  $N_2$  fixed, with no protons reduced to  $H_2$ .

In practice the ratio of  $H_2$  reduced for each mole of  $N_2$  fixed varies considerably with organisms and environmental conditions. In the absence of acetylene, nitrogenase always reduces some protons to  $H_2$ , but at concentrations of acetylene above 100 mL/L,  $H_2$  production is essentially zero.

The advantage of the acetylene reduction technique is very high sensitivity and rapid sample analysis. Using equipment that is easily and cheaply obtained, the technique is relatively simple and a large number of analyses can be performed per day.

# **11.1.2** Problems with acetylene reduction assays with nodulated legumes

Traditionally, acetylene reduction assays were conducted on decapitated root systems, groups of detached (or even single) nodules or entire plants. Assays were undertaken in closed vessels containing approximately 0.1 atmosphere acetylene (e.g. Mague and Burris 1972; Hardy et al. 1973; Dart 1986). The recommended incubation times were from 30 to 60 minutes, and ethylene evolution appeared to be constant with time.

However, it was subsequently found that physical disturbance of the nodule–soil and root–soil interfaces can influence nitrogenase activity in both nodulated legume and associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems. In the case of nodulated legumes, this is principally due to changes in nodule gas exchange (Minchin et al. 1986; Hunt and Layzell 1993). Both result in substantial reductions in nitrogenase activity. Virtually all diazotrophs are micro-aerophilic, requiring low  $O_2$  concentration for survival and/or  $N_2$  fixation.

Assaying intact legumes in pots with flow-through gas systems was used to overcome this disturbance problem. Even in the absence of physical plant–soil disturbance, nitrogenase activity in nodulated legumes was shown to decline in the presence of acetylene due to the interruption to NH<sub>3</sub> production within the nodule (Minchin et al. 1983a, 1986). The true rate of acetylene reduction in legumes, indicative of nitrogenase activity, probably only lasts a few minutes and can be detected only by using a continuous flow system (Minchin et al. 1983b). Details of design and construction of flow-through systems can

be found in Minchin et al. (1983a), Drevon et al. (1988) and McNeill et al. (1989) and are not discussed further here. Thus, static systems are likely to be more practical and convenient for most applications.

The use of the acetylene reduction technique as a quantitative assay of  $N_2$  fixation has been generally discouraged since the 1980s. Yet, despite its limitations, the simple, rapid detection of ethylene evolution in the presence of acetylene gas is a powerful technique for verifying whether or not nodulated legume roots are actively fixing  $N_2$ .

# **11.1.3** Assay procedures and problems with acetylene reduction assays for associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing systems

#### Incubation systems for field assays

Almost any type of non-porous container can be used for static assays, with size usually determined by the size of the plant or root being assayed. Glass tubes with rubber septa, preserving jars, plastic syringes, plastic bags and plant pots have all been used for acetylene reduction assays. The lower the dead volume, the greater will be the concentration of ethylene produced and the sensitivity of the assay. Regardless of what is used, the incubation vessel must be gastight. Whole root systems are preferable for assay, such as illustrated in Figure 41, and with porous sandy soils to permit gas diffusion. Alternatively, soil cores with decapitated plants, or intact sections of root systems gently removed from soils, can be enclosed for incubation.

Plant disturbance is a serious source of error in estimating nitrogenase activity and concerted efforts to reduce this are warranted. For rainfed crops we recommend that plants be seeded directly into the centre of a steel or PVC cylinder, or the cylinder driven into the soil around the plant at least a week before assay. The cylinders should be of sufficient dimensions to encompass most of the root system. Cylinders of 18–20 cm diameter and 18 cm height (depth) are usually sufficient; smaller cylinders will cause considerable root disturbance and further disrupt the soil-pore structure (see Wani et al. 1983). In many sandy soils it is impossible to remove soil cores from the field without serious soil loss from the bottom of the core, but in loamy or high-clay soils these assays may be performed successfully if great care is taken. Twenty-four hours before the assay a layer of 2–3 cm of dry sand is placed on top of the soil surface within the cylinder to inhibit potential N<sub>2</sub>-fixing activity by cyanobacteria.



**Figure 41.** Incubation system for undisturbed acetylene-reduction assays of root systems of intact plants. A porous sand medium that allows easy diffusion of gases is preferred to loam or clay soils that present significant diffusion problems. Note the rubber ports for injection and withdrawal of acetylene and for assisting with mixing of gases within the pot.

For assay, the cylinder is dug out with great care, the plant decapitated (cut) a few centimetres above the soil level, and the whole root-soil system contained in the cylinder immediately transferred to an airtight plastic container (e.g. plastic food storage boxes) fitted with a needle-puncture rubber stopper to allow the gas space to be sampled. Because decapitation of the plant may reduce acetylene reduction activity, intact plants are preferred, but containers large enough to hold whole plants are not always easily obtained.

In waterlogged or flooded soils such as in rice systems, there are serious problems of gas diffusion, especially the release of ethylene from the rhizosphere to the atmosphere of the incubation container (Lee et al. 1977; Boddey and Ahmad 1981). Cyanobacteria in irrigation floodwater may also contribute to high apparent acetylene reduction rates, independent of any associations with plants. This can be controlled by using either an antibiotic or the herbicide Propanil (3', 4'-dichloropropionanilide), which inhibits photosynthesis and kills most weeds but does not affect rice plants (Boddey et al. 1978; Habte and Alexander 1980).

Intact plants with single stems can be assayed in the field without decapitation if grown in cylinders. Instead of removing the soil core, a plastic sleeve with the same diameter can be pulled over the plant and sealed around the cylinder and the plant stem with string and agar, silicon, 'blue-tak' or Terostat<sup>®</sup> putty (Teroson GmbH, Heidelberg, FRG). We find polypropylene bags are less permeable to gases than polyethylene. The incubations are performed as described above for soil cores.

This method can easily be adapted to estimate acetylene reduction activity in pots, which may have sealable lids that close when the assay is performed (Figure 41). With plants grown in pots, especially in the greenhouse, great care must be taken to inhibit the activity of cyanobacteria, which often thrive under humid conditions.

#### Saturation of nitrogenase with acetylene

**NOTE:** Acetylene is a dangerous, explosive gas, and great care must be taken with its use. It should not be mixed with oxygen and never be used in confined (unventilated) rooms or near sources of ignition.

Acetylene is readily obtainable as a compressed gas in steel cylinders from commercial suppliers, or it can be produced by adding water to calcium carbide. Industrial grade acetylene is usually adequate (see Tough and Crush 1979). An incubation atmosphere of 10% acetylene (100 mL/L) is sufficient to assess maximum nitrogenase activity. It can be added to the incubation vessel using a plastic syringe and, after mixing, an equivalent volume of gas removed by the same syringe to maintain atmospheric pressure. Note that this concentration may not be sufficient for bacteria such as *Beijerinckia* or *Derxia* growth on Petri dishes. These bacteria were reported to require a very high partial pressure of  $C_2H_2$  to overcome diffusion resistance through a viscous gum barrier produced under aerobic conditions (see Spiff and Odu 1973).

If the effective volume of the container when filled with the soil core is not known, a small known volume (e.g. 10 mL) of propane is injected through the seal (see Figure 41) after the injection of acetylene (100–150 mL/L airspace). The propane is used to estimate the volume of the enclosed gas space.

#### Diffusion of ethylene and other gases

The acetylene injected must reach the site of  $N_2$  fixation in the soil, and the ethylene produced from nitrogenase must also be able to diffuse back to the atmosphere of the incubation vessel. This can be a major problem in intact soil–plant systems (van Berkum and Day 1980), and especially for rice grown in waterlogged soil (Watanabe et al. 1978). Be aware in these circumstances that underestimation of nitrogenase activity may occur.

## **Incubation period**

The period of incubation should be the minimum necessary to precisely detect the ethylene evolved. Hourly samples up until 4 hours after introducing acetylene should be sufficient. Incubations of >4 hours are not recommended due to changes in the concentration of  $O_2$  in the soil (Wani et al. 1983). Further, bacteria may multiply on the dead roots within the incubation chamber so that long incubations may not represent rates of  $N_2$  fixation associated with living plants. Ensure that you record the time when you first disturb your plants, the time of acetylene injection and the time each gas sample is withdrawn from the incubation chamber for analysis. Ideally, the gas samples are analysed immediately but they can be stored in airtight syringes inserted into a rubber bung for up to 1 hour or in Vacutainers<sup>®</sup> for longer periods.

## **Environmental conditions**

Environmental conditions during the assay should be similar to those of the undisturbed system before assay. Temperature, concentrations of  $CO_2$  and  $O_{2,}$  humidity / soil water content, and light intensity in the case of intact systems, all have an impact on nitrogenase activity and should be controlled.

#### Endogenous production of ethylene in soils

Intact systems with roots in soil need to be corrected for background ethylene production. Ethylene is frequently produced and simultaneously oxidised in soils, but acetylene inhibits this natural ethylene oxidation. Injection of acetylene means that the 'endogenous' soil-produced ethylene will add to the nitrogenase-produced ethylene, giving apparently higher values. Control incubations can be run with low concentrations of acetylene (e.g. 500  $\mu$ L/L) that still inhibit ethylene oxidation but are too low to register anything other than trace levels of acetylene reduction (Nohrstedt 1983). An alternative control uses the normal concentration of acetylene and 20–40 mL/L of

carbon monoxide (CO), a powerful inhibitor of nitrogenase activity, in the incubation. However, great caution should be used when handling CO as it is odourless and can be lethal if inhaled in modest quantities. It must never be used in confined spaces.

While such corrections for endogenous ethylene production can be used, the natural production of ethylene by soils and soil–plant systems remains a substantial, some would argue insurmountable (Giller and Merckx 2003), problem for application of the acetylene reduction assay to soils. Both the implementation of the assay and the interpretation of results should therefore be conducted with great care.

# 11.1.4 Gas chromatography

The chromatographic columns most commonly used to separate acetylene, ethylene and propane are generally micro-beads of polypropylene (Porapak N or Porapak T) packed into 0.32 cm (1/8 inch) external diameter stainless steel columns of approximately 2 m length passing through an oven at 100–120 °C (e.g. Hardy et al. 1968; Burris 1975). Shorter columns (40–50 cm) at lower temperatures (e.g. 40 °C) may enable faster separation of these gases (Boddey 1987). Chromatographs should be fitted with a flame ionisation detector. For most studies 0.5 mL of gas is extracted from the incubation chamber headspace and injected into the gas chromatograph. If possible, inject the same volume of sample, standard and gas to make the calculations simpler. Variable gas volumes can be accommodated as well, so make sure you record everything carefully.

With older gas chromatographs equipped with pen recorders, the areas under the peaks recorded on paper charts are proportional to the quantities of each substance, with the relationship of area to component concentration computed for standards of each gas. The peak height can often be used instead of areas under the peaks, with only small losses in accuracy.

With more modern gas chromatographs, the output is typically fed into an integrator that automatically gives the area under the curve of each peak and this area can be related to the concentration of each component.

The relationship of ethylene standard concentrations and area is linear for a wide concentration range (Figure 42), which makes it possible to use only one standard to calculate ethylene concentration in the sample without incurring significant error.



**Figure 42.** Relationship between peak area and ethylene standards  $(30-3,000 \mu mol/mol)$  from a Perkin Elmer chromatograph equipped with a flame ionisation detector and a 1.0 m Porapak N column. Temperatures were 100 °C for the injector, 95 °C for the oven and 230 °C for the detector. The inset graph is an enlarged view of the lower ethylene concentrations.

#### 11.1.5 Calculations for acetylene reduction assays

The first assumption of the calculations below is that same volume of gas is injected into the chromatograph, usually 0.5 mL, for both the samples and standards. In this case, the areas under the peaks are proportional to the quantities of each component analysed. For ethylene concentration (equation (20):

$$\frac{C_{\rm E}}{E} = \frac{K_{\rm E}}{S_{\rm E}} \tag{20}$$

where  $C_{\rm E}$  and  $K_{\rm E}$  are the ethylene concentration in the sample and standard, respectively, ( $\mu$ L/L or parts per million by volume), and *E* (sample) and *S*<sub>E</sub> (standard) are the respective areas obtained after chromatographic analyses.

To express the results in molar units it is assumed that samples and standards are injected into the chromatograph at 25 °C (298 K). Hence, as the volume of one mole of any gas at 298 K and 10 kPa is 24.45 L, the ethylene concentration in the sample, in  $\mu$ mol/mL, is (equation (21)):

$$C_{\rm E} = \frac{E \times K_{\rm E}}{S_{\rm E} \times 24,450} \tag{21}$$

If two gas samples are taken from a flask of volume F (mL) after a time interval t (hours) between samplings, the ethylene production rate (X), in  $\mu$ mol/hour, during the time t is (equation (22)):

$$X = \frac{(C_{\rm E2} - C_{\rm E1}) \times F}{t}$$
(22)

where  $C_{E1}$  and  $C_{E2}$  are the initial and final ethylene concentrations in the flask.

Incorporating equations (20)–(22), the rate of ethylene production, in  $\mu$ mol C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/hour, is (equation (23)):

$$X = \frac{(E_2 - E_1) \times F \times K_E}{24,450 \times S_E \times t}$$
(23)

where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are the initial and final sample peak areas.

The *X* value can be considered the acetylene reduction rate by organisms in the flask of volume *F*, assuming that:

- the only ethylene source is from acetylene reduction
- there are no gas leaks during incubation or in the injection of gas samples and standards in the chromatograph
- acetylene reduction is constant with time.

Discussion of the use of external standards and the corrections for possible leaks can be found in Patriquin and Keddy (1978) and Turner and Gibson (1980). Examples of use of the equations are provided in Balandreau and Dommergues (1973) and Boddey et al. (1978).

#### 11.1.6 Final word

The attraction of the acetylene reduction assay is its simplicity and sensitivity in indicating nitrogenase activity. Because of this, it is useful in identifying systems that are active in  $N_2$  fixation. In the context of this book, it is not sufficiently reliable for field quantification. It is valuable, however, for identifying systems or conditions for further study using more robust quantitative techniques. It is likely to be most beneficial for studies of associative  $N_2$ -fixing systems where it is often difficult to provide unequivocal evidence of  $N_2$ fixation. Under no circumstances should results from acetylene reduction assays be used to calculate amounts of  $N_2$  fixed. Disturbance of the  $O_2$ concentration in  $N_2$ -fixing systems, the direct influence of acetylene on nitrogenase activity, the short-term nature of the assays, and endogenous ethylene production from soils during assay are all factors that confound any extrapolation beyond the incubation chamber and incubation conditions.

#### Studying N<sub>2</sub> fixation using H<sub>2</sub> efflux

During  $N_2$  fixation, part of the electron flux to nitrogenase is diverted to  $H_2$  production (Bulen et al. 1965). The fact that  $H_2$  is produced in parallel with  $N_2$  allows  $H_2$  efflux to be used as an indirect measure of nitrogenase activity (Layzell et al. 1984). However, some bacteria also possess an uptake hydrogenase enzyme that oxidises  $H_2$ , greatly reducing its liberation during  $N_2$  fixation. These so called Hup<sup>+</sup> bacteria are thus capable of redirecting some of the energy generated by  $H_2$  oxidation back to the nitrogenase complex to fix  $N_2$ . Thus, little or no  $H_2$  efflux may be observed from nodules or colonies of Hup<sup>+</sup> bacteria.

As shown in equation (16), eight electrons are involved in the reduction of  $N_2$  during  $N_2$  fixation, but at least 25% of this electron flux is diverted to  $H_2$  production. Thus,  $H_2$  measurement under normal atmospheres can give only an approximate measure of nitrogenase activity as the majority of electrons are used to reduce  $N_2$  to  $NH_3$ . However, if  $N_2$  is replaced by an inert gas such as argon, all the electrons are diverted to  $H_2$  production, provided that the proportion of  $O_2$  in the mixture is maintained the same as in air (Layzell et al. 1984).  $H_2$  efflux will then provide a direct measure of nitrogenase activity under assay conditions. The  $H_2$  assay has advantages of requiring only low-cost apparatus such as that equipped with a solid-state detector (Qubit Systems Inc., Kingston, Canada <www.qubitsystems.com>) or by chromatographic analysis using a thermal conductivity detector (Bertelsen 1985).

However, the argon-induced inhibition of nitrogenase occurs for only about 2 hours, which limits the usefulness of the technique for  $N_2$  fixation studies (King and Layzell 1991).

#### 11.2.1 How it works

The H<sub>2</sub>-efflux technique can be used in static incubation systems (Dong et al. 1995), flow-through systems using a simple portable detector (Rainbird et al. 1983) and more complex computer-monitored systems equipped with valves and sensors (Hunt et al. 1989). The rate of N<sub>2</sub> fixation (*X*) is calculated by subtracting the partial or apparent nitrogenase activity (ANA) measured in air from that measured in the absence of N<sub>2</sub> (i.e. presence of argon), which is termed total nitrogenase activity (TNA). The result is divided by three, which represents the number of electron pairs (e) required to reduce N<sub>2</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub>, as follows (equation (24)):

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{TNA - ANA}{e} \end{array}\right) \tag{24}$$

The relative allocation of electrons by nitrogenase involved in the production of  $H_2$  and  $N_2$  reduction is calculated as (equation (25)):

electron allocation coefficient (*EAC*) = 
$$1 - \left(\frac{ANA}{TNA}\right)$$
 (25)

The theoretical maximum EAC is 0.75, but experimental values range from 0.59 to 0.70 (Hunt and Layzell 1993).

Simple assays can be performed on vials or flasks containing culture media (e.g. diazotrophic bacteria), from which air samples are withdrawn periodically until the maximum  $H_2$  efflux rate is obtained (Bertelsen 1985). The procedure used by Dong et al. (1995) is described below.

When working with more heterogeneous systems including plants, it is recommended that measurements be made under normal atmospheres, alternated with an  $Ar:O_2$  atmosphere, with the latter condition for as short a time as possible. Continuous flow-through systems equipped with  $H_2$  detectors allow apparent and total nitrogenase activity to be measured for short periods. In this case, plants must be grown in a medium that allows gas to flow freely through the root system. Grade 16 silica sand or industrial quartz of 3-mesh size (0.65 mm sand) provides appropriate porosity and contains no N that could inhibit nitrogenase. However, several experiments have been carried out with vermiculite (Witty and Minchin 1998; Gordon et al. 1999), where plants were grown in a N-free medium, and no problems were reported. Soil is unsuitable for use in flow-through systems unless it is sterilised, since the presence of  $H_2$  induces changes in the soil biology population towards organisms capable of oxidising  $H_2$ . Within a short period all the  $H_2$  produced will be consumed by the microflora and will not be measurable with  $H_2$  detectors (Dong and Layzell 2001).

The advantages of the  $H_2$  efflux technique to monitor nitrogenase activity can be summarised as follows (after Hunt and Layzell 1993):

- The method does not carry a significant health and safety hazard (unlike acetylene/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures which are potentially explosive).
- The H<sub>2</sub> analyser is less expensive than a gas chromatograph, which is required for acetylene reduction activity.
- Measurements of apparent nitrogenase activity and short-term measurements of total nitrogenase activity do not inhibit nitrogenase, and therefore the technique can be applied to the same plant continuously or intermittently.
- Using flow-through systems it is possible to continuously monitor nitrogenase activity with high sensitivity, allowing for measurements of ANA, TNA, EAC and N<sub>2</sub> fixation rate.

Among the disadvantages are the following:

- The method is not useful for Hup+ micro-organisms, which recycle most of the H<sub>2</sub> produced by nitrogenase.
- It is unsuitable for use with soil-based systems.
- The impact of plant disturbance on nitrogenase activity and H<sub>2</sub> evolution may be similar to those described for acetylene reduction assays.
- H<sub>2</sub> analysers are sensitive to water vapour and temperature changes, and analysis can be affected by O<sub>2</sub> and carrier gases, thus requiring careful calibration.
- Extended exposure to Ar:O<sub>2</sub> causes a decline in TNA.

An apparatus for H<sub>2</sub> measurement in plant systems is available from QubitSystems of Canada <a href="http://www.qubitsystems.com/">http://www.qubitsystems.com/</a>>.

# **11.2.2** Static H<sub>2</sub> procedure (after Dong et al. 1995)

Paired flasks with culture media are sealed with gastight serum stoppers to allow for  $H_2$  accumulation. The atmosphere of one of them has its air content replaced by a mixture of 80% Ar : 20%  $O_2$  by flushing it for 5 minutes. To avoid changes in bacterial behaviour and  $H_2$  evolution in response to changes in temperature etc. (e.g. Bertelsen 1985), flasks must be kept under exactly the same conditions prior to the  $H_2$  assay. Gas samples of 1 mL are withdrawn with plastic syringes at 10-minute intervals and analysed in a gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity or semiconductor sensor. The maximum  $H_2$  evolution rate is usually reached after 1–2 hours. The  $N_2$ fixation rate can be calculated using equation (24).

## 11.2.3 Flow-through H<sub>2</sub> systems

A scheme for use with intact nodulated legumes, based on that of Hunt et al. (1989), is shown in Figure 43.

The open-flow gas analysis system is used to monitor rates of  $H_2$  exchange from nodulated roots in atmospheres of  $N_2:O_2$  or  $Ar:O_2$ . The system is composed of mass flow controllers, one each of  $N_2$ , Ar and  $O_2$  in the mixture. A  $H_2$  standard in Ar is also connected to a flow controller to



**Figure 43.** A flow-through system described in Hunt et al. (1989) to measure  $H_2$  efflux from the root nodules of a single plant

calibrate the H<sub>2</sub> analyser in both N<sub>2</sub>:O<sub>2</sub> and Ar:O<sub>2</sub>. The analytical gas stream is humidified by bubbling through water and is then passed through the growth pot (cuvette) enclosing the root system of a plant. To maintain a low back-pressure and minimise leaks, most of the effluent gas stream is vented to the atmosphere. A portion of the gas stream is sampled by a pump and dehumidified by initial passage through an ice-water bath and then a more rigorous water trap such as magnesium perchlorate, before H<sub>2</sub> analysis.

 $H_2$  evolution in a  $N_2$ :O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere provides a continuous monitor of relative nitrogenase activity in an atmosphere that is similar to air. After replacement with an Ar:O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, all the electron flow is diverted to  $H_2$  production, and the rate of  $H_2$  evolution is taken as a measure of total nitrogenase activity (TNA). Since TNA declines with continuous exposure to Ar, the Ar:O<sub>2</sub> treatment is imposed only until maximal rates of  $H_2$  evolution are measured (about 5 minutes). Figure 44 shows a time course of  $H_2$  efflux under atmospheres of  $N_2$  and Ar, both with ambient O<sub>2</sub> concentrations.



**Figure 44.** Hypothetical changes in  $H_2$  efflux following sequential measurements of a  $N_2$ -fixing system under an atmosphere of  $N_2$  and argon, both containing ambient  $O_2$  concentration

## 11.2.4 Final word

The analysis of  $H_2$  evolution is a sensitive procedure that can be used to provide evidence of nitrogenase activity, but only in systems that lack the hydrogenase enzyme. It suffers from a number of the same limitations described for the acetylene reduction assay, and is not well suited for use under field conditions for other than short-term monitoring of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing activity by excavated roots. Results cannot be extrapolated beyond the incubation vessel or in time, and thus it cannot provide quantitative field estimates of N<sub>2</sub> fixation.



Addiscott T., Whitmore A. and Powlson D. 1991. Farming, fertilisers and the nitrate problem. CAB International: Wallingford, UK.

Alves B., Resende A., Urquiaga S. and Boddey R. 2000a. Biological nitrogen fixation by two tropical forage legumes assessed from the relative ureide abundance of stem solutes: <sup>15</sup>N calibration of the technique in sand culture. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 56, 165–176.

Alves B., Zotarelli L., Resende A., Polidoro J., Urquiaga S. and Boddey R. 2000b. Rapid and sensitive determination of nitrate in plant tissue using flow injection analysis. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 31, 2739–2750.

Alves B.J.R., Resende C.de P., A S, Macedo R., Tarre R.M., Urquiaga S. and Boddey R.M. 2000c. Estimation of N<sub>2</sub> fixation of *Desmodium ovalifolium* from the relative ureide abundance of stem solutes: comparison with the <sup>15</sup>N–dilution and in situ soil core technique. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 56, 177–193.

Anderson G., Fillery I.R.P., Dunin F.X., Dolling P.J. and Asseng S. 1998. Nitrogen and water flows under pasture–wheat and lupin–wheat rotations in deep sands in Western Australia.
2. Drainage and nitrate leaching. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 49, 345–362.

Anderson J. and Ingram J. (eds) 1993. Tropical soil biology and fertility: a handbook of methods. CAB International: Wallingford, UK.

Arnold S.L. and Schepers J.S. 2004. A simple roller-mill grinding procedure for plant and soil samples. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 35, 537–545.

Baker D., Fried M. and Parotta J. 1995.
Theoretical implications for the estimation of dinitrogen fixation by large perennial plant species using isotope dilution. Pp. 225–236 in 'Nuclear techniques in soil–plant studies for sustainable agriculture and environmental preservation'. IAEA: Vienna.

Balandreau J. and Dommergues Y. 1973. Assaying nitrogenase  $(C_2H_2)$  activity in the field. Pp. 247–254 in 'Modern methods in the study of microbial ecology', ed. by T. Rosswall. Bulletin of the Ecological Research Committee (Stockholm) 17: Stockholm.

Barraclough D. 1991. The use of mean pool abundances to interpret <sup>15</sup>N tracer experiments. I. Theory. Plant and Soil 131, 89–96.

Barley K.P. 1970. The configuration of the root system in relation to nutrient uptake. Advances in Agronomy 22, 159–201.

Barrie A., Brookes S.T., Prosser S.J. and Debney S. 1995. High productivity analysis of <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>13</sup>C in soil/plant research. Fertilizer Research 42, 43–59.

Batten G.D., Blakeney A.B., Glennie Holmes M.G., Henry R.J., McCaffery A.C., Bacon P.E. and Heenan D.P. 1991. Rapid determination of shoot nitrogen status in rice using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 54, 191–197.

Bergersen F.J. 1980a. Measurement of nitrogen fixation by direct means. Pp. 111–138 in 'Methods for evaluating biological nitrogen fixation', ed. by F.J. Bergersen. John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK.

Bergersen F.J. (ed.) 1980b. 'Methods for evaluating biological nitrogen fixation.' John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK.

Bergersen F.J., Brockwell J., Gault R.R., Morthorpe L., Peoples M.B. and Turner G.L. 1989. Effects of available soil nitrogen and rates of inoculation on nitrogen fixation by irrigated soybeans and evaluation of d<sup>15</sup>N methods for measurement. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 40, 763–780.

Bergersen F.J., Turner G.L., Amarger N., Mariotti F. and Mariotti A. 1986. Strain of *Rhizobium lupini* determines natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in root nodules of *Lupinus* species. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 18, 97–101. Bertelsen H. 1985. Effect of temperature on H<sub>2</sub> evolution and acetylene reduction in pea nodules and in isolated bacteroids. Plant Physiology 77, 335–338.

Bethlenfalvay G.J., Reyes-Solis M.G., Camel S.B. and Ferrera-Cerrato R. 1991. Nutrient transfer between root zones of soybean and maize plants connected by a common mycorrhizal mycelium. Physiologia Plantarum 82, 423–432.

Blakeney A.B., Batten G.D. and Welsh L.A.
1995. Choosing materials as NIR standards.
Pp. 36–39 in 'Leaping ahead with near infrared spectroscopy', ed. by G.D. Batten,
P.C. Flinn, L.A. Welsh and A.B. Blakeney.
Near Infrared Spectroscopy Group, RACI:
North Melbourne.

Blakeney A.B., Dunn B., Batten G.D., Ciavarella S. and Beecher H.G. 2008. Choosing materials as standards for the Bruker FT-NIR. In 'Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Near Infrared Spectroscopy'. Umeå-Vasa, Sweden and Finland. IM Publications: Chichester, UK.

Boddey R.M. 1987. Methods for quantification of nitrogen fixation associated with Gramineae. CRC Critical Reviews in Plant Science 6, 209–266.

Boddey R.M. and Ahmad N. 1981. Seasonal variations in nitrogenase activity of various rice varieties measured with an in situ acetylene reduction technique. Pp. 219–229 in 'Associative  $N_2$  fixation', ed. by P. Vose and A. Ruschel. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.

Boddey R.M., Baldani V., Baldani J. and Döbereiner J. 1986. Effect of inoculation of *Azospirillum* spp. on nitrogen accumulation by field-grown wheat. Plant and Soil 95, 109–121.

Boddey R.M., de Oliveira O., Alves B. and Urquiaga S. 1995a. Field application of the <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution technique for the reliable quantification of plant-associated biological nitrogen fixation. Fertilizer Research 42, 77–87. Boddey R.M., de Oliveira O., Urquiaga S., Reis V., Olivares F., Baldani V. and Dobereiner J. 1995b. Biological nitrogen fixation associated with sugarcane and rice: contributions and prospects for improvement. Plant and Soil 174, 195–209.

Boddey R.M., Peoples M.B., Palmer B. and Dart P. 2000. Use of the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody plants. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 57, 235–270.

Boddey R.M., Polidoro J., Carlos, Resende A.S., Alves B.J.R. and Urquiaga S. 2001. Use of the<sup>15</sup>N natural abundance technique for the quantification of the contribution of  $N_2$ fixation to sugar cane and other grasses. Functional Plant Biology 28, 889–895.

Boddey R.M., Quilt P. and Ahmad P. 1978. Acetylene reduction in the rhizosphere of rice: methods of assay. Plant and Soil 50, 567–574.

Boddey R.M., Reis V.M., Alves B.J.R. and Urquiaga S. 2006. Biological nitrogen fixation in agroecosystems. Pp. 177–189 in 'Biological approaches to sustainable soil Systems', ed. by N. Uphoff et al. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.

Boddey R.M. and Victoria R.L. 1986. Estimation of biological nitrogen fixation associated with *Brachiaria* and *Paspalum* grasses using <sup>15</sup>N-labelled organic matter and fertilizer. Plant and Soil 90, 265–292.

Bolan N., Saggar S., Luo J., Bhandral R. and Singh J. 2004. Gaseous emissions of nitrogen from grazed pastures: Processes, measurements and modelling, environmental implications, and mitigation Advances in Agronomy 84, 37–119.

Bonilla C.A., Kroll D.G., Norman J.M., Yoder D.C., Molling C.C., Miller P.S., Panuska J.C., Topel J.B., Wakeman P.L. and Karthikeyan K.G. 2006. Instrumentation for measuring runoff, sediment, and chemical losses from agricultural fields. Journal of Environmental Quality 35, 216–223. Bremer E. and van Kessel C. 1990. Appraisal of the nitrogen-15 natural abundance method for quantifying dinitrogen fixation. Soil Science Society of America, Journal 54, 404–411.

Bremner J.M. and Mulvaney C.S. 1982. Nitrogen—total. Pp. 595–624 in 'Methods of soil analysis', ed. by A.L. Page. American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America: Madison, WI.

Brockwell J., Bottomley P.J. and Thies J.E. 1995. Manipulation of rhizobia microflora for improving legume productivity and soil fertility: a critical assessment. Plant and Soil 174, 143–180.

Bulen W.A., Burns R.C. and Lecomte J.R. 1965. Nitrogen fixation: hydrosulphite as electron donor with cell- free preparations of *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA 53, 532–539.

Burris R.H. 1975. The acetylene reduction technique. Pp. 249–257 in 'Nitrogen fixation by free-living microorganisms', ed. by W.D.P. Stewart. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Burris R.H., Eppling F.J., Wahlin H.B. and Wilson P.W. 1942. Studies of biological nitrogen fixation with isotopic nitrogen. Soil Science Society America Proceedings 7, 258–262.

Busse M. 2000. Suitability and use of the<sup>15</sup>Nisotope dilution method to estimate nitrogen fixation by actinorhizal shrubs. Forest Ecology and Management 136, 85–95.

Cadisch G., Hairiah K. and Giller K.E. 2000. Applicability of the natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance technique to measure N<sub>2</sub> fixation in *Arachis hypogaea* grown on an Ultisol. Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science 48, 31–45.

Cadisch G., Sylvester-Bradley R. and Nosberger J. 1989. <sup>15</sup>N-based estimation of nitrogen fixation by eight tropical forage-legumes at two levels of P:K supply. Field Crops Research 22, 181–195. Carlsson G., Palmborg C. and Huss-Danell K. 2006. Discrimination against <sup>15</sup>N in three N<sub>2</sub>-fixing *Trifolium* species as influenced by *Rhizobium* strain and plant age. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica B56, 31–38.

Cataldo D.A., Haroon M., Schrader L.E. and Youngs V.L. 1975. Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 6, 71–80.

Chalk P.M. 1985. Estimation of  $N_2$  fixation by isotope dilution: an appraisal of techniques involving <sup>15</sup>N enrichment and their application. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 17, 389–410.

 1996a. Nitrogen transfer from legumes to cereals in intercropping. Pp. 351–374 in 'Dynamics of roots and nitrogen in intercropping systems of the semi-arid tropics', ed. by O. Ito, C. Johansen, J. Adu-Gyamfi, K. Katayama, J. Kumar Rao and T. Rego. Japanese International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences: Tsukuba.

 — 1996b. Estimation of N<sub>2</sub> fixation by <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution—the A-value approach. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 28, 1123–1130.

 — 1998. Dynamics of biologically fixed N in legume-cereal rotations: a review. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 49, 303-316.

 2000. Integrated effects of mineral nutrition on legume performance. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 32, 577–579.

Chalk P.M. and Ladha J.K. 1999. Estimation of legume symbiotic dependence: an evaluation of techniques based on <sup>15</sup>N dilution. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 31, 1901-1917.

Chalk P.M., Smith C.J., Hopmans P. and Hamilton S.D. 1996. A yield–independent,  $^{15}N$ -isotope dilution method to estimate legume symbiotic dependence without a non– $N_2$ -fixing reference plant. Biology and Fertility of Soils 23, 196–199.

- Chen D., Chalk P.M. and Freney, J.R. 1991. External-source contamination during extraction-distillation in isotope-ratio analysis of soil inorganic nitrogen. Analytica Chimica Acta 245, 49–55.
- Ciavarella S., Batten G.D., Blakeney A.B. and Marr K.M. 1995. Modified NIR cells and packing techniques. Pp. 40-43 in 'Leaping ahead with near infrared spectroscopy', ed. by G.D. Batten, P.C. Flinn, L.A. Welsh and A.B. Blakeney. Near Infrared Spectroscopy Group, RACI: North Melbourne.
- Cleveland C.C., Townsend A.R., Schimel D.S., Fisher H., Howarth R., Hedin L., Perakis S., Latty E., von Fischer J., Elsroad A. and Wasson M. 1999. Global patterns of terrestrial biological nitrogen  $(N_2)$  fixation in natural ecosystems. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 13, 623–645.
- Clifton K. and Clifton L. 1991. A field method for the determination of total nitrogen in plant tissue. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 22, 851–860.
- Corbin E.J., Brockwell J. and Gault R.R. 1977. Nodulation studies on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry 17, 126–134.
- Craswell E.T. and Eskew D.L. 1991. Nitrogen and nitrogen-15 analysis using automated mass and emission spectrometers. Soil Science Society of America, Journal 55, 750–756.
- Crews T., Brockwell J. and Peoples M. 2005. Host–rhizobia interaction for effective inoculation: evaluation of the potential use of the ureide assay to monitor the symbiotic performance of tepary bean (*Phaseolus acutifolius* A. Gray). Soil Biology and Biochemistry 36, 1223–1228.
- Dagliesh N. and Foale M. 2000. Soil matters: monitoring soil water and nutrients in dryland farming. Agricultural Production Systems Research Unit, CSIRO: Brisbane.

- Danso S.K.A. and Kumarishinge K.S. 1990. Assessment of potential sources of error in nitrogen fixation measurements by the nitrogen-15 isotope dilution technique. Plant and Soil 125, 87–93.
- Dart P.J. 1986. Nitrogen fixation associated with non-legumes in agriculture. Plant and Soil 90, 303–334.
- Dart P.J. and Day J.M. 1975. Non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation in soils. Pp. 225–252 in 'Soil microbiology—a critical review', ed. by N. Walker. Butterworths: London.
- Dawson T. and Brooks P.D. 2001. Fundamentals of stable isotope chemistry and measurement. Pp. 1–18 in 'Application of stable isotope techniques to study biological processes and functioning of ecosystems', ed. by M.J. Unkovich, J.S. Pate, A.M. McNeill and D.J. Gibbs. Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht.
- Dawson T., Mambelli S., Plamboeck A., Templer P. and Tu K. 2002. Stable isotopes in plant ecology. Annual Review Ecology and Systematics 33, 507–559.
- De Polli H., Matsui E., Dobereiner J. and Salati E. 1977. Confirmation of nitrogen fixation in two tropical grasses by <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> incorporation. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 9, 119–123.
- Dilworth M.J. 1966. Acetylene reduction by nitrogen fixing preparations from *Clostridium pasteurianum*. Biochimica et Biophyica Acta 127, 285–294.
- Dobereiner J. and Day J.M. 1975. Associative symbioses in tropical grasses: characterization of microorganisms and nitrogen-fixing sites. Pp. 518–538 in 'Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Nitrogen Fixation, Pullman', ed. by W. Newton and C. Nyman. Washington State University Press.
- Dong Y., Iniguez A.L. and Triplett E.W. 2003. Quantitative assessments of the host range and strain specificity of endophytic colonization by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Plant and Soil 257, 49–59.

Dong Z., Heydrich M., Bernard K. and McCully M.E. 1995. Further evidence that the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing endophytic bacterium from the intercellular spaces of sugarcane stems is *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61, 1843–1846.

Dong Z. and Layzell D.B. 2001. H<sub>2</sub> oxidation, O<sub>2</sub> uptake and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in hydrogen treated soils. Plant and Soil 229, 1–12.

Do Rosario M., Oliveira G., van Noordwijk M., Gaze S., Brouwer G., Bona S., Mosca G. and Hairiah K. 2000. Augur sampling, ingrowth cores and pinboard methods. Pp. 175–210 in 'Root methods: a handbook', ed. by A. Smit, A.G. Bengough, C. Engels, M. van Noordwijk, S. Pelerin, S. van de Geijn. Springer Press: Berlin and Heidelberg.

Doughton J.A., Vallis I. and Saffigna P.G. 1992. An indirect method for estimating N-15 isotope fractionation during nitrogen fixation by a legume under field conditions. Plant and Soil 144, 23–29.

Drevon J., Kalia V., Heckman M. and Pedalahore P. 1988. In situ open-flow assay of acetylene reduction activity by soybean root nodules: influence of acetylene and oxygen. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 26, 73–78.

Eriksen J. and Hogh-Jensen H. 1998. Variations in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in ryegrass/ white clover shoot material as influenced by cattle grazing. Plant and Soil 205, 67–76.

Eskew D.L., Eaglesham A.R.J. and App A.A. 1981. Heterotrophic  ${}^{15}N_2$  fixation and distribution of newly fixed nitrogen in a rice-flooded soil system. Plant Physiology 68, 48–52.

Evans J. and Taylor A. 1987. Estimating dinitrogen  $(N_2)$  fixation and soil accretion of nitrogen by grain legumes. Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science 53, 78–82.

Evans J., Turner G.L., O'Connor G.E. and Bergersen F.J. 1987. Nitrogen fixation and accretion of soil nitrogen by field grown lupins. Field Crops Research 16, 309–322. Farnworth J. 1997. Agri Info: guidelines for world crop and livestock production. John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK.

Fehr W.R., Caviness C.E., Burmood D.T. and Pennington J.S. 1971. Stage of development descriptions for soybeans, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. Crop Science 11, 929–931.

Fiedler R. 1984. The measurement of <sup>15</sup>N. Pp. 233–282 in 'Soil–plant–water relationships', ed. by M.F. L'Annunziata and J.O. Legg. Academic Press: London.

Fillery I. 2001. The fate of biologically fixed nitrogen in legume-based dryland farming systems. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 41, 361–381.

Firth P., Thitipoca H., Suthipradit S., Wetselaar R. and Beech D.F. 1973. Nitrogen balance studies in the central plain of Thailand. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 5, 41–46.

Focht D. and Poth M. 1987. Measurement of biological nitrogen fixation by <sup>15</sup>N techniques. Pp. 257–288 in 'Symbiotic nitrogen fixation technology', ed. by G. Elkan. Marcel Dekker: New York.

Fried M. and Broeshart H. 1975. An independent measurement of the amount of nitrogen fixed by a legume crop. Plant and Soil 43, 707–711.

Gathumbi S., Cadisch G. and Giller K.E. 2002. <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance as a tool for assessing  $N_2$ -fixation of herbaceous, shrub and tree legumes in improved fallows. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 1059–1071.

Gault R.R., Peoples M.B., Turner G.L., Lilley D., Brockwell J. and Bergersen F.J. 1995. Nitrogen fixation by irrigated lucerne during the first three years after establishment. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 46, 1401–1425.

Gibson A. 1980. Methods for legumes in glasshouses and controlled environment cabinets. Pp. 139–184 in 'Methods for evaluating biological nitrogen fixation', ed. by F.J. Bergersen. John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK. Giller K.E. 2001. Nitrogen fixation in tropical cropping systems. CAB Publishing: Wallingford, UK.

Giller K.E., Cadisch G., Ehaliotis C., Adams E., Sakala W. and Mafongoya P. 1997. Building soil nitrogen capital in Africa. Pp. 151–192 in 'Replenishing soil fertility in Africa', ed. by R. Buresh, P. Sanchez and F. Calhoun. American Society for Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America: Madison, WI.

Giller K.E. and Day J.M. 1985. Nitrogen fixation in the rhizosphere: significance in natural and agricultural systems. Pp. 127–147 in 'Ecological interactions in soil: plants, microbes and animals', ed. by A.H. Fitter, D. Atkinson, D.J. Read and M.B. Usher. Blackwell Scientific: Oxford, UK.

Giller K.E. and Merckx R. 2003. Exploring the boundaries of  $N_2$  fixation in cereals and grasses: an hypothetical framework. Symbiosis 35, 3–17.

Giller K.E., Wani S. and Day J. 1986. Use of isotope dilution to measure nitrogen fixation associated with the roots of sorghum and millet genotypes. Plant and Soil 90, 255–263.

Glenister R.A. and LaRue T.A. 1986. A spot test for estimating ureides in soybean petioles. Experimental Agriculture 22, 405–416.

Gordon A.J., Minchin F.R., James C.L. and Komina O. 1999. Sucrose synthase in legume nodules is essential for nitrogen fixation. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 120, 867–877.

Goulding K.W.T., Bailey N.J., Bradbury N.J., Hargreaves P., Howe M., Murphy D.V., Poulton P.R. and Willison T.W. 1998. Nitrogen deposition and its contribution to nitrogen cycling and associated soil processes. New Phytologist 139, 49–58.

Gregory P. 2006. Plant roots; growth, activity and interaction with soils. Blackwell Publishing: Oxford, UK. Guimarães A., de Morais R., Urquiaga S., Boddey R. and Alves B. 2008. *Bradyrhizobium* strain influences the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance quantification of biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation in soybean. Scientia Agricola, in press.

Guthrie J.A., Liebenberg C.J. and Walsh K.B. 2006. NIR model development and robustness in prediction of melon fruit total soluble solids. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 57, 1–8.

Guthrie J.A., Reid D.J. and Walsh K.B. 2005. Assessment of internal quality attributes of mandarin fruit. 2. NIR calibration model robustness. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 56, 417–426.

Habte M. and Alexander M. 1980. Nitrogen fixation by photosynthetic bacteria in lowland rice culture. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 39, 342–347.

Hamilton S.D., Smith C.J., Chalk P.M. and Hopmans P. 1992. A model based on measurement of soil and plant <sup>15</sup>N enrichment to estimate N<sub>2</sub> fixation by soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) grown in pots. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 24, 71–78.

Hansen A.P. and Pate J.S. 1987. Evaluation of the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance method and xylem sap analysis for assessing  $N_2$  fixation of understorey legumes in jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata* Donn ex Sm.) forest in S.W. Australia. Journal of Experimental Botany 38, 1446–1458.

Hansen A.P., Rerkasem B., Lordkaew S. and Martin P. 1993. Xylem-solute technique to measure N<sub>2</sub> fixation by *Phaseolus vulgaris* L.: calibration and sources of error. Plant and Soil 150, 223–231.

Hardy R.W.F., Burns R.C. and Holsten R.D. 1973. Application of the acetylene–ethylene assay for measurement of nitrogen fixation. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 5, 47–81.

Hardy R.W.F., Holsten R.D., Jackson E.K. and Burns R.C. 1968. The acetylene–ethylene assay for  $N_2$  fixation: Laboratory and field evaluation. Plant Physiology 43, 1185–1207. Harris G. and Hesterman O.B. 1990. Quantifying the nitrogen contribution from alfalfa to soils and two succeeding crops using nitrogen-15. Agronomy Journal 82, 129–134.

- Herridge D.F. 1982a. A whole-system approach to quantifying biological nitrogen fixation by legumes and associated gains and losses of nitrogen in agricultural systems. Pp. 593–608 in 'Biological nitrogen fixation technology for tropical agriculture', ed. by P.H. Graham and S.C. Harris. CIAT: Cali, Colombia.
- 1982b. Relative abundance of ureides and nitrate in plant tissues of soybean as a quantitative assay of nitrogen fixation. Plant Physiology 70, 1–6.
- 1984. Effects of nitrate and plant development on the abundance of nitrogenous solutes in root-bleeding and vacuum extracted exudates of soybean. Crop Science 25, 173–179.
- 1988. The narrow leaved lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) as a nitrogen-fixing rotation crop for cereal production. I. Indices of nitrogen fixation. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 39, 1003–10015.
- Herridge D.F., Atkins C.A., Pate J.S. and Rainbird R.M. 1978. Allantoin and allantoic acid in the nitrogen economy of the cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). Plant Physiology 62, 495–498.
- Herridge D.F., Bergersen F.J. and Peoples M.B. 1990. Measurement of nitrogen fixation by soybean in the field using the ureide and natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance methods. Plant Physiology 93, 708–716.
- Herridge D.F. and Doyle A.D. 1988. The narrow leaved lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) as a nitrogen-fixing rotation crop for cereal production. II. Estimates of nitrogen fixation by field-grown crops. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 39, 1017–10028.

- Herridge D.F., Marcellos H., Felton W., Turner G. and Peoples M. 1998. Chickpea in wheat based cropping systems of northern New South Wales III. Prediction of  $N_2$  fixation and N balance using soil nitrate at sowing and chickpea yield. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 49, 409–418.
- Herridge D.F., Marcellos H., Felton W.L., Turner G.L. and Peoples M.B. 1995. Chickpea increases soil N fertility in cereal systems through nitrate sparing and  $N_2$ fixation. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27, 545–551.
- Herridge D.F., O'Connell P. and Donnelly K. 1988. The xylem ureide assay of nitrogen fixation: Sampling procedures and sources of error. Journal of Experimental Botany 39, 12–22.
- Herridge D.F., Palmer B., Nurhayati D.P. and Peoples M.B. 1996. Evaluation of the xylem ureide method for measuring  $N_2$  fixation in six tree legume species. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 28, 281–289.
- Herridge D.F. and Peoples M.B. 1990. The ureide assay for measuring nitrogen fixation by nodulated soybean calibrated by <sup>15</sup>N methods. Plant Physiology 93, 495–503.
- 2002a. Timing of xylem sampling for ureide analysis of nitrogen fixation. Plant and Soil 238, 57–67.
- 2002b. Calibrating the xylem-solute method for nitrogen fixation measurement of ureideproducing legumes: cowpea, mungbean and black gram. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 33, 425–437.
- Herridge D.F., Peoples M. and Boddey R.M. 2008. Global inputs of biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. Plant and Soil 311, 1–18.
- Herridge D.F. and Rose I.A. 2000. Breeding for enhanced nitrogen fixation in crop legumes. Field Crops Research 65, 229–248.

Herridge D.F., Roughley R.J. and Brockwell J. 1984. Effects of rhizobia and soil nitrate on the establishment and functioning of the soybean symbiosis in the field. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 35, 149–161.

Hogberg P. 1997. <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance in soil-plant systems: Tansley Review No. 95. New Phytologist 137, 179–203.

Holdensen L., Hauggaard-Nielsen H. and Jensen E.S. 2007. Short-range spatial variability of soil  $\delta^{15}$ N natural abundance effects on symbiotic N<sub>2</sub>-fixation estimates in pea. Plant and Soil 298, 265–272.

Hughes R.M. and Herridge D.F. 1989. Effect of tillage on yield, nodulation and  $N_2$  fixation of soybean in far north-coastal New South Wales. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry 29, 671–677.

Hungria M., Boddey L., Santos M. and Vargas M. 1998. Nitrogen fixation capacity and nodule occupancy by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *B. elkanii* strains. Biology and Fertility of Soils 27, 393–399.

Hungria M., Franchini J., Campo R., Crispino C., Moraes J., Sibaldelli R., Mendes L. and Arihara J. 2006. Nitrogen nutrition of soybean in Brazil: contributions of biological  $N_2$  fixation and N fertilizer to grain yield. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 86, 927–939.

Hungria M. and Neves M. 1987. Cultivar and *Rhizobium* strain effect on nitrogen fixation and transport in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Plant and Soil 103, 111–121.

Hunt P., Burnham K. and Matheny T. 1987. Precision and bias of various soybean dry matter sampling techniques. Agronomy Journal 79, 425–428.

Hunt S., King B.J. and Layzell D.B. 1989. Effects of gradual increases in O<sub>2</sub> concentration on nodule activity in soybean. Plant Physiology 91, 315–321. Hunt S. and Layzell D. 1993. Gas exchange of legume nodules and the regulation of nitrogenase activity. Annual Reviews of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 44, 483–511.

Iniguez A.L., Dong Y. and Triplett E.W. 2004. Nitrogen fixation in wheat provided by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions 17, 1078–1085.

IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) 2001. Use of isotope and radiation methods in soil and water management and crop nutrition. FAO/IAEA: Vienna.

Kamphake L.J., Hannah S.A. and Cohen J.M. 1967. Automated analysis for nitrate by hydrazine reduction. Water Research 1, 205–216.

Khan D.F., Peoples M., Chalk P.M. and Herridge D. 2002. Quantifying below ground nitrogen of legumes. 2. A comparison of <sup>15</sup>N and non-isotopic methods. Plant and Soil 239, 277–289.

Khan D.F., Peoples M., Schwenke G., Felton W.L., Chen D. and Herridge D.F. 2003. Effects of below-ground nitrogen on N balances of field-grown fababean, chickpea and barley. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 54, 333–340.

King B.J. and Layzell D.B. 1991. Effect of increases in  $O_2$  concentration during the argon-induced decline in nitrogenase activity in root nodules of soybean. Plant Physiology 96, 376–381.

King C. and Purcell L. 2005. Inhibition of N fixation in soybean is associated with elevated ureides and amino acids. Plant Physiology 137, 389–1396.

Kowalenko C.G. 2001. Assessment of Leco CNS-2000 analyser for simultaneously measuring total carbon, nitrogen and sulfur in soil. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 32, 2065–2078. Kumar Rao J., Thompson J., Sastry P., Giller K.E. and Day J. 1987. Measurement of  $N_2$ -fixation in field-grown pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* L.] using <sup>15</sup>N-labelled fertilizer. Plant and Soil 101, 107–113.

Kyei-Boahen S., Slankard A. and Walley F. 2002. Isotopic fractionation during  $N_2$  fixation by chickpea. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 417–420.

Ladha J.K., Peoples M.B., Barrity D.P., Capuno V.T. and Dart P.J. 1993. Estimating dinitrogen fixation of hedgerow vegetation using the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance method. Soil Science Society of America, Journal 57, 732–737.

Layzell D.B., Weagle G.E. and Canvin D.T. 1984. A highly sensitive flow through  $H_2$  analyser for use in nitrogen fixation studies. Plant Physiology 75, 582–585.

Ledgard S.F., Freney J.R. and Simpson J.R. 1984. Variations in natural enrichment of <sup>15</sup>N in the profiles of some Australian pasture soils. Australian Journal of Soil Research 22, 155–164.

Ledgard S.F. and Giller K.E. 1995. Atmospheric  $N_2$  fixation as an alternative N source. Pp. 443–486 in 'Nitrogen Fertilization and the Environment', ed. by P.E. Bacon. Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York.

Lee, K, Alimango B. and Yoshida T. 1977. Field technique using the acetylene reduction method to assay nitrogenase activity and its association with the rice rhizosphere. Plant and Soil 47, 519–526.

Lethbridge G. and Davidson M.S. 1983. Root-associated nitrogen-fixing bacteria and their role in the nitrogen nutrition of wheat estimated by <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 15, 365.

Letolle R. 1980. Nitrogen-15 in the natural environment. Pp. 407–433 in 'Handbook of environmental isotope geochemistry', ed. by P. Fritz and J.C. Fontes. Elsevier: Amsterdam. Lima E., Boddey R. and Döbereiner J. 1987. Quantification of biological nitrogen fixation associated with sugar cane using a <sup>15</sup>N aided nitrogen balance. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 19, 165–170.

McAuliffe C., Chamblee D., Uribe-Arango H. and Woodhouse W. 1958. Influence of inorganic nitrogen on nitrogen fixation of legumes as revealed by <sup>15</sup>N. Agronomy Journal 50, 334–337.

McClure P.R., Israel D.W. and Volk R.J. 1980. Evaluation of the relative ureide content of xylem sap as an indicator of  $N_2$  fixation in soybeans. Plant Physiology 60, 720–725.

McNeill A. and Fillery I. 2008. Field measurement of lupin belowground nitrogen accumulation and recovery in the subsequent cereal-soil system in a semi-arid Mediterranean-type climate. Plant and Soil 302, 297–316.

McNeill A.M., Pilbeam C.J., Harris H.C. and Swift R.S. 1996. Seasonal variation in the suitability of different methods for estimating biological nitrogen fixation by grain legumes under rainfed conditions. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 47, 1061–1073.

 — 1998. Use of residual fertiliser <sup>15</sup>N in soil for isotope dilution estimates of N<sub>2</sub> fixation by grain legumes. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 49, 821–828.

McNeill A.M., Sheehy J.E. and Drennan D.S.H. 1989. The development and use of a flow-through apparatus for measuring nitrogenase activity and photosynthesis in field crops. Journal of Experimental Botany 40, 187–194.

McNeill A.M. and Unkovich M.J. 2007. The nitrogen cycle in terrestrial ecosystems. Pp. 37–64 in 'Nutrient cycling in terrestrial ecosystems', ed. by P. Marschner and Z. Rengel. Springer Verlag: Amsterdam. McNeill A.M., Wood M. and Gates R.P. 1993. The use of a closed system flow-through enclosure apparatus for studying the effects of partial pressure of dinitrogen in the atmosphere on growth of *Trifolium repens* L and *Lolium perenne* L. Journal of Experimental Botany 44, 1021–1028.

McNeill A.M., Zhu C. and Fillery I. 1997. Use of *in situ* <sup>15</sup>N-labelling to estimate the total below-ground nitrogen of pasture legumes in intact plant–soil systems. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 48, 295–304.

Mague T.M. and Burris R.H. 1972. Reduction of acetylene and nitrogen by field grown soybeans. New Phytologist 71, 275–286.

Mariotti A. 1983. Atmospheric nitrogen is a reliable standard for <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance measurements. Nature 303, 685–687.

Mariotti A., Mariotti F., Amarger N., Pizelle G., Ngambi J.-M., Champigny M.-L. and Moyse A. 1980. Fractionnements isotopiques de l'azote lors des processus d'absorption des nitrates et de fixation de l'azote atmosphérique par les plantes. Physiologie Végetale, 18, 163–181.

Matsumoto T., Yatazawa M. and Yamamoto Y. 1977. Incorporation of 15N into allantoin in nodulated soybean plants supplied with 15N2. Plant Cell Physiology 18, 859–862.

Meeks J.C. 1993. 13N Techniques. Pp. 273–304 in 'Nitrogen isotope techniques', ed. by R. Knowles and T.H. Blackburn. Academic Press: San Diego.

Minchin F.R., Sheehy J.E. and Witty J.F. 1986. Further errors in the acetylene reduction assay: effects of plant disturbance. Journal of Experimental Botany 37, 1581–1591.

Minchin F.R., Witty J. and Sheehy J.E. 1983a. A new technique for the measurement of respiratory costs of symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Pp. 201–217 in 'Temperate legumes: physiology, genetics and nodulation', ed. by P.G. Jones and D.R. Davies. Pitman Books: London.  — 1983b. A major error in the acetylene reduction assay: decreases in nodular nitrogenase activity under assay conditions. Journal of Experimental Botany 34, 641–649.

Morgan R. 1986. Soil erosion and conservation. Longman Scientific and Technical: London.

Mulvaney R.L. 1993. Mass spectrometry. Pp. 11–58 in 'Nitrogen isotope techniques', ed. by R. Knowles and T.H. Blackburn. Academic Press: San Diego.

Murphy D., Fillery I. and Sparling G. 1997. Method to label soil cores with <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> gas as a prerequisite for <sup>15</sup>N isotopic dilution and measurement of gross N mineralization. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 29, 1731–1741.

Neves M., Didonet A., Duque F. and Dobereiner J. 1985. Rhizobium strain effects on nitrogen transport and distribution in soybeans. Journal of Experimental Botany 36, 1179–1192.

Nguluu S., Probert M., McCown R., Myers R. and Waring S. 2001. Isotopic discrimination associated with symbiotic nitrogen fixation in stylo (*Stylosanthes hamata* L.) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 62, 11–14.

Nohara T., Nakayama N., Takahashi M., Maruyama S., Shimada S. and Arihara J. 2005. Cultivar differences in dependence on nitrogen fixation of soybeans in the field with a high soil nitrate level determined by the relative ureide abundance method. Japanese Journal of Crop Science 74, 316–324.

Nohrstedt H. 1983. Natural formation of ethylene in forest soils and methods to correct results given by the acetylene reduction assay. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 15, 281–286.

Norhayati M., Mohd Noor S., Chong K., Faizah A.W., Herridge D.F., Peoples M.B. and Bergersen F.J. 1988. Adaptation of methods for evaluating  $N_2$  fixation in food legumes and legume cover crops. Plant and Soil 108, 143–150.

Ohtake N., Nishiwaki T., Mizukoshi K., Takahashi Y., Chinushi T. and Ohyama T. 1995. Amino acid composition in xylem sap of soybean related to the evaluation of  $N_2$ fixation by the relative ureide method. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 41, 95–102.

Ohyama T. and Kumazawa K. 1981. A simple method for the preparation, purification and storage of  ${}^{15}N_2$  gas for biological nitrogen fixation studies. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 27, 263–265.

Ojiem J., Vanlauwe B., de Ridder N. and Giller K.E. 2007. Niche-based assessment of contributions of legumes to the nitrogen economy of Western Kenya smallholder farms. Plant and Soil 292, 119–135.

Okito A., Alves B., Urquiaga S. and R B. 2004. Isotope fractionation during N<sub>2</sub> fixation by four tropical legumes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 36, 1179–1190.

Oliveira E. 1992. Estudo da associa¢io entre bacterias diazotroficas e arroz. MSc Thesis, Univ. Fed. Rural Rio de Janeiro.

Osborne B.G., Fearn T. and Hindle P.H. 1993. Practical NIR spectroscopy with applications in food and beverage analysis. Longman Scientific and Technical: Harlow, UK.

Osborne S. and Riedell W. 2006. Soybean growth response to low rates of nitrogen at planting in the northern great plains. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 29, 985–1002.

Ovalle C., Longeri L., Aronson J., Herrera A. and Avendano J. 1996. N<sub>2</sub>-fixation, nodule efficiency and biomass accumulation after two years in three Chilean legume trees and tagasaste *Chamaecytisus proliferus* subsp. *palmensis*. Plant and Soil 179, 131–140.

Pate J.S. 1980. Transport and partitioning of nitrogenous solutes. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 31, 312–340. Pate J.S., Atkins C., White S., Rainbird R. and Woo K. 1980. Nitrogen nutrition and xylem transport of nitrogen in ureide-producing grain legumes. Plant Physiology 65, 961–965.

Pate J.S. and Unkovich M.J. 1999. Measuring symbiotic nitrogen fixation—case studies of natural and agricultural ecosystems in a Western Australian setting. Pp. 153–173 in 'Advances in physiological plant ecology', ed. by M.C. Press, J.D. Scholes and M.G. Barker. Blackwell Scientific: Oxford.

Pate J.S., Unkovich M.J., Armstrong E.L. and Sanford P. 1994. Selection of reference plants for <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance assessment of N<sub>2</sub> fixation by crop and pasture legumes in southwest Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 45, 133–147.

Patriquin D.G. and Keddy C. 1978. Nitrogenase activity (acetylene reduction) in a Nova Scotian salt marsh: its association with angiosperms and the influence of some edaphic factors. Aquatic Botany 4, 227–244.

Patterson T.G. and LaRue T.A. 1983.  $N_2$  fixation ( $C_2H_2$ ) and ureide contents of soybean: ureides as an index of fixation. Crop Science 23, 825–831.

Peoples M.B., Bell M. and Bushby V. 1992. Effect of rotation and inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* on nitrogen fixation and yield of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L. cv Virginia Bunch). Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 43, 595–607.

Peoples M.B., Bergersen F.J., Turner G.L., Sampet C., Rerkasem B., Bhromsiri A., Nurhayati D.P., Faizah A.W., Sudin M.N., Norhayati M. and Herridge D.F. 1991. Use of the natural enrichment of  $^{15}$ N in plant available soil N for the measurement of symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Pp. 117–128 in 'Stable isotopes in plant nutrition, soil fertility and environmental studies'. International Atomic Energy Agency: Vienna. Peoples M.B., Boddey R.M. and Herridge D.F. 2002. Quantification of nitrogen fixation.
Pp. 357–389 in 'Nitrogen fixation at the millenium', ed. by G.J. Leigh. Elsevier Science: Amsterdam.

Peoples M.B., Bowman A., Gault R., Herridge D., McCallum M., McCormick K., Norton R., Rochester I., Scammell G. and Schwenke G. 2001. Factors regulating the contributions of fixed nitrogen by pasture and crop legumes to different farming systems of eastern Australia. Plant and Soil 228, 29–41.

Peoples M.B., Faizah A.W., Rerkasem B. and Herridge D.F. 1989b. Methods for evaluating biological nitrogen fixation by nodulated legumes in the field. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research: Canberra.

Peoples M.B., Hebb D.M., Gibson A.H. and Herridge D.F. 1989a. Development of the xylem ureide assay for the measurement of nitrogen fixation by pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* [L.] Millsp.). Journal of Experimental Botany 40, 535–542.

Peoples M.B., Herridge D.F. and Ladha J.K. 1995b. Biological nitrogen fixation: an efficient source of nitrogen for sustainable agricultural production? Plant and Soil 174, 3–28.

Peoples M.B., Ladha J.K. and Herridge D.F. 1995a. Enhancing legume N<sub>2</sub> fixation through plant and soil management. Plant and Soil 174, 83–101.

Peoples M.B., Palmer B., Lilley D., Duc L. and Herridge D. 1996. Application of  $^{15}N$  and xylem ureide methods for assessing N<sub>2</sub> fixation of three shrub legumes periodically pruned for forage. Plant and Soil 182, 125–137.

Peoples M.B., Pate J.S., Atkins C.A. and Bergersen F.J. 1986. Nitrogen nutrition and xylem sap composition of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L. cv Virginia Bunch). Plant Physiology 82, 946–951. Peoples M.B., Sudin M.N. and Herridge D.F. 1987. Translocation of nitrogenous compounds in symbiotic and nitrate-fed amide-exporting legumes. Journal of Experimental Botany 38, 567–579.

Peoples M.B., Turner G.L., Shah Z., Aslam M., Ali S., Maskey S., Bhattari S., Afandi F., Schwenke G. and Herridge D. 1997. Evaluation of the <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance technique for measuring  $N_2$  fixation in experimental plots and farmers' fields. Pp. 57–75 in 'Extending nitrogen fixation research to farmers fields', ed. by O.P. Rupella, C. Johansen and D.F. Herridge. ICRISAT: Patancheru, India.

Preston C. 1993. Optical emission spectrometry. Pp. 59–87 in 'Nitrogen isotope techniques', ed. by R. Knowles and T.H. Blackburn. Academic Press: San Diego, California.

Purcell L., Serraj R., Sinclair T. and De A. 2004. Soybean N<sub>2</sub> fixation estimates, ureide concentrations, and yield responses to drought. Crop Science 44, 484–492.

Rainbird R.M., Atkins C.A. and Pate J.S. 1983. Effect of temperature on nitrogenase functioning in cowpea nodules. Plant Physiology 73, 392–394.

Ramos M., Parsons R. and Sprent J. 2005. Differences in ureide and amino acid content of water stressed soybean inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *B. elkanii*. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira 40, 453–458.

Reis V.M., dos Reis Jr F., B., Quesada D.M., de Oliveira O.C.A., Alves B.J.R., Urquiaga S. and Boddey R.M. 2001. Biological nitrogen fixation associated with tropical pasture grasses. Functional Plant Biology 28, 837–844.

Reiter K., Schmidtke K. and Rauber R. 2002. Estimation of symbiotic  $N_2$  fixation by a low-level, large scale <sup>15</sup>N application technique. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 303–314. Rerkasem B., Rekasem K., Peoples M., Herridge D. and Bergersen F. 1988.
Measurement of N<sub>2</sub> fixation in maize (*Zea mays* L.)-ricebean (*Vigna umbellate* [Thumb.] Ohwi and Ohashi) intercrops.
Plant and Soil 108, 125–135.

Riffkin P., Cameron F., Kearney G., Quigley P., Gault R., Peoples M. and Thies J. 1999. Factors associated with biological nitrogen fixation in dairy pastures in south-western Victoria. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 50, 261–272.

Rochester I., Peoples M., Constable G.A. and Gault R. 1998. Faba beans and other legumes add nitrogen to irrigated cotton cropping systems. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 38, 253–260.

Rowe E. and Cadisch G. 2002. Implications on heterogeneity on procedures for estimating plant <sup>15</sup>N recovery in hedgerow intercrop systems. Agroforestry Systems 54, 61–70.

Ruschel A.P., Henis Y. and Salati E. 1975. Nitrogen-15 tracing of N-fixation with soilgrown sugar cane seedlings. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 7, 181–182.

Ruschel A., Vose P., Victoria R. and Salati E. 1979. Comparison of isotope techniques and non-nodulating isolines to study the effect of ammonium fertilization on dinitrogen fixation in soybean, *Glycine max*. Plant and Soil 53, 513–525.

Russell C.A. and Fillery I.R.P. 1996. Estimates of lupin below-ground biomass N, dry matter and N turnover to wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 47, 1047–1059.

Sanchez C., Blackmer A., Horton R. and Timmons D. 1987. Assessment of errors associated with plot size and lateral movement of nitrogen-15 when studying fertilizer recovery under field conditions. Soil Science 144, 344–351. Santos V., Neves M. and Rumjanek N. 1997. Differential symbiotic efficiency by shading of soybean nodulated by *B. japonicum* and *B. elkanii* strains. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 29, 1015–1018.

Schjoerring J.K. 1998. Atmospheric ammonia and impacts of nitrogen deposition: uncertainties and challenges. New Phytologist 139, 59–60.

Schulze J., Temple G., Temple S.J., Beschow H. and Vance C.P. 2006. Nitrogen fixation by white lupin under phosphorus deficiency. Annals of Botany 98, 731–740.

Schwenke G., Peoples G., Turner G. and Herridge D. 1998. Does nitrogen fixation of commercial dryland chickpea and faba bean crops in north-west New South Wales maintain or enhance soil nitrogen? Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 38, 61–70.

Shearer G. and Kohl D.H. 1986. N<sub>2</sub> fixation in field settings: estimates based on natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 13, 699–756.

Shearer G., Kohl D. and Harper J.E. 1980. Distribution of <sup>15</sup>N among plant parts of nodulating and non-nodulating isolines of soybeans. Plant Physiology 66, 57–60.

Shearer G., Kohl D.H., Virginia R.A., Bryan B.A., Skeeters J.L., Nilsen E.T., Sharifi M.R. and Rundel P.W. 1983. Estimates of N<sub>2</sub>-fixation from variation in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in Sonoran desert ecosystem. Oecologia 56, 365–373.

Shrestha R.K. and Ladha J.K. 1996. Genotypic variation in promotion of rice dinitrogen fixation as determined by nitrogen-15 dilution. Soil Science Society of America, Journal 60, 1815–1821. Shutsrirung A., Sutigoolabud P., Santasups C., Senoo K., Tajima S., Hisamatsu M. and Bhromsiri A. 2002. Symbiotic efficiency and compatibility of native rhizobia in northern Thailand with different soybean cultivars. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 48, 491–499.

Sinclair T., Purcell L., King C., Sneller C., Chen P. and Vadez V. 2006. Drought tolerance and yield increase of soybean resulting from improved symbiotic  $N_2$  fixation. Field Crops Research 101, 68–71.

Smil V. 1999. Nitrogen in crop production: an account of global flows. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 13, 647–662.

Smith C.J., Chalk P.M., Hamilton S.D. and Hopmans P. 1992. Estimating N<sub>2</sub> fixation by field-grown lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) using soil and plant <sup>15</sup>N enrichment. Biology and Fertility of Soils 13, 235–241.

Smith C.J., Chalk P.M., Noble C.L., Prendergast J.B. and Robertson F. 1993. Nitrogen fixation in a white clover-grass pasture irrigated with saline groundwater. Irrigation Science 13, 189–194.

Smith C.J., Whitfield D. and Gyles O. 1989. Estimation of available N status of soil by wheat and barley: A-values. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 21, 699–756.

Song L., Carroll B.J., Gresshoff P.M. and Herridge D.F. 1995. Field assessment of supernodulating genotypes of soybean for yield, N<sub>2</sub> fixation and benefit to subsequent crops. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27, 563–569.

Spiff E. and Odu C. 1973. Acetylene reduction by *Beijerinkia* under various partial pressures of oxygen and acetylene. Journal of General Microbiology 78, 207–209.

Sprent J. 2001. Nodulation in legumes. Royal Botanic Gardens: Kew, UK.

Steele K.W., Bonish P.M., Daniel R.M. and O'Hara G.W. 1983. Effect of Rhizobial strains and host plant on nitrogen isotopic fractionation in legumes. Plant Physiology 7, 11001–11004.

Stevenson F., Knight J. and van Kessel C. 1995. Dinitrogen fixation in pea: controls at the landscape- and micro-scale. Soil Science Society of America, Journal 59, 1603–1611.

Stewart G.R. 2001. What do d<sup>15</sup>N signatures tell us about nitrogen relations in natural ecosystems. Pp. 91–101 in 'Application of stable isotope techniques to study biological processes and functioning of ecosystems', ed. by M.J. Unkovich, J.S. Pate, A.M. McNeill and D.J. Gibbs. Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht.

Swan A., Peoples M., Gault R., Crews T., Khan D.F., Urquiaga S., Alves B., Boddey R.M. and Forrester R. 2003. Evaluating sources of error when quantifying N<sub>2</sub> fixation in commercial legume crops. Pp. 1–4 in '11th Australian Agronomy Conference, Geelong'. Australian Society of Agronomy.

Sylvester-Bradley R., Ayarza M., Mendez J. and Moriones R. 1983. Use of undisturbed soil cores for evaluation of Rhizobium strains and methods for inoculation of tropical forage legumes in a Colombian Oxisol. Plant and Soil 74, 237–247.

Tajima S. and Yamamoto Y. 1975. Enzymes of purine catabolism in soybean plants. Plant Cell Physiology 16, 271–282.

Takahashi Y., Chinushi T., Nakano T. and Ohyama T. 1992. Evaluation of  $N_2$  fixation and N absorption activity by relative ureide method in field-grown soybean plants with deep placement of coated urea. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 30, 699–708. Tarrand J.J., Krieg N.R. and Dobereiner J. 1978. A taxonomic study of the *Spirillum lipoferum* group, with descriptions of a new genus, *Azospirillum* gen. nov. and two species, *Azospirillum lipoferum* (Beijerinck) comb. nov. and *Azospirillum brasilense* sp. nov. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 24, 967–980.

Tewari K., Suganuma T., Fujikake H., Ohtake N., Sueyoshi K., Takahashi Y. and Ohyama T. 2004. Effect of deep placement of N fertilisers and different inoculation methods of Bradyrhizobia on growth,  $N_2$  fixation activity and N absorption rate of field grown soybean plants. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 190, 46–58.

Tough H. and Crush J. 1979. Effect of grade of acetylene on ethylene production by white clover (*Trifolium repens* L), during acetylene assays on nitrogen fixation. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research 22, 581–583.

Turner G.L., Bergersen F.J. and Tantala H. 1983. Natural enrichment of <sup>15</sup>N during decomposition of plant material in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 15, 495–497.

Turner G.L., Gault R.R., Morthorpe L., Chase D.L. and Bergersen G.L. 1987. Differences in the natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in the extractable mineral N of croppped and fallowed surface soils. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 38, 15–26.

Turner G.L. and Gibson A.H. 1980. Measurement of nitrogen fixation by indirect means. Pp. 111–138 in 'Methods for evaluating biological nitrogen fixation', ed. by F.J. Bergersen. John Wiley & Sons Ltd: Chichester, UK.

Turpin J.E., Herridge D.F. and M.J. R. 2002. Nitrogen fixation and soil nitrate interactions in field-grown chickpea and fababean. Australian Journal of Soil Research 53, 599–608. Unkovich M.J. and Pate J. 2000. An appraisal of recent field measurements of symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation by annual legumes. Field Crops Research 211, 211–228.

Unkovich M.J. and Pate J.S. 2001. Assessing N<sub>2</sub> fixation in annual legumes using <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance. Pp. 103–118 in 'Application of stable isotope techniques to study biological processes and functioning of ecosystems', ed. by M.J. Unkovich, J.S. Pate, A.M. McNeill and D.J. Gibbs. Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht.

Unkovich M.J., Pate J.S. and Hamblin M.J. 1994a. The nitrogen economy of broadacre lupin in southwest Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 45, 149–164.

Unkovich M.J., Pate J., Lefroy E. and Arthur D. 2000. Nitrogen isotope fractionation in the fodder tree legume tagasaste (*Chamecytisus proliferus*) and assessment of  $N_2$  fixation inputs in deep sandy soils of Western Australia. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 27, 921–929.

Unkovich M.J., Pate J.S. and Sanford P. 1993. Preparation of plant samples for high precision nitrogen isotope ratio analysis. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 24, 2093–2106.

Unkovich M.J., Pate J.S., Sanford P. and Armstrong E.L. 1994b. Potential precision of the d<sup>15</sup>N natural abundance method in field estimates of nitrogen fixation by crop and pasture legumes in S.W. Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 45, 119–132.

Unkovich M.J., Sanford P., Pate J.S. and Hyder M. 1998. Effects of grazing on plant and soil nitrogen relations of pasture–crop rotations. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 49, 475–485. Urquiaga S., Cruz K.H.S. and Boddey R.M. 1992. Contribution of nitrogen fixation to sugar cane: nitrogen-15 and nitrogen balance estimates. Soil Science Society of America, Journal 56, 105–114.

Vadez V. and Sinclair T. 2001. Leaf ureide degradation and N<sub>2</sub> fixation tolerance to water deficit in soybean. Journal of Experimental Botany 52, 153–159.

Vallis I. 1973. Sampling for soil nitrogen changes in large areas of grazed pasture. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 4, 163–170.

van Berkum P. and Day J. 1980. Nitrogenase activity associated with soil cores of grasses in Brazil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 12, 137–140.

van Kessel C., Farrell R., Roskoski J. and Keane K. 1994. Recycling of the naturallyoccurring <sup>15</sup>N in an established stand of *Leucaena leucocephala*. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 26, 757–762.

van Kessel C., Roskoski J.P., Wood T. and Montano J. 1983.  ${}^{15}N_2$  fixation and H<sub>2</sub> evolution by six species of tropical leguminous trees. Plant Physiology 72, 909–910.

Vessey J.K. 2003. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant and Soil 255, 571.

 Viera-Vargas M., De Oliveira O., Souto C., Cadisch G., Urquiaga S. and Boddey
 R. 1995b. Use of different <sup>15</sup>N labelling techniques to quantify the contribution of biological nitrogen fixation to legumes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27, 1185–1192.

Viera-Vargas M., Souto C., Urquiaga S. and Boddey R. 1995a. Quantification of the contribution of  $N_2$  fixation to tropical forage legumes and transfer to associated grass. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27, 1193–1200.

Vikman P. and Vessey J.K. 1992. The decline in  $N_2$  fixation rate in common bean with the onset of pod-filling: fact or artefact. Plant and Soil 147, 95–105.

Vincent J.M. 1970. A manual for the practical study of root-nodule bacteria. Blackwell Scientific: Oxford, UK.

Vose P., Ruschel A., Victoria R., Saito S. and Matsui E. 1982. <sup>15</sup>N as a tool in biological nitrogen fixation research. Pp. 575–592 in 'Biological nitrogen fixation technology for tropical agriculture', ed. by P.H. Graham and S.C. Harris. CIAT: Cali, Colombia.

Wang G., Peoples M.B., Herridge D.F. and Rerkasem B. 1993. Nitrogen fixation, growth and yield of soybean grown under saturated soil culture and conventional irrigation. Field Crops Research 32, 257–268.

Wani S., Dart P. and Upadhyaya M. 1983. Factors affecting nitrogenase activity ( $C_2H_2$ reduction) associated with sorghum and millet estimated using the soil core assay. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 29, 1063–1069.

Wani S.P. 1986. Cereal nitrogen fixation. Proceedings of a working group meeting 9–12 October 1984, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India.

Warembourg F.R. 1993. Nitrogen fixation in soil and plant systems. Pp. 127–156 in 'Nitrogen isotope techniques', ed. by R. Knowles and T.H. Blackburn. Academic Press Inc.: San Diego.

Warembourg F.R., Montange D. and Bardin R. 1982. The simultaneous use of  ${}^{14}\text{CO}_2$  and  ${}^{15}\text{N}_2$  labelling techniques to study the carbon and nitrogen economy of legumes grown under natural conditions. Physiologia Plantarum 56, 46–55.

Watanabe I., Chiu C. and Yoshida T. 1990. Estimation of N<sub>2</sub> fixation in soybean and cowpea by using soil residual <sup>15</sup>N. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 36, 375–381.

Watanabe I., Lee K. and Alimango B. 1978. Seasonal change of  $N_2$ -fixing rate in rice field assayed by in situ acetylene reduction assay technique I. Experiments in long term fertility plots. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 24, 1–13. Watanabe I., Yoneyama T., Padre B. and Ladha J.K. 1987. Difference in natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N in several rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties: application for evaluating N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 33, 407–415.

Westfall D., Henson M. and Evans E. 1978. The effect of soil sample handling between collection and drying on nitrate concentration. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 9, 169–185.

Wetselaar R., Jakobsen P. and Chaplin G. 1973. Nitrogen balance in crop systems in tropical Australia. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 5, 35–40.

Williams P.C., Norris K.H., Gehrke C.W. and Bernstein K. 1983. Comparison of nearinfrared methods for measuring protein and moisture in wheat. Cereal Foods World 150, 149–152.

Witty J. 1979. Algal nitrogen fixation on temperate arable fields; the broadbalk experiments. Plant and Soil 52, 151–164.

Witty J.F. 1983. Estimating N<sub>2</sub>-fixation in the field using <sup>15</sup>N-labelled fertilizer: some problems and solutions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 15, 631–639.

Witty J.F. and Day J.M. 1979. Use of  ${}^{15}N_2$  in evaluating asymbiotic  $N_2$  fixation. Pp. 135 in 'Isotopes in biological nitrogen fixation'. International Atomic Energy Agency: Vienna.

Witty J.F. and Minchin F.R. 1988. Measurement of nitrogen fixation by the acetylene reduction assay; myths and mysteries. Pp. 331–343 in 'Nitrogen fixation by legumes in Mediterranean agriculture', ed. by D.P. Beck and L.A. Materon. Martinus Nijhoff: Dordrecht.

 — 1998. Hydrogen measurements provide direct evidence for a variable physical barrier to gas diffusion in legume nodules. Journal of Experimental Botany 49, 1015–1020. Yamakawa T. and Ishizuka J. 2002. Effect of nodulation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *Shinorhizobium fredii* on xylem sap composition of Peking (*Glycine max* L. Merr.). Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 48, 521–527.

Yemm E.W. and Cocking E.C. 1955. The determination of amino acids with ninhydrin. Analyst 80, 209–213.

Ying J., Herridge D.F., Peoples M.B. and Rerkasem B. 1992. Effects of N fertilization on  $N_2$  fixation and N balances of soybean grown after lowland rice. Plant and Soil 147, 235–242.

Yoneyama T., Fujita K., Toshida T., Matsumoto T., Kambayashi I. and Yazaki J. 1986. Variation in natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N among plant parts and in <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N fractionation during  $N_2$  fixation in the legume-rhizobia symbiotic system. Plant Cell Physiology 27, 791–799.

Yoneyama T., Muraoka T., Kim T.H., Dacanay E.V. and Nakanishi Y. 1997. The natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance of sugarcane and neighbouring plants in Brazil, the Philippines and Miyako (Japan). Plant and Soil 189, 239–244.

Yoshida T. and Yoneyama T. 1980. Atmospheric dinitrogen fixation in the flooded rice rhizosphere as determined by the N-15 isotope technique. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 26, 551–559.

Young E.G. and Conway C.F. 1942. On the estimation of allantoin by the Rimini-Schryver reaction. Journal of Biological Chemistry 142, 839–853.

# Appendixes

McKnig	McKnight's solution (N-free complete nutrients)					
Prepara	Preparation of individual stock solutions (each in a 1 L flask)					
1.	Calcium chloride (CaCl <sub>2</sub> )	add 20 g/L distilled $H_2O$				
2.	Magnesium sulfate (MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O)	add 400 g/L distilled $H_2O$				
3.	Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> )	add 100 g/L distilled H <sub>2</sub> O				
4.	Potassium chloride (KCl)	add 150 g/L distilled H <sub>2</sub> O				
5.	Trace elements stock solution: add to a 1 L flask:					
	boric acid (H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> )	2.86 g				
	manganese sulfate (MnSO <sub>4</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O)	2.03 g				
	zinc sulfate (ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.222 g				
	copper sulfate (CuSO <sub>4</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.079 g				
	molybdic acid (H <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> .H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.09 g				
	Then add 1 L of distilled $H_2O$ and mix.					
6.	EDTA stock solution: add to a 1 L flask:					
	ethylene diamine tetra-acetic, sodium salt (EDTA)	2 g				
	60% wt/vol ferric chloride solution (FeCl <sub>3</sub> )	16.8 mL				
	Make up to 1 L with distilled water.					
7.	1N sodium hydroxide. (NaOH): add to a 1L flask:					
	sodium hydroxide (NaOH)	40 g				
	Make up to 1L with distilled water.					

# Appendix 1. Nutrient solutions for glasshouse culture of legumes

## Preparation of McKnight's solution for glasshouse plants

Add the following aliquots of each of the stock solutions prepared above into a large (150–200 L) container:

1.	Calcium chloride	25 mL
2.	Magnesium sulfate	25 mL
3.	Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate	100 mL
4.	Potassium chloride	100 mL
2. 3. 4.	Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate Potassium chloride	100 mL

# Appendix 1. (continued)

Preparation of McKnight's solution for glasshouse plants					
5.	Trace elements stock solution	50 mL			
6.	EDTA-FeCl <sub>3</sub> stock solution	75 mL			
7.	Sodium hydroxide	25 mL			
Make up to 100 L with tap water (final pH should be 6.6).					
The nutrient solution is now ready to be supplied to glasshouse-grown plants.					

Legume Shoot δ <sup>15</sup> N (‰)		(‰)	Location and source of information
	Rangea	Mean <sup>b</sup>	
Chickpea	–1.65 to –1.50	-1.58	Australia – Figure 27, Herridge et al. (1995)
	-1.34	-1.34	Australia – Unkovich and Pate (2000)
	-2.10	-2.10	Australia – Doughton et al. (1992)
	-2.82 to -1.31	-2.00	Canada – Kyei-Boahen et al. (2002)
		-1.75	
Faba bean	-0.32 to -0.40	-0.36	Australia – Figure 27, Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.63	-0.63	France – Mariotti et al. (1980)
		-0.50	
Field pea	-0.74 to -0.41	-0.60	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.68 to -0.20	-0.33	Australia – Unkovich et al. (1994b, unpublished data)
	-1.00	-1.00	France – Mariotti et al. (1980)
	-0.7	-0.7	Denmark – Holdensen et al. (2007)
		-0.66	
Grasspea (lathyrus)	-0.11	-0.11	Australia – Unkovich (unpublished data)
Lentil	-0.60	-0.60	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.51	-0.51	Australia – Unkovich and Pate (2000)
		-0.56	
Lupin	-0.70 to -0.20	-0.44	Australia – Unkovich et al. (1994b, unpublished data)
	-1.16 to +0.10	-0.53	Australia/France – Bergersen et al. (1986)
	-0.55	-0.55	Australia – Evans et al. (1987)
	-0.77	-0.77	France – Mariotti et al. (1980)
		-0.57	
Narbon bean	-0.25	-0.25	Australia – Unkovich (unpublished data)

## Appendix 2. Examples of 'B' values for shoots of temperate crop legumes

<sup>a</sup> Further explanation of treatments imposed to generate the range of shoot 'B' values for some of the data summarised here is provided in Table 16.

<sup>b</sup> These values represent the mean where more than one rhizobial strain or variety was tested, or where the data are derived from several experiments undertaken by the same laboratory. Mean values in bold are the means for the particular species.

# Appendix 3. Examples of 'B' values for shoots of subtropical and tropical crop legumes

Legume	Shoot δ¹⁵N	(‰)	Location and source of information
	Rangea	Mean <sup>b</sup>	
Adzuki bean	-0.91	-0.91	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Black gram	-1.82 to -1.67	-1.75	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Common bean	-2.00	-2.00	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-3.2 to -1.8	-2.50	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
	-1.97	-1.97	France – Mariotti et al. (1980)
		-2.16	
Cowpea	-1.66	-1.66	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.50	-1.50	Australia – Nguluu et al. (2001)
		-1.61	
Green gram	-2.20 to -1.14	-1.60	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-2.5	-2.50	Pakistan/Nepal – Peoples et al. (1997)
		-2.05	
Groundnut	-2.50 to -1.40	-1.95	Indonesia – Cadisch et al. (2000)
(peanut)	0.65 to 0.70	+0.67	Australia – Peoples et al. (1992, unpublished data)
	-1.70 to -1.00	-1.35	Brazil – Okito et al. (2004)
		-0.88	
Pigeon pea	-1.45 to -0.90	-1.18	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.06	-1.06	Kenya – Gathumbi et al. (2002)
		-1.12	
Rice bean	-0.91	-0.91	Thailand – Rerkasem et al. (1988)

Legume	Shoot δ¹⁵N (‰)		Location and source of information
	Rangea	Mean <sup>b</sup>	
Soybean	-1.40 to -1.15	-1.30	Australia – Bergersen et al. (1989)
	-1.70 to -1.00	-1.40	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-2.06 to -1.00	-2.00	New Zealand – Steele et al. (1983)
	-1.50 to -0.90	-1.20	Thailand – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.54	-1.54	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
Soybean (continued)	-2.39 to -1.31	-1.83	Brazil – Okito et al. (2004); Guimarães et al. (2008)
	-4.54 to -3.49	-3.61	Brazil – Okito et al. (2004); Guimarães et al. (2008)
	-2.24 to -1.60	-1.99	Brazil – Guimarães et al. (2008)
	-2.50	-2.50	Pakistan/Nepal – Peoples et al. (1997)
	-1.2 to -1.9	-1.83	France – Mariotti et al (1980)
	-0.90	-0.90	USA – Shearer et al. (1980)
		-1.83	
Winged bean	-1.97 to -1.04	-1.54	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)

# Appendix 3. (continued)

<sup>a</sup> Further explanation of treatments imposed to generate the range of shoot 'B' values for some of the data summarised here is provided in Table 16.

<sup>b</sup> These values represent the mean where more than one rhizobial strain or variety was tested, or where the data are derived from several experiments undertaken by the same laboratory. Mean values in bold are the means for the particular species.

Legume species	Shoot <sup>15</sup> N	(‰)	Location and source of information
	Range <sup>a</sup>	Mean <sup>b</sup>	
Annuals			
Balansa clover	-0.55	-0.55	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Berseem clover	-0.64	-0.64	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Lotus	-1.20 to +0.8	-0.12	New Zealand – Steele et al. (1983)
Medic	-0.56 to -0.20	-0.38	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.38 to +0.02	-0.21	Australia – Unkovich and Pate (2001, unpublished data)
		-0.30	
Persian clover	-0.57 to -0.71	-0.64	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Subterranean	-0.40 to -0.90	-0.67	Australia – Unkovich et al. (1994)
clover	-1.37 to +0.06	-0.58	Australia – Unkovich and Pate (2001)
	-0.88 to -0.60	-0.78	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
		-0.68	
Vetch	-0.59 to -0.94	-0.76	Australia – Unkovich (unpublished data)
	-0.47 to -0.94	-0.70	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.17 to -0.56	-0.90	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-0.79	
Perennials			
Alsike clover	-2.5 to -0.6	-1.20	Sweden – Carlsson et al. (2006)
	-1.0 to -0.67	-0.83	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-1.00	
Lucerne (alfalfa)	-1.24 to -0.44	-0.84	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	0	0	New Zealand – Steele et al. (1983)
	-0.92	-0.92	France – Mariotti et al. (1980)
	-1.1 to -0.7	-0.95	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-0.68	

# Appendix 4. Examples of 'B' values for shoots of temperate forage legumes

# Appendix 4. (continued)

Legume species	Shoot <sup>15</sup> N (‰)		Location and source of information
	Range <sup>a</sup>	Mean <sup>b</sup>	
Red clover	-0.78	-0.78	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.88	-0.88	France – Mariotti et al. (1980)
	-2.6 to 0	-1.30	Sweden – Carlsson et al. (2006)
	-1.26 to -0.37	-0.82	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-0.94	
White clover	-3.93 to -1.48	-2.48	Australia – Riffkin et al. (1999)
	-0.92 to -0.64	-0.78	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.4	-1.40	Denmark – Eriksen and Høgh-Jensen (1998)
	-4.5 to -0.6	-1.70	Sweden – Carlsson et al. (2006)
	-2.6 to -1.4	-1.80	New Zealand – Steele et al. (1983)
	-1.21 to -0.22	-0.71	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-1.48	

<sup>a</sup> Further explanation of treatments imposed to generate the range of shoot 'B' values for some of the data summarised here is provided in Table 16.

<sup>b</sup> These values represent the mean where more than one rhizobial strain or variety was tested, or where the data are derived from several experiments undertaken by the same laboratory. Mean values in bold are the means for the particular species.

# Appendix 5. Examples of 'B' values for shoots or foliage of tropical legume species used as forages or covercrops

Legume species	Shoot/foliage <sup>15</sup> N (‰)		Location and source of information
	Range	Mean <sup>a</sup>	
Forages			
Centrosema	-1.08	-1.08	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-2.23	-2.23	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-1.65	
Desmodium	-0.77	-0.77	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.19	-1.19	Kenya – Mwangi et al. (unpublished data)
	-1.45	-1.45	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-1.14	
Macrotyloma axillare	-1.83	-1.83	Kenya – Mwangi et al. (unpublished data)
Neonotonia wightii	-1.75	-1.75	Kenya – Mwangi et al. (unpublished data)
Siratro	-4.6 to -2.7	-3.43	New Zealand – Steele et al. (1983)
	-1.16	-1.16	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-2.47	-2.47	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
		-2.35	
Stylo	-0.74	-0.74	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.81	-0.81	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
	-1.86	-1.86	Australia – Nguluu et al. (2001)
		-1.14	

Legume species	Shoot/foliage <sup>15</sup> N (‰)		Location and source of information
	Range	Mean <sup>a</sup>	
Covercrops			
Astragalus (milk vetch)	-0.82	-0.82	Japan – Yoneyama et al. (1986)
Calopogonium	-0.95	-0.95	Malaysia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Crotalaria (sunnhemp)	-1.73 to -1.02	-1.37	Brazil – Okito et al. (2004)
	-0.57	-0.57	Kenya – Gathumbi et al. (2002)
	-1.31	-1.31	Philippines – Peoples (unpublished data)
		-1.08	
Lablab	-1.36	-1.36	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.82	-0.82	Kenya – Gathumbi et al. (2002)
		-1.09	
Velvet bean (Mucuna)	-2.04 to -1.48	-1.82	Brazil – Okito et al. (2004)
Pueraria	-1.22	-1.22	Malaysia – Peoples (unpublished data)

# Appendix 5. (continued)

<sup>a</sup> These values represent the mean where more than one rhizobial strain or variety was tested, or where the data are derived from several experiments undertaken by the same laboratory. Mean values in bold are the means for the particular species.

# Appendix 6. Examples of 'B' values for shoots or foliage of temperate or tropical legume shrubs or trees

Legume species	Shoot/foliage <sup>15</sup> N (‰)	Location and source of information
	Mean <sup>a</sup>	
Temperate		
Tagasaste	-0.47	Australia – Unkovich et al. (2000)
Tropical		
Acacia mangium	-0.89	Philippines – Mercado et al. (unpublished data)
Calliandra	-1.29	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-0.50	Kenya – Cadisch (unpublished data)
	-0.90	
Leucaena	-0.34	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Flemingia congesta	-1.32	Indonesia – Hairiah et al. (unpublished data)
Gliricidia	-1.45	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
	-1.11	Indonesia – Hairiah et al. (unpublished data)
	-1.28	
Sesbania grandiflora	-2.89	Australia – Peoples (unpublished data)
Sesbania sesban	-1.76	Kenya – Gathumbi et al. (2002)

<sup>a</sup> Values in bold are the means for the particular species. No data are presented to indicate a range of values as for the most part only a single legume line – rhizobial association was examined in each study.

# Appendix 7. Scientific and common names of plants referred to in the text

Legumes	
Acacia mangium	Black wattle, hickory wattle
Arachis hypogaea	Groundnut (peanut)
Astragalus spp.	Astragalus (milk vetch)
Cajanus cajan	Pigeon pea
Calliandra calothyrsus	Calliandra
Calopogonium caeruleum	Calopogonium
Centrosema pubescens	Centro
Centrosema spp.	Centrosema (butterfly pea)
Chamaecytisus palmensis	Tagasaste
Cicer arietinum	Chickpea
Codariocalyx gyroides	Codarrio
Crotalaria juncea	Crotalaria (sunnhemp)
Cyamopsis tetragonoloba	Guar
Desmodium ovalifolium	Desmodium
Desmodium rensonii	Tick trefoil
Flemingia congesta	Flemingia
Flemingia macrophylla	Ара ара
Galactia striata	Galactia
Gliricidia sepium	Gliricidia
Glycine max	Soybean
Lablab purpureus	Hyacinth bean (lablab)
Lathyrus sativus	Grasspea
Lens culinaris	Lentil
Leucaena spp.	Leucaena
Lotus spp.	Lotus
Lupinus angustifolius	Lupin

# Appendix 7. (continued)

Macroptilium atropurpureum	Siratro
Macrotyloma axillare	Horse gram
Macrotyloma geocarpum	Kersting's groundnut
Medicago sativa	Alfalfa (lucerne)
Mucuna pruriens	Velvet bean (mucuna)
Neonotonia wightii	Soya perenne
Phaseolus acutifolius	Tepary bean
Phaseolus coccineus	Runner bean
Phaseolus lunatus	Lima bean
Phaseolus vulgaris	Common bean
Pisum sativum	Field pea
Prosopis juliflora	Mesquite
Psophocarpus tetragonolobus	Winged bean
Pueraria phaseoloides	Kudzu
Senna spectabilis	Cassia
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora	Cassia Sesban
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover Balansa clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover Balansa clover Alsike clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover Balansa clover Alsike clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum Trifolium incarnatum	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover Balansa clover Alsike clover Crimson clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum Trifolium incarnatum Trifolium pratense Trifolium repens	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover Balansa clover Alsike clover Crimson clover Red clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum Trifolium incarnatum Trifolium pratense Trifolium repens	Cassia Sesban Egyptian pea Stylo Berseem clover Balansa clover Alsike clover Crimson clover Red clover White clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum Trifolium ncarnatum Trifolium pratense Trifolium repens Trifolium resputinatum Trifolium subterraneum	CassiaSesbanEgyptian peaStyloBerseem cloverBalansa cloverAlsike cloverCrimson cloverRed cloverWhite cloverSubterraneum clover
Senna spectabilis Sesbania grandiflora Sesbania sesban Stylosanthes guianensis Trifolium alexandrinum Trifolium balansa Trifolium hybridum Trifolium nybridum Trifolium neatense Trifolium pratense Trifolium repens Trifolium resputinatum	CassiaSesbanEgyptian peaStyloBerseem cloverBalansa cloverAlsike cloverCrimson cloverRed cloverWhite cloverPersian cloverSubterraneum cloverFaba bean

# Appendix 7. (continued)

Vigna aconitifolia	Moth bean
Vigna angularis	Adzuki bean
Vigna mungo	Black gram
Vigna radiata	Mung bean (green gram)
Vigna subterranea	Bambara groundnut
Vigna umbellata	Rice bean
Vigna unguiculata	Cowpea
Non legumes	
Avena sativa	Oats
Brachiaria brizantha	Beard grass
Brachiaria arrecta	Tanner grass
Brassica napus	Canola
Euphorbia hirta	Asthma weed
Hordeum vulgare	Barley
Oryza sativa	Rice
Oxalis corniculata	Yellow wood sorrel
Panicum maximum	Guinea grass
Poa chinensis	Red sprangletop
Pennisetum purpureum	Elephant grass
Saccharum officinarum	Sugarcane
Senecio vulgaris	Common groundsel
Sorghum bicolor	Sorghum
Sorghum sudanense	Sudan grass
Triticum aestivum	Wheat
Zea mays	Maize

#### Appendix 8. Some suppliers of <sup>15</sup>N-labelled materials

There are many suppliers of stable isotope materials throughout the world and these can be found through an internet search. A few well-known suppliers are listed below.

#### China Nuclear Energy Industry Corporation (CNEIC)

Building No. 9, Huayuan Street Xi Cheng District, Beijing 100032, China Fax: Intl+ 86 10 66297165 Phone: Intl+ 86 10 66297 170 <www.cneic.com.cn>

#### Chemotrade

Brahestr. 27, D-04347 Leipzig, Germany Fax: Intl+ 49 3412444922 Phone: Intl+ 49 341 24449 28 Email: <asp@chemotrade-leipzig.de> <www.chemotrade-leipzig.de/>

#### **Rashtriya Chemicals & Fertilizers Limited**

Eastern Express Highway Sion, Mumbai 400-022, India Fax: Intl+ 91 22 4045111 Phone: Intl+ 91 22 4078175 or 22 8078176 <www.rcfltd.com/>

# National Institute of Research & Development for Isotopic & Molecular Technology (INCDTIM)

PO Box 700, R-3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania Fax: Intl+ 40 2644 20042 Phone: Intl+ 40 264 584037 <www.itim-cj.ro/>

#### Isotec, a Division of Sigma-Aldrich

3858 Benner Rd, Miamisburg, OH 45342-4304 United States of America Fax: Intl+ 1 9378594878 Phone: Intl+ 1 937 859 1808 <www.sigmaaldrich.com/Area\_of\_Interest/Chemistry/Stable\_Isotopes\_\_ISOTEC\_.html>

#### Appendix 9. Some laboratories providing <sup>15</sup>N analyses

There are many laboratories providing stable isotope analysis throughout the world and these can be found through an internet search. A few well-known ones are listed below.

#### **Iso-Analytical Limited**

Millbuck Way Sandbach, CW11 3HT, UK Email: info@iso-analytical.com Tel: Intl +44 (0)1270 766771 Fax: Intl +44 (0)1270 766709 <www.iso-analytical.co.uk/>

#### Isotech Laboratories, Inc.

1308 Parkland Court Champaign, Illinois 61821 USA Tel: Intl +1 877 362 4190 Fax: Intl +1 217 398 3493 <http://www.isotechlabs.com/index.html>

#### University of California Davis

Stable Isotope Facility Department of Plant Sciences One Shields Avenue, Mail Stop #1 Davis, California, 95616, USA David Harris Email: <dharris@ucdavis.edu> Tel: Intl +1 530 754 7517 Fax: Intl +1 530 752 4361

#### Center for Stable Isotope Biogeochemistry (CSIB)

Integrative Biology University of California, Berkeley 3060 VLSB, Berkeley CA-94720 USA Email: <stableisotopes@berkeley.edu> Phone: Intl +1 510 643 1748 <http://ib.berkeley.edu/groups/biogeochemistry/>

## Appendix 9. (continued)

#### Stable Isotope Research Facility for Environmental Research

Department of Biology University of Utah 257 S 1400 E Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 USA Email: <sirfer@bioscience.utah.edu> Tel: Intl +1 801 581 4654 Tel: Intl +1 801 581 4665 <http://ecophys.biology.utah.edu/sirfer.html>

#### **Environmental Isotopes Pty Ltd**

Riverside Corporate Park 11 Julius Ave, North Ryde NSW 2113, Australia Email: <anita.andrew@isotopic.com.au> Tel: Intl +61 2 9490 8743 Fax: Intl +61 2 9490 8740 <www.isotopic.com.au>

#### **CSIRO Land and Water Isotope Analysis Service**

Waite Road Urrbrae SA 5064, Australia Postal Address: Private Bag No. 2 Glen Osmond SA 5064 Australia Email: <Fred.Leaney@csiro.au> Ph: Intl +61 8 8303 8728 Fax:Intl +61 8 8303 8750 <http://www.clw.csiro.au/services/isotope/>

#### **Melbourne School of Land and Environment**

University of Melbourne Victoria, 3010 Australia Email: <ron.teo@unimelb.edu.au> Ph: Intl +61 3 8344 5028 Fax: Intl +61 3 8344 4665

# Appendix 9. (continued)

#### Western Australian Biogeochemistry Centre

School of Plant Biology Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Sciences The University of Western Australia 35 Stirling Highway Crawley WA 6907 Australia Email: <pfgblue@plants.uwa.edu.au> Ph: Intl +61 8 6488 7926



www.aciar.gov.au

ACIAR Monograph No. 136