

	Excellent 5	Very Good 4	Good 3	Average 2	Poor 1	N/A	No Response	N	Average	% Very good or better	% Excellent	% Very good	
	7	12	2					21	4.2	90%	33%	57%	Five input presentations
	5	13	2	1				21	4.0	86%	24%	62%	Panel session
	7	12	2					21	4.2	90%	33%	57%	World Café' activity
	4	13	3				1	20	4.1	85%	20%	65%	Small group discussions
	8	9	3		1			21	4.1	81%	38%	43%	Voting to prioritise potential research questions
	8	11	2					21	4.3	90%	38%	52%	Chairing/facilitation
	7	7	5				2	19	4.1	74%	37%	37%	Field trip
	8	11				2		19	4.4	100%	42%	58%	Meeting details
	11	5				5		16	4.7	100%	69%	31%	Travel/Accommodation bookings
Strongly Agree 7	Agree 6	Somewhat Agree 5	Neither 4	Somewhat Disagree 3	Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	No Response	N	Average	% Agree			
8	9	1					3	18	6.4	100%	The workshop adopted methods that used my time effectively		

## What worked well

Everything

All sessions

Group discussions, formulation of research questions, issues at ground realities - site visit

Good opportunity for networking; good overview of salinity issues; will help understand salinity issues

The small group discussion was excellent. The field trip was also excellent if we could have more time to spend with farmers....

Every one I talked to was very pleased with the opportunity to discuss their ideas and be part of the workshop.

Group dynamics was so good with most relevant people in the team

Networking

Interaction; time management

I think World Café activity as well as voting to identify the priority areas were those activities which worked well with respect to information sharing and networking

Really I liked very much that brainstorming in making questions for the four year ACIAR project. I also like the method to give priority so the questions by dot voting method.

Panel discussion and voting

For many years I've been hoping to see the development of integrated solutions for saline agriculture in Pakistan. I was moved to hope by the end of this meeting that we have achieved a breakthrough in inter-agency...

... cooperation to achieve this goal

## Suggested improvements

At least 2-3 days workshop

The one day workshop was good but I felt we were rushing it a bit so maybe 1.5 days workshop 0.5 days field trip may be a consideration for next time.

Growers could have been invited to the workshop - also if it could have been 1.5 days it would be more helpful

Strong follow up with stakeholders

Needs good follow up on issues and progress of proposal development; needs clear identification of potential partners for next phase of the process

Such activity may also be undertaken in Sindh province

More specific and issues oriented

I think next time we should give the group a good feel of what are the main country priorities for Pakistan vis-à-vis salinity. Then I think the results will have a blend of personal interests as well as country priorities.

I think there were some sort of distractions or I mean out of way discussion as well. But obviously with this larger group of people with diverse backgrounds it was unavoidable. Therefore at secondary level, some precise...

... brainstorming may be more helpful to shape the things into workable package

If topics are presented earlier it would be good for participants to share their views

Suggest when we meet at Mehran and Faisalabad we ask a couple of students (PhD/ Masters) to give brief 15 minute presentations on their research on salinity

We ended up with too many research questions. But I see the value in filtering out what the group felt were the most important questions.

Instead of using charts for research questions, multi media technique be used